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Abstract
Objective. This study sought to investigate suicide notes drawn from Mexico and the United States (US) as these countries share geographical proximity, but markedly different cultures and suicide rates. Material and Methods. A theoretical conceptual analysis of 102 suicide notes drawn from these countries, matched for age and sex, was undertaken based on a thematic model of suicide developed by Leenaars, (1,2) across countries. Results. The inter-judge reliability was adequate (86 coefficient of concordance). Conclusions. The results suggested that there are more psychological commonalities than differences. Yet, as this study marks the first one using suicide notes, between Mexico and the USA, much greater study is warranted.
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Suicide is a worldwide problem and cross-cultural studies are essential in developing our understanding of suicidal behavior. (1,4) The studies to date confirm that suicide rates are different among nations; suicide levels have been found to be lower in less developed countries, particularly African, Asian and Latin American countries. (5,6) The study of the role of culture on suicide rates has developed from Durkheim’s seminal work (7) relating suicide to social integration.

Much of the research on suicidal behavior in Latin American countries has been simple descriptive samples of completed and attempted suicides. Yet, there is a
behind suicide behaviour seems extremely important of suicide and suicidal behaviour. Furthermore, from a methods has been shown to develop our understanding in many countries, including Mexico. Yet, each of these limitations and there are problems in obtaining them study of nonfatal suicide attempts and the analysis of investigations (often called psychological autopsies), the method will be the study of suicide notes.

At the same time, several domestic studies have confirmed the rise in suicide in Mexico. Since 1997, suicide has become the fourth cause of death amongst people from 15 to 29 years of age. In 2000 it was the third cause of death amongst women from 15 to 19 years of age and in 2005 it was the second for the 10-19 age group. Ever since 2001, suicide has been the ninth cause of death within the working age group (15 to 64 years of age), increasing its toll on a yearly basis: from a 5.17 rate in 2001, to a 5.5 one in 2005.

Most of the studies related to suicide in Mexico focus on socio-demographical account, such as on suicides, suicidal ideation and attempts. Studies on the psychological dynamics of the suicidal individual are scarce. There is only one study on suicide notes. Furthermore, there are only two cross-cultural studies with Mexico on suicide. Hijar, Chu & Kraus presented an epidemiological study between two cities: Mexico and Los Angeles. They found that in Los Angeles suicide was more prevalent in all age groups, except in the elderly, where there were similar rates. This sparsity warrants greater cross-cultural study, especially between Mexico and the USA, given their geographical similarity, but also great cultural differences (e.g., language, religion, education). The other study was conducted by Nock et al. (2008) and the aim was to report the prevalence and risk factors for suicidal behaviors across 17 countries.

Understanding the act of suicide and motives behind suicide behaviour seems extremely important worldwide, and in order to do so, many researchers from around the world have used different methods to study suicide. Shneidman and Farberow, Maris, and others have suggested the following avenues: national mortality statistics, retrospective psychological investigations (often called psychological autopsies), the study of nonfatal suicide attempts and the analysis of documents (such as suicide notes). All of them have their limitations and there are problems in obtaining them in many countries, including Mexico. Yet, each of these methods has been shown to develop our understanding of suicide and suicidal behaviour. Furthermore, from a cross-cultural perspective, one has to be careful selecting the methods of study, because of the risk of validity and reliability problems between different cultures. Our method will be the study of suicide notes.

Early research on suicide notes largely used an approach that incorporated descriptive information. Subsequent methods, using Frederick’s scheme for methods of analysis have used content analysis, classification analysis and theoretical-conceptual analysis. Each of these approaches has had utility, although Frederick suggested that simple content analysis has limitations (such as noting that the word “love” occurs frequently). Classification schemes use data such as age, sex, marital status, educational level, employment status and mental disorder. Ho and his colleagues developed the most widely used classification scheme; they studied suicides notes in Hong Kong. A similar classification scheme has been used in India, Mexico and Turkey. However, there are limitations; the data are not entirely consistent and differences in collection occur. Yet, the studies have also supported the value of the data, the notion that suicide is complex and the validity of generalizing from suicide note writers to all suicides, warranting, among other things, more in-depth study of suicide notes in different cultures.

Only a few studies have utilized a theoretical-conceptual analysis, despite the assertion in the first formal study of suicide notes that such an approach offers much promise. To address this lack, over 30 years ago, Leenaars et al. has applied a logical, empirical analysis to suicide notes. The method permits a theoretical analysis of suicide notes, augments the effectiveness of controls, and allows us to develop some theoretical insight into the vexing problem of suicide that may have cross-cultural application.

The method has been previously described in detail. It treats suicide notes as an archival source. This source is subjected to the scrutiny of control hypotheses, following an ex post facto research design. This would call for suicide protocol, such as notes to be recast in different theoretical contexts (hypotheses, theories, models) for which lines of evidence of each of these positions can then be pursued in the data. Carnap’s logical and empirical procedures can be utilized for such investigations. To date, the theories of 10 suicidologists, as noted earlier, have been investigated: A. Adler, L. Binswanger, S. Freud, C. G. Jung, K. A. Menninger, G. Kelly, H. A. Murray, E. S. Shneidman, H. S. Sullivan and G. Zilboorg. In order to test the formulations, Carnap’s positivistic procedure calls for the translating of theoretical formulations into observable (specific) protocol sentences.

To summarize from a series of empirical studies (e.g., age, sex, method used, nation) of the theories of...
the 10 suicidologists, a number of theoretical propositