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Introduction
To recommend the correct surgical treatment in male patients ≥ 35 year old (for some authors) with rheumatic valvular heart disease, it is mandatory to assess the presence of significant coronary artery disease by means of coronary angiography.

Rheumatic valve heart disease associated with coronary heart disease varies between 8.00 % and 28.9 %. Normal coronary angiography is observed in 70 to 92 % of the patients.
When coronary arteriography is indiscriminately indicated in patients with heart valvulopathy, it increases the catheterization endurance, the radiation exposure (for the patient and for the health staff), the wear and tear on equipment and material, and the patient morbi-mortality. The frequency of coronary artery disease is low (3 %) when ischemic cardiopathy risk factors are absent. Under these conditions, the cost-benefit ratio increases. To avoid such abnormalities, several investigations have been attempted to identify, prior to coronary angiography, true positive patients with significant coronary artery disease. It is well known that age and gender are poor indices for indicating coronary angiography in valvular heart disease patients.\(^1\) Uncertain results have been obtained when isolated coronary artery disease risk factors are related with the presence of significant coronary artery atherosclerosis, but good correlation is obtained when the influences of these risk factors are studied together. Ramsdale and colleagues found that isolated angina pectoris is an irrelevant predictor of significant coronary artery disease, but correlation between risk factors and coronary artery disease increases with the addition of risk factors considered in the pool.\(^2,7,8\) Based on the figures of merit found by Ramsdale and colleagues,\(^2\) we studied the sensitivity and specificity of such figures to anticipate the presence of significant coronary artery disease in patients with rheumatic cardiac valvulopathy.

**Materials and methods**

A prospective, observational, non-randomized, cross-sectional, comparative study was performed in men and women ≥ 30 and ≤ 78 years of age, with cardiac rheumatic valve cardiopathy submitted to catheterization and coronary angiography. Patients with previous myocardial infarction or non-rheumatic-valve disease were excluded, as well as patients who refused participation in the study.

Table I shows the risk factors of ischemic cardiopathy studied and the proportional numeric values found from the covariate study of Ramsdale and colleagues.\(^2\) According to the Ramsdale et al. multivariate regression study, the sum of the arithmetic operator shown in Table I was added to a basal number (-12058) to obtain a critical value of 600.\(^2\) We chose a critical score of 600, instead of 500.8 (value elected by Ramsdale) because we wanted to improve the specificity of the analysis. Once the score was calculated, the patients were catheterized to obtain the hemodynamic diagnosis related to the valvulopathy, as well as the coronary artery disease.

We considered significant coronary artery disease when at least one principal coronary artery was stenosed ≥ 75 %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Proportional values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant term</td>
<td>-12058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>Add mmHg multiplied by 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of cigarettes in lifetime (n)*</td>
<td>Add n multiplied by 0.0106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina pectoris absent†</td>
<td>Add 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of angina pectoris grade 1†</td>
<td>Add 1 multiplied by 683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of angina pectoris grade 2†</td>
<td>Add 2 multiplied by 683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of angina pectoris grade 3†</td>
<td>Add 3 multiplied by 683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of angina pectoris grade 4†</td>
<td>Add 4 multiplied by 683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive family history of ischemic heart diseases</td>
<td>Add 1167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Add years multiplied by 64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive current cigarette smoker</td>
<td>Add 997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total HDL-cholesterol ratio</td>
<td>Add ratio multiplied by 196.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Average of cigarettes smoked annually multiplied by number of years (n).
† Grading angina severity: 0 = no angina; 1 = on strenuous exertion; 2 = on moderate exertion; 3 = on slight exertion, and 4 = at rest.

Statistical analysis

We calculated the power of the sample in > 30 patients. Descriptive statistical analysis was applied to numerical variables. Sensitivity and specificity analysis was applied to evaluate the prediction of the presence of significant coronary artery disease in patients with rheumatic cardiac valvulopathy.
Results

We studied 102 patients (61 women and 41 men) 55.63 ± 9.88 years of age, range: 30-78 years (women 56.09 ± 11.48, and men 54.6 ± 11.35 years, respectively). The ratio of women vs. men was 1.48. Figure 1 shows the cumulative frequency of the age of patients with normal coronary arteries and with coronary arteries lesions, respectively. The percentile 50 for patients with normal coronary arteries was 52 years old and 59 years old for patients with coronary artery lesions. We excluded four patients with coronary artery lesions other than atherosclerotic: two men and a woman with coronary artery ectasia and a man with an arteriovenous coronary fistula. None of these patients showed symptoms or signs of coronary artery disease.

Diastolic blood pressure was 68.09 ± 13.37 mmHg for the entire group (range: 40-129 mmHg); number of cigarettes smoked during their lifetime 29,169.56 ± 71,657.84; total cholesterol 184.34 ± 51.74 mg dL⁻¹ (range: 103-254 mg dL⁻¹), and HDL cholesterol 35.08 ± 10.56 mg dL⁻¹ (range: 23-69 mg dL⁻¹). Family history of ischemic heart disease was present in 17 patients (16.66 %), angina pectoris in 23 (22.55 %), and 4 patients were current cigarette smokers (3.92 %). Thirty patients had mitral valve disease (29.41 %), 49 (48.05 %) aortic and mitral valve disease, and 23 (22.55 %) presented isolated aortic valve disease. Significant coronary artery atherosclerosis was present in only eight patients (7.84 %): five men and three women (62 ± 9 years of age, range: 41–70 years). True positive patients were 4, false positive 18, true negative 76 and false negative 4. Index sensitivity was 50 % and specificity 80.85 %. The results of the analysis are expressed in Table II.

In this study we found a relevant difference between the age of the patients with and without coronary artery disease (Figure 1). Fifty percent of the patients without coronary artery disease were ≤ 52 years old. On the other hand, 50 % of the patients with coronary artery lesions were ≤ 60 years old. In the present study it was not possible to separate the age of men and women with coronary artery lesions.

![Figure 1. Cumulative frequency of age in patients with cardiac valve disease and with normal coronary arteries, and coronary artery lesions shown during coronary artery angiography.](image)

Discussion

Rahimtoola recommended coronary angiography in ≥ 35-year-old patients with valvular cardiopathy or in < 35-year-old patients with two or more risk factors. Muñoz concluded that coronary angiography in valvular patients is indicated in men > 60 years of age, and in women > 65 years of age. Historically, each period of 5 or 10 years was taken as a limit to indicate coronary angiography for patients with valvular cardiopathy, from the age of 35 to 65 years. According our results shown in Figure 1, if we indicate coronary artery angiography in patients in their 40s, 88 % of the patients will show normal coronary angiography. It is well known that women with coronary artery disease are older than men. Previously, we reported the following results for patients with cardiac valve disease and coronary artery lesions: percentile 50, ≤ 60 years old for women, and ≤ 53 years old for men.

Many authors consider the presence of angina pectoris as the keystone to indicate coronary angiography; for instance,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coronary disease</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Predictive values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>(a) 4</td>
<td>(b) 18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Positive 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>(c) 4</td>
<td>(d) 76</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Negative 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>50 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td></td>
<td>80.85 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) true positive patients; (b) false positives patients; (c) false negative patients; (d) true negative patients.
young patients with valve cardiopathy and angina pectoris.\textsuperscript{11,12} Ramsdale and colleagues studied a great number of variables to find a predictive index for significant coronary artery disease. The method of these authors showed a sensitivity of 95.9\% and a specificity of 55.2\%.\textsuperscript{2} These data suggest that the index was useful to recognize patients with significant coronary artery atherosclerosis. Using the same index, we found a sensitivity of 50\% and a specificity of 80.85\%, suggesting that we can predict cases without coronary artery disease.

According to the medical literature, in western Europe and in the U.S., a high prevalence of degenerative cardiac valvulopathy is observed. On the contrary, in Mexico, a high prevalence of rheumatic cardiac valvulopathy is observed. Although Ramsdale and colleagues did not mention the nature of the valvular disease in their patients, we are prone to believe that degenerative and rheumatic were included. On the contrary, we excluded patients with non-rheumatic cardiac valvulopathy. For that reason, we do not expect to find a coincidence of our results with those of Ramsdale \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{2} especially about the specificity and sensibility; however, the mean age coincided in both studies. The ratio of women to men was 1.48 in our study, and 1.35 in the Ramsdale investigation.\textsuperscript{2} This might mean that patients with degenerative cardiac valvulopathy were include in the Ramsdale study. Although the number of patients studied by Ramsdale and colleagues was higher than the number of patients collected in the present study, the calculated power of our sample showed an acceptable number of patients included in our study.

