4% formalin application for the treatment of radiation induced proctitis: Retrospective chart review of experience and technique in 21 patients
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Abstract

Purpose: Retrospective review of cases from 2000-2005 evaluating the efficacy and technique of formalin instillation for treatment of bleeding caused by radiation induced proctitis. Methods: Twenty-one patients underwent 4% formalin instillation after exhausting conventional medical therapy. Results: Charts were reviewed from two colorectal surgery groups in Columbus, Ohio. Twenty-one cases were identified between 2000 and 2005. Six practicing colorectal surgeons participated in the study. Mean age of patient population was 70 years (range 50-85). All but one patient studied was male. The indication for formalin instillation was recalcitrant bleeding after prostate irradiation in the male population, and one female with irradiation for cervical cancer. All patients had previous medical treatments with combination of mesalamine suppositories, hydrocortisone enemas, IRC/APC or electrocoagulation. Two patients required transfusions (9.5%). Because of the heterogeneity of the two groups, and individual surgeons, surgical technique varied. Technique of application is classified into three groups: Formalin soaked guaze instillation, formalin irrigation, and a combination of both. Contact time varied from 1-5 minutes, with majority of surgeons applying formalin for 1 minute duration. Eleven of 21 patients (52%) stopped bleeding after first session. Six (29%) required a second treatment for cessation of bleeding after recurrence. Four patients were characterized as partial responders and were further treated with medical management. Thus an 81% success rate was seen with this procedure, in accordance with what is reported by other investigators. Complications from the procedure was seen in 6 patients (28%). Including 1 patient with chemical rectosigmoiditis, 1 patient with tenesmus, 3 patients with rectal pain, and one patient with anal fissure. Conclusions: Formalin irrigation is efficacious in the treatment of bleeding caused by radiation induced proctitis and should be used as an adjunct when other conventional therapies have failed. It should be remembered that the procedure is not innocuous and does have local morbidity.
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Rectal bleeding is a major complication and difficult problem to control in patients with radiation induced proctitis. The incidence of radiation induced proctitis will only increase with increasing use of radiation for the treatment of pelvic malignancies. Conventional treatments have failed to show good results and thus the use of formalin application has been advocated for this condition. Brown (1969) was the first to use formalin for the treatment of radiation induced hemorrhagic cystitis, with good results. Taking from this experience, Rubinstein and colleagues were the first to apply similar techniques to the distal rectum afflicted with hemorrhagic radiation proctitis. Various articles have been published since then on the case and efficacy of these treatments. This paper discusses our experience with this procedure pointing out good results comparable to the published literature, but emphasizing the inherent morbidity also encountered which is not negligible.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Charts reviewed from 2000-2005 from the offices of two colon and rectal surgical groups in central Ohio. Twenty one cases were identified in which formalin application was used for the treatment of rectal bleeding recalcitrant to conventional therapy. All patients were treated in the operating room with the use of 4% formalin. Application techniques varied from rigid proctoscope formalin instillations, guaze irrigation and finally a combination of the two. Contact time varied from 1-5 minutes per application and copiously irrigated following application. The anoderm was cautiously protected from the formalin with Vaseline prep.

RESULTS

Mean age of the study population was 70 (range 50-85). All but one patient was male. Prostate cancer was the indication for radiation in the male population and the one female patient received radiation for cervical cancer. Eleven (52%) patients stopped bleeding after first session. Six (29%) required a second treatment for complete response. Four patients were considered partial responders and further progressed with intermittent bleeding that required continued medical management. Overall 81% success rate is reported in this study, which is in accordance with the literature. Morbidity was not negligible. Six (28%) patients had complications. The most severe complication included 1 patient admitted to the hospital for observation with chemical rectosigmoiditis secondary to proximal reflux of formalin. Rectal pain was experienced in 3 patients. Tenesmus was noticed by one patient. An anal fissure post procedure was seen in one patient. These complications have been reported in the literature in varying rates of occurrence.

DISCUSSION

Radiation proctitis is a complication of ionizing radiation given for various pelvic malignancies. The rectum is uniquely vulnerable due to its fixed position in the pelvis. Chronic radiation changes can be seen in 1-20 percent of these patients. Mucosal changes in the lower rectum caused by this radiation induced endarteritis causes the mucosa to be friable and bleed easily. Conventional treatments include but are not limited to hydrocortisone, sulfasalazine, mesalamine and sucralfate enemas. Short chain fatty acids have been used. Irc and laser fulguration have been applied to hemorrhagic proctitis. Fulguration is effective, but usually requires multiple sessions to control all bleeding point and also has its inherent morbidity. Formalin application has been gaining acceptance due to its efficacy and ease of use. Applications can be repeated for recurrent bleeding, with relative good results and further
hastening continued bleeding. An advantage of formalin over fulguration is that on application to the distal rectum it affects all areas that are bleeding or prone to bleed. Also the procedure usually is effective with just one application. Formalin works by causing a chemical cauterization of the friable bleeding mucosal tissue. Complications to this procedure are minimal, but are not negligible and should always be kept in mind. The optimal method of application has not been well described. Distal reflux of formalin has been described in previous articles and is caused when the rigid sigmoidoscope is used for instillation. Overdistention of the distal rectum with subsequent reflux of formalin should be avoided. We have modified our technique somewhat when instilling by this method and try to avoid overdistention of the rectum. A 4 x 4 guaze may also be placed proximally so as to catch any effluent tracking proximally.

CONCLUSION

The use of formalin application for the treatment of bleeding radiation proctitis has been reported to be efficacious. The current study also demonstrates good results. With the increasing use of radiation treatments for pelvic malignancies the incidence of radiation proctitis will only increase. Formalin adds another dimension to the existing therapy that can be used for this vexing problem. It should be remembered that the procedure is not innocuous and does have local morbidity.
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