The efficacy and safety of entecavir in patients with chronic hepatitis B-associated liver failure: a meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Background. The effectiveness of nucleoside analogue (NA) treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB)-associated liver failure is still controversial. Severe lactic acidosis has been reported during entecavir (ETV) treatment in patients with impaired liver function.

Aim. To investigate the rescuing efficacy and safety of ETV in patients with CHB-associated liver failure.

Material and methods. A literature search was carried out to collect articles dated up to December, 2013 on ETV therapy for patients with CHB-associated liver failure. Risk ratio (RR) and mean difference (MD) were used to measure the effects. Survival rate was used as the primary efficacy measure. The safety of ETV was assessed.

Results. Six randomized controlled trials were selected. The overall analysis revealed ETV significantly improved survival at 4 weeks (RR = 1.35; 95% CI [1.16, 1.57]; p < 0.0001), 8 weeks (RR = 1.33; 95% CI [1.07, 1.64]; p = 0.009), 12 weeks (RR = 1.68; 95% CI [1.24, 2.28]; p = 0.0008). Pooled data also showed beneficial effects of antiviral therapy compared with control for HBV DNA negative change (RR = 5.35; 95% CI [2.06, 13.88]; p = 0.0006), TBIL and PTA improvement (TBIL: MD = -69.36; 95% CI [-134.37, -4.36]; p = 0.04. PTA: MD = 16.26; 95% CI [8.59, 23.94]; p < 0.0001). No adverse effect was identified in the examined studies.

Conclusion. Our results showed that antiviral therapy with ETV improved the short-term survival of patients with CHB-associated liver failure. In addition, ETV was well tolerated during the treatment period. Further studies are still needed to strengthen these results.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis B (CHB), caused by the hepatitis B virus (HBV), is a serious health problem worldwide, especially in China, and other parts of Asia. Patients with chronic HBV infection are at an increased risk of developing liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. In some cases, patients may develop severe acute exacerbations, resulting in liver failure and death. Liver failure is the inability of the liver to perform its normal synthetic, metabolic, excretory and biotransformation functions, and usually manifests as coagulopathy, jaundice, ascites and hepatic encephalopathy. In China, HBV infection is the leading cause of liver failure, and HBV-induced liver failure is usually severe, with a high mortality. Current treatments for liver failure include supportive and symptomatic treatment-based comprehensive treatment, artificial liver support systematic treatment, and liver transplant. Liver transplantation is the most effective therapy for liver failure; however, it is limited by the availability of donor organs and high medical expense.

As we know, oral antiviral agents, nucleoside analogues (NAs), including lamivudine (LAM), adefovir dipivoxil (ADV), entecavir (ETV), telbivudine (LdT), and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), have been licensed for the treatment of CHB patients. Recently NAs treatment has been proven effective in improving the status of patients with severe decompensated chronic liver disease and acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) related

151

The efficacy and safety of entecavir in CHB-associated liver failure.

- Previous diagnosis of CHB or HBV-associated cirrhosis.
- Rapidly deepening jaundice, with total bilirubin (TBIL) 10 times greater than the upper limit of normality ($\geq 171 \mu mol/L$ or 10 mg/dL), or a daily increase $\geq 17.1 \mu mol/L$ or 1 mg/dL.
- Hemorrhagic tendency with international normalized ratio (INR) $\geq 1.5$ or prothrombin activity (PTA) $\leq 40\%$.
- HBV DNA $> 10^3$ copies/mL (approximately 200 IU/mL).

Interventional measure: routine comprehensive treatment in the control group; ETV (0.5 mg/d) combined with routine comprehensive treatment in the test group; and
- The neutrality and comparability of the two groups studied in terms of age, gender, and other biological or chemical predictors.

Exclusion criteria were:
- Patients with superinfection with hepatitis A, C, D, and E virus, Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus, human immunodeficiency virus, and others;
- Patients with other causes of chronic liver failure, like drug-induced liver injury, auto-immune liver disease, alcoholic liver disease, and inherited metabolic disease, et al;
- Patients with malignant tumors and severe blood anomalies;
- Patients receiving antiviral therapy at the time recruited to the study or having received antiviral therapy in 6 months before the studies;
- Patients receiving artificial liver treatment during the studies;
- Non-convertible or unusable data in literature; and
- Literatures not published as full text.