As mentioned, sensitivity and specificity found by Ramsdale \textit{et al.} were 95.9 and 52.2\%, respectively. Our results were sensitivity 50\% and specificity 80.85\%. In the Ramsdale group, true positive cases were clearly identified; in our study true negative cases were well identified. According to the results of Ramsdale and colleagues, it is possible to diagnose patients with coronary artery disease with an error of 5\%, but not possible to recognize patients without coronary artery lesions. According to our results, we can predict absence of coronary artery lesions, with an error of 5\%, but are unable to predict patients with coronary artery lesions (Table II).

According to our results, the sensitivity of the test was 50\%: almost half of the patients with an index \(\geq 600\) had coronary artery lesions, and the specificity was 80.85\%: 4/5 of the cases with an index < 600 had no coronary artery lesions. The positive predictive value was 0.18, indicating that patients with an index \(\geq 600\) have a probability, a posteriori, of 18\% to present coronary artery lesions. An index < 600 predicts, a posteriori, the absence of coronary artery lesions with a probability of 95\% (negative predictive value, 0.95).

Generally, it is desirable to account with a diagnostic index with high specificity and sensitivity. But in some cases this is not possible to obtain this degree of confidence. According to the pathology, it is possible to renounce to one of these statistical constants. In the case of patients with cardiac valve disease, an index with high specificity is required because we cannot obviate the coronary angiography in patients with false negatives. In false-positive patients, coronary artery angiography could correct the error without consequences.

The presence of significant coronary artery disease worsens the prognosis of patients with heart valve disease. Scanlon and colleagues recommend performing coronary artery angiography in adult patients, before valve surgery or intraluminal valvotomy, with chest discomfort or with myocardial ischemia demonstrated by means of non-invasive imaging studies, or in patients without angor pectoris, but with multiple factor risks for coronary artery disease.\textsuperscript{13} As we can see at first glance, these recommendations are not useful to differentiate the true from the false positives in the group of patients previously studied by us and other authors.\textsuperscript{2} In the present study we found only eight patients (7.84\%) with significant coronary artery atherosclerosis associated with cardiac valve rheumatic disease. Previously, we have reported 407 patients with rheumatic heart disease and found only 8.3\% with coronary atherosclerosis.\textsuperscript{1}

Although the frequency of the coronary arteriovenous fistulae is very low (< 1 of all congenital cardiopathies), the surprisingly discovery of these fistula during coronary angiography in patients with cardiac valve disease or ischemic cardiopathy is not infrequent.\textsuperscript{14-16}

In previous decades, coronary angiography was cautiously indicated in patients with clinical diagnosis of ischemic cardiopathy. At that time, few cases of normal coronary arteries were detected. Since that time, coronary angiography became an easy, quick, and safe procedure, and indications for coronary angiography were more numerous. For that reason, an increase in the number of patients with normal coronary arteries was observed. However, such an increase is less (almost 20\%) than the rate of normal coronary arteries found in patients with cardiac valvulopathy. Many investigations have been carried out to discriminate patients with cardiac valvulopathy isolated or associated with coronary artery atherosclerosis. Although none of these investigations has obtained optimal results, it is at least a good approach to know that age or presence of the isolated risk factor of ischemic cardiopathy are poor prognostic indices for significant coronary artery atherosclerosis in these patients.

In conclusion, the index analyzed herein is useful to predict cases without significant coronary artery disease in patients with rheumatic heart valvulopathy; however, this index is not useful to predict significant coronary artery disease in these patients. The positive predictive value was 0.18, indicating that patients with an index \(\geq 600\) have a probability, a posteriori, of 18\% to present coronary artery lesions. An index <600 predicts, a posteriori, the absence of coronary artery lesions with a probability of 95\% (negative predictive value, 0.95).
Because age, gender or isolated signs and symptoms are poor predictors of significant coronary artery lesions in these patients, it is mandatory to find an index that impeaches unnecessary coronary artery angiography.
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