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ABC’s or ICP? Goals for anesthetic management of patients with head injury
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GOALS OF THE PRESENTATION

• Review recommendations of the Brain Trauma Foundation 2001
• Review evidence for traditional strategies for “neuroprotection”
• Introduce some new concepts concerning potential therapeutic strategies

GUIDELINES 2001 (WWW.BRAINTRAUMA.ORG)

• Standards (Class I evidence)
• ICP normal - avoid prolonged hyperventilation
• No benefit from steroid administration
• No benefit from prophylactic anticonvulsants

GUIDELINES 2001

• Recommendations - class II evidence
• Regional trauma management system
• Avoid hypotension (BP systolic < 90 mmHg), hypoxemia (SpO₂ < 90%, PaO₂ < 60 mmHg)
• Measure ICP if: GCS 3-8 with abnormal CT or 2 or more of the following: age > 40 yrs, motor signs, Bpsystolic < 90 mmHg

GUIDELINES 2001

• Treat ICP > 20-25 mmHg, mannitol 0.25-1 g/kg
• Avoid prophylactic hyperventilation (PaCO₂ < 35 mmHg) during the first 24 hours after traumatic brain injury

• Consider high dose barbiturates for treatment of uncontrollable intracranial hypertension

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES YOU WILL ENCOUNTER

• Traditional
• Perfusion pressure based
• “Lund” strategy

TRADITIONAL MANAGEMENT

• Rapid evacuation of intracranial hematomas
• Initial management
  • airway control, tracheal intubation, oxygen therapy, ventilatory support
  • rapid correction of systemic hypotension
  • sufficient fluid administration to maintain intravascular volume

A. Traditional ICP-directed management strategy

Barbiturate coma
Hyperventilation
Osmotherapy
Neuromuscular blocking agent
CSF drainage

Robertson, C.S. Anesthesiology 2001
CPP HYPOThesis (J Neurosurg 1995;83:949)

- The brain “sees” perfusion as the cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) not the mean arterial pressure (MAP)
- \( \uparrow \) ICP are caused by autoregulatory responses to reductions in CPP near the limits of autoregulation

B. Physiological Basis of the CPP Management Strategy

### B. Physiological Basis of the CPP Management Strategy

- Spontaneous Dehydration
- Pharmacologic
- Mechanical
- Metabolism

- SBP
- CPP
- ICP
- Vasodilation
- Edema
- CBF
- CBV
- Vasodilatory Cascade

### “Lund” Strategy (↓ Cerebral Edema Formation)

- Supine position
- “stress” reduction - thiopental (0.5 - 3 \( \mu \)g/kg/h), fentanyl 2-5 \( \mu \)g/kg/h, metoprolol, clonidine
- \( \downarrow \) of cerebral blood volume - thiopental, dihydroergotamine - 0.1-0.9 \( \mu \)g/kg/h

### C. Physiological Basis of the Lund Management Strategy

\[
Jv = K\left((P_c - P_i) - \sigma(\pi_c - \pi_i)\right)
\]

- Interstitial space
- Capillary

### Differences in management approaches to the head-injured patient

#### Approaches to the critical care management of traumatic brain injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General management:</th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>CPP management(^2)</th>
<th>Lund therapy(^4)(^,)(^15)</th>
<th>Individualized therapy(^6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head position</td>
<td>15-30(^\circ) elevation</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>Low dose thiopental, metoprolol + clonidine</td>
<td>Whatever position best CPP and ICP, morphine + lorazepam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedation</td>
<td>morphine + lorazepam</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ischemia/hypoperfusion pattern, do not treat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of systemic hypertension</td>
<td>Treat SBP &gt; 160 mmHg, using labetalol</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, avoid hyperglycemia</td>
<td>Adequate perfusion, may treat with labetalol, yes, avoid hyperglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional support</td>
<td>Yes, avoid hyperglycemia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, avoid hyperglycemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of intracranial hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscular blockade</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ischemia/hypoperfusion pattern, don’t use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperventilation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Adequate perfusion, may use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF drainage</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmotherapy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hyperperfusion/edema pattern, yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbiturate coma</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hypoperfusion/edema pattern, no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Management of cerebral perfusion pressure

- Goal for CPP: Not considered, keep ICP < 20 mmHg and normal BP
- > 70-80 mmHg (above lower limit of autoregulation)
- > 50-60 mmHg (whatever provides adequate perfusion)

CPP = cerebral perfusion pressure; ICP = intracranial pressure; SBP = systolic blood pressure; CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; BP = blood pressure; CBF = cerebral blood flow
WHAT BLOOD PRESSURE SHOULD I AIM FOR?