**Literature search**

We searched Pubmed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, the Chinese BioMedical Literature (CBM), Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Technological Journal of Database (VIP) and Wanfang databases for eligible articles published up to December, 2013 without language and publication restrictions. To search for publications, we applied a free key word or mesh word search with the following terms: severe hepatitis B, chronic severe hepatitis B, liver failure, hepatic failure, acute on chronic liver failure, acute exacerbation, severe acute exacerbation, hepatitis B virus,
hepatitis B virus infection, HBV, entecavir, ETV, baraclude, nucleoside analog* and nucleotide analog.* In addition, a manual search of abstracts of international liver meetings, reference lists of retrieved articles and qualitative topic reviews was also performed.

Indicators of therapeutic efficacy

The primary efficacy endpoint was survival rate. Secondary efficacy endpoints were HBV DNA negative change rate, TBIL and PTA changes. The safety of ETV treatment during the clinical course of liver failure was also assessed.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two reviewers (Xiaoguo Zhang and Lu Liu) independently selected the studies and extracted the data and outcomes according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. In cases of disagreement between the two reviewers, a third reviewer (Shijun Chen) examined the data and discussed the choices with the two initial reviewers. The data were incorporated only when the three reviewers reached a consensus. The information collected included basic information on the studies, sample sizes, receiver characteristics (gender, age, TBIL, PTA, HBV DNA, etc.), and results.

Methodological quality assessment of the included studies was performed using the Cochrane risk of bias tool (Random sequence generation, Allocation concealment, Blinding of participants and personnel, Blinding of outcome assessment, Incomplete outcome data, Selective reporting, Other sources of bias) as described in the Cochrane Reviewer’s Handbook 5.1.0.

Statistical analysis

Analysis was performed with review manager version 5.2.7 (Rev Man 5.2.7 from Cochrane Collaboration). Risk ratio (RR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were used to compare survival and HBV DNA negative change rates. Mean difference (MD)
and 95% CI were used to compare TBIL and PTA changes. The heterogeneity of the data collected was measured using Cochran’s Q test (Chi-square $\chi^2$ test) and I² tests. The fixed or random effect model was used depending on the presence or absence of significant heterogeneity. In our meta-analysis, $P > 0.10$ in Cochran’s Q test and $I^2 < 25\%$ were considered indicators of no or low-level heterogeneity, and the fixed effects model was adopted when the data across studies was pooled. Otherwise, the random effects model was used. For all tests except heterogeneity, $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Funnel charts, Egger’s test and Begg’s test were used to detect possible publication biases. Sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the validity and reliability of the primary meta-analysis.

### RESULTS

#### Characteristics of the included studies

A total of six studies comprising 536 patients fulfilled the criteria for this systematic review and meta-analysis. The strategy is summarized in figure 1. All selected studies originated from mainland China and were published in Chinese. One study had three-arm design with two test groups: one using ETV, and the other using LAM. All patients were given routine comprehensive treatment, including: intensive care monitoring, nutritional supplementation, intravenous drop infusion of albumin and plasma, maintenance water, and electrolyte and acid-base equilibrium. Detailed characteristics of the included studies are shown in table 1.

#### Effect of ETV treatment on the survival rate

Survival rate was used as the primary efficacy endpoint in the selected studies with 267 cases in the test group and 269 cases in the control group. In the heterogeneity test, $\chi^2 = 5.00$, df = 7, $P = 0.66$, and $I^2 = 0\%$ (Figure 2), suggesting that there was no significant variability among the included studies. Therefore, the fixed effect model was used to combine the effect measures using RR as indicator (RR = 1.41), and 95% CI was (1.26, 1.59). In the test for the overall effect, $Z = 5.77$ and $P < 0.00001$, suggesting that ETV treatment in patients with CHB-associated liver failure improved the survival rate.

A heterogeneity test in the subgroup analysis was conducted during the treatment course (4 weeks, 8 weeks and 12 weeks). Of the selected studies,
three\textsuperscript{21-23} reported 4-weeks’ survival for 302 patients (147 patients received ETV). The assessment of heterogeneity produced $P = 0.54$ in Cochran’s Q test and $I^2 = 0\%$, which indicated that there was no significant variability between these studies. The fixed effect model was therefore used, and the estimated pooled RR for these studies showed a significant increase in survival rate for patients receiving antiviral therapy ($RR = 1.35; 95\% CI [1.16, 1.57]$; $p < 0.0001$).

Two studies\textsuperscript{22,23} reported 8-weeks’ survival for 192 patients (92 patients received ETV). The assessment of heterogeneity produced $p = 0.82$ in Cochran’s Q test and $I^2 = 0\%$, which indicated that there was no significant variability between these 2 studies. The fixed effect model was therefore used, and the estimated pooled RR for these studies showed a significant increase in survival rate for patients receiving antiviral therapy ($RR = 1.33; 95\% CI [1.07, 1.64]$; $p = 0.009$).

Three studies\textsuperscript{23-25} reported 12-weeks’ survival for 224 patients (115 patients received ETV). The assessment of heterogeneity produced $P = 0.42$ in Cochran’s Q test and $I^2 = 0\%$, which indicated that there was no significant variability between these studies. The fixed effect model was therefore used, and the estimated pooled RR for these studies showed a significant increase in survival rate for patients receiving antiviral therapy ($RR = 1.68; 95\% CI [1.24, 2.28]$; $p = 0.008$).

Figure 2. Overall effect of ETV treatment on the survival rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Test Events</th>
<th>Test Total</th>
<th>Control Events</th>
<th>Control Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Risk Ratio</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.6.1 Comparison of the 4 weeks’ survival rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuxiang Guo 2008</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>1.25(1.01, 1.56)</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biao Wu 2008</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>1.29(1.02, 1.63)</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haimin Yang 2008</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>1.54(1.11, 2.12)</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (95% CI)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.9%</td>
<td>1.35(1.16, 1.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total events</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 1.23$, df = 2 ($P = 0.54$); $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for overall effect $Z = 3.91$ ($P &lt; 0.0001$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **1.6.2 Comparison of the 8 weeks’ survival rate** |
| Biao Wu 2008 | 34         | 55        | 25             | 55            | 13.9%  | 1.36(0.95, 1.94) | 2008 |
| Yuxiang Guo 2008 | 32   | 37        | 30             | 45            | 15.0%  | 1.30(1.02, 1.65) | 2008 |
| Subtotal (95% CI)| 92     |           | 100            |               | 28.9%  | 1.33(1.07, 1.64) | |
| Total events    | 66        |           | 55             |               |        |             |      |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.05$, df = 1 ($P = 0.82$); $I^2 = 0\%$ |
| Test for overall effect $Z = 2.60$ ($P < 0.009$) |

| **1.6.3 Comparison of the 12 weeks’ survival rate** |
| Biao Wu 2008 | 29         | 55        | 17             | 55            | 9.4%   | 1.71(1.07, 2.72) | 2008 |
| Zhaohui Wang 2011 | 12  | 22        | 4              | 20            | 2.3%   | 2.73(1.05, 7.09) | 2011 |
| Dan Lv 2011    | 25         | 38        | 16             | 34            | 9.4%   | 1.40(0.92, 2.14) | 2008 |
| Subtotal (95% CI)| 115    |           | 109            |               | 21.2%  | 1.68(1.24, 2.28) | |
| Total events    | 66        |           | 37             |               |        |             |      |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 1.72$, df = 2 ($P = 0.42$); $I^2 = 0\%$ |
| Test for overall effect $Z = 3.37$ ($P < 0.0008$) |

Total (95% CI) | 354 | 364 | 100.0% | 1.41(1.26, 1.59) |
| Total events | 250 | 185 |        |               |
| Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 5.00$, df = 7 ($P = 0.66$); $I^2 = 0\%$ |
| Test for overall effect $Z = 5.77$ ($P < 0.00001$) |
| Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2 = 1.85$, df = 2 ($P = 0.40$), $I^2 = 0\%$ |

Comparison of HBV DNA negative change rate between test and control groups

Three studies\textsuperscript{21,22,26} reported HBV DNA negative change at 4 weeks, and two\textsuperscript{22,26} studies reported HBV DNA negative change at 8 weeks. A heterogeneity test showed that $\chi^2 = 17.21$, $P = 0.002$, and
I² = 77%, suggesting the existence of heterogeneity among these studies. The random effect model was therefore used to combine the overall effects, and the estimated pooled RR showed a high HBV DNA negative rate for patients receiving ETV therapy (RR = 5.35; 95% CI [2.06, 13.88]; p = 0.0006) (Figure 3). Heterogeneity in the subgroup analysis existed during the treatment course (4 and 8 weeks), so the random effect model was used, and the resulting RRs were 3.68 and 9.31 respectively, and the 95%
CIs were (1.16, 11.60) and (2.04, 42.17) respectively. In the test for the overall effect, \( Z = 3.45 \) and \( P = 0.0006 \), which indicated a significant statistical difference. Thus, ETV treatment significantly inhibited HBV DNA replication.