- Concept of “Traumatic Penumbra” - perilesional regions are the most affected by therapeutic interventions. (Menton D. Anesthesiology 98, 2003)
- The penumbral region is probably best defined by physiological imaging (PET, fMRI ect).
- Frontal and temporal contusions (motivation and memory) - these regions are frequently contused

MULTIMODAL MRI IMAGING

WHAT BLOOD PRESSURE?

- Traditional management (targeted at reduction of ICP) CPP > 60 mmHg, Psystolic > 90 mmHg
- CPP strategy -CPP > 70 mmHg (Rosner et al. J Neurosurg 1995)
- “Lund” strategy - CPP 50-70 according to the effect on ICP. The traumatic penumbra shows an increased extracellular lactate at CPP < 50 mmHg

POTENTIAL INTERVENTIONS

- Improve perfusion
  - ↑ MAP
- Reduce metabolic demand
  - Hypothermia, anesthetic agents
- Intervene in the ischemic cascade

HYPOTHERMIA

- Archives of neurology. 59(7):1077-83, 2002
- This meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials suggests that hypothermia is not beneficial in the management of severe head injury

ANESTHETIC CHOICE

Does it matter which drugs that I use?

BRIEF HISTORY OF WHAT “NOT TO USE” FOR NEUROANESTHESIA IN MY CAREER

- Late 1970’s - No halothane (↑ ICP)
- mid - 1980’s - No sux (↑ ICP), ? crystalloids
- mid - 1990’s - No sufentanil (↑ ICP), no N2O (↑ metabolism, ICP) crystalloids O.K.
- early 2000’s ? crystalloids? propofol (↓ Cerebral venous O2 sat), protective effects of volatiles?
INTRAOPERATIVE BURST SUPPRESSION WITH THIOPENTAL VS DESFLURANE. HOFFMANN, WE ET AL J NEUROSURG 1998;43:1050-53

- RCT vascular neurosurg - randomized to receive STP (8) or Des (7)
- Outcome: brain PO$_2$, pH, PC=O$_2$, temperature

INTRAOPERATIVE BURST SUPPRESSION WITH THIOPENTAL VS DESFLURANE. BACKGROUND ANESTHETIC HOFFMANN, WE 1998

- STP (3-5 mg/kg), Fentanyl 10-15 µg/kg, vecuronium
- Mild hypothermia (34 deg C)
- Desflurane - 3%
- PaCO$_2$ - 30-35 mmHg

IN SUMMARY

- There is currently no “evidence” to support the concept that the risk of cerebral ischemia in head injury can be reduced by manipulation of pharmacological variables

IN SUMMARY

- Current strategies are based upon reasonable extensions of preclinical (animal) or surrogate variable studies
- Since the benefit of these strategies is unknown, the risk must be very low to be acceptable

CEREBRAL HYPERPERFUSION SYNDROME - AN UNCOMMON CAUSE OF ↑↑↑↑↑ ICP

- Clinical setting - Post-AVM resection or after relief of a high-grade symptomatic stenotic lesion by CEA or stenting.
- Pathophysiology - impaired autoregulation leads to a rapid ↑ in CBF>>CMRO$_2$
- Clinical syndrome - headache, vomiting, arterial hypertension, confusion, seizures, neurological deficits, subarachnoid hemorrhage

**CEREBRAL HYPERPERFUSION SYNDROME**

- Incidence - 0.3-1% after CEA. ? After angioplasty and stenting
- Risk factors
  - symptomatic high grade stenosis (> 80%)
  - poor distal perfusion and collateral flow
  - contralateral carotid occlusion

- perioperative hypertension
- Use of anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents

**CEREBRAL HYPERPERFUSION SYNDROME**

- Prevention - careful blood pressure control, early treatment of seizures
- DDX - edema, hemorrhage, infarct
- Transcranial Doppler, SPECT blood flow
- Management - aggressive blood pressure control, consider diltiazem (reduces BP with least cerebral vasodilation compared to hydralazine, NTG, nicardipine)
SPECT SCAN IN HYPERPERFUSION

DOPPLER IMAGES OF MCA FLOW
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