**TBIL and PTA**

**Comparison between test and control groups**

We also evaluated the impact of antiviral therapy on TBIL and PTA changes. There were only two studies\(^{21,22} \) that reported TBIL and PTA changes at 4 weeks and heterogeneity was found among these groups (TBIL: \( \chi^2 = 8.31, df = 1, P = 0.004 \), and \( I^2 = 88\% \) [Figure 4]; PTA: \( \chi^2=1.62, df = 1, P = 0.20 \), and \( I^2 = 38\% \) [Figure 5]). The random effect model was therefore used to combine the overall effects using MD as the indicator. Our results showed that ETV decreased the TBIL level (MD = -69.36; 95% CI [-134.37, -4.36]; \( p = 0.04 \)) and increased PTA levels (MD = 16.26; 95% CI [8.59, 23.94]; \( p < 0.0001 \)) at the 4 weeks after antiviral therapy. Lei Fan’s study\(^{26} \) reported TBIL and PTA changes at 24 weeks. In that study, liver function in the test group was significantly better than that in the control group.

**Safety**

No studies reported patients developing drug-related adverse events or drug related viral mutation.

**Sensitivity analysis**

To confirm the stability of the primary analysis, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by excluding studies one by one and found that the overall survival rate did not change significantly with the exclusion of any single study.

**Risk of bias in included studies**

The overall quality of the studies included in this meta-analysis was suboptimal. Each of the six studies was a RCT, of which four did not report how the allocation sequences were generated. Five studies did not report the methods of the allocation concealment, and one study took an open random allocation schedule. Five studies did not report the blinding of the study participants and personnel. Because different follow-up times and different outcomes were reported, often without full statistical details, it was not possible to meta-analyze all the data. Risk of bias graph and summary are shown in figures 6 and 7.

**Publication bias**

A funnel plot of the studies used in the meta-analysis reporting on the survival rate is shown in figure 8. Egger’s test and Begg’s test were also used to evaluate the possibility of publication bias. No evidence of publication bias was found in our study.

**DISCUSSION**

CHB-associated liver failure, once named severe chronic hepatitis B, represents one of the most difficult to treat liver diseases with a high mortality rate. The mechanisms involved in this disease are rather complicated and remain unclear, but one of the important known mechanisms is an overactive immune response, particularly the cytotoxic T lymphocyte reaction to infected hepatocytes, due to the high level of HBV replication and protein antigen expression on target cell surfaces. This mechanism is necessary for HBV clearance and causes significant apoptosis and necrosis of hepatocytes.\(^2,27-29\) In Zhao’s study on the cause and outcome of chronic and acute liver failure, HBV replication and muta-
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**Table 6.** Risk of bias graph: Review authors’ judgments about each risk of bias item for each included study.
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...were the primary causes of severe hepatitis B.\(^{30}\) Therefore, a reasonable solution for treating CHB-associated liver failure is to inhibit HBV replication within the body and relieve immune hyperactivity using antiviral drugs.

LAM has been reported to decrease HBVDNA levels in the serum, improve liver function and enhance survival rate of patients with severe CHB.\(^{31}\) There is no doubt that ETV is superior to LAM in the treatment of CHB,\(^{32}\) but is ETV also effective in treatment for severe CHB or CHB-associated liver failure? Several reports have reviewed the use of ETV in the treatment of HBV-related decompensated liver disease, and ETV is considered to be one of the first-line therapies for patients with this disease.\(^{33-35}\)

A retrospective matched cohort study by Ke Ma, \textit{et al.}\(^{36}\) evaluated the survival rates of patients with Hepatitis B related ACLF receiving ETV treatment and found that the 1 and 3 month survival rates of patients in the ETV treated group (n = 124) were 72.58 and 61.29\%, respectively, significantly higher than that in the NA free group (n = 124), which were 53.23 and 45.97\%, respectively. In Tianyan Chen’s report on the efficacy of NA treatment in patients with HBV-related ACLF,\(^{37}\) 42 patients were treated with 0.5 mg ETV daily (ETV group), and 34 patients did not take any NA (non-NA group). After 3 months of treatment, the non NA group had a significantly higher mortality compared with the ETV group (64.7 vs. 33.3\%, \(\chi^2 = 7.163, P = 0.007\)). Bingliang Lin’s study\(^{38}\) showed that ETV treatment for patients with acute on chronic hepatitis B liver failure significantly improved disease severity scores with a marked reduction in mortality and suppression in HBV DNA to undetectable levels at week 48. Moreover, the survival benefit was noted in ETV treated patients as early as week 3.

However, other studies drew different conclusions. Jun Chen\(^{13}\) collected data on 129 chronic severe hepatitis B patients: 55 were treated with ETV, and the remaining 74 were not treated with NAs. The results showed that although ETV greatly reduced HBV replication (\(P < 0.001\)), the MELD score, liver function and short-term survival rate showed no significant differences between test and control group. Yaoli Cui’s data\(^{14}\) also indicated that NAs (including ETV) treatment did not improve the short-term prognosis of patients with HBV-associated ACLF, although it was efficacious and safe in the management of HBV DNA levels. A study from Hong Kong even found that ETV treatment was associated with increased short-term mortality in patients with severe acute exacerbation.\(^{15}\)

The effectiveness of ETV for treating chronic CHB-associated liver failure has been demonstrated by many trials, such as those discussed above. However, most were not randomized controlled trials. We wished to obtain a more stringent, conclusive result. In the present study, RCTs conducted before December 2013 were collected. Our primary pooling results demonstrated that ETV treatment improved the short-term survival rate of patients with CHB-associated liver failure. The strategy of subgroup analysis was adopted in our statistical analysis. We found that the 4, 8 and 12 week survival rates of the test groups were higher than those of the control groups. Thus, ETV appeared to improve the survival rate of patients at weeks 4, 8 and 12 during the treatment course. Because different outcomes were reported, often without full statistical details, we presented the HBV DNA negative change rate at weeks 4 and 8, TBIL and PTA changes at week 4. Our results showed that ETV inhibited HBV replication and improved liver function.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{bias_triangle.png}
\caption{Risk of bias graph: Review authors’ judgments about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.}
\end{figure}
ETV can inhibit the activity of HBV DNA polymerase. This inhibition decreases HBV replication, thereby reducing the viral load in the liver and blood, decreasing the target antigen expression on the surface of liver cells and reducing CTL attack on infected liver cells. Lu’s study demonstrated that ETV could regulate the function of dendritic cells in CHB patients, so the immune modulation may be another mechanism by which ETV treatment improves survival rate of patients with CHB-associated liver failure. Thus, it appears reasonable to use ETV for treating CHB-associated liver failure.

To investigate the optimal timing for therapeutic efficacy of ETV for HBV-related ACLF in hepatitis B e antigen negative patients, 109 patients were collected by Yan Y and divided into three groups according to MELD score: high (≥ 30); intermediate (22-30); and low (≤ 22). When ETV was added to comprehensive therapy, the mortality of patients with a high MELD score was 95.83%, while the mortality of patients with a low MELD score was 3.23%. Yan Y’s study suggested that ETV treatment for CHB-associated liver failure should be initiated as early as possible.

The safety of ETV in the included studies was also assessed. No studies reported the development of drug-related adverse events, drug-related viral mutation or the need for dose modification or early discontinuation.

In the end, we are obliged to mention the several limitations of our meta-analysis. First, the number of included studies was small, and some did not include all of the outcome parameters which we wanted to analyze at the different follow-up time points. As mentioned above, NAs therapy has been recommended as antiviral treatment for patients with HBV-associated liver failure. It seemed unethical to perform a controlled trial using no antiviral treatment, which limited the conduct of RCTs. Second, the quality of included studies was not optimal. Most of the studies did not report how the allocation sequences were generated and did not report the methods of the allocation concealment. Risk of bias may therefore exist in the included studies. Third, all of the included studies were conducted in China, thus the conclusion may not be generalizable to other populations. Finally, although the assessment of publication bias was not significant in our analysis, the possibility of publication bias may exist in any research because negative and small sample studies are less likely to be published. These limitations have all affected the reliability of research results. Therefore, future studies should assess high-quality, well-designed, multicenter RCTs with larger sample sizes.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, our results showed that antiviral therapy with ETV improved the short-term survival rate of patients with CHB-associated liver failure. In addition, ETV was well tolerated during the treatment period. Further studies are still needed to increase the weight of these findings.
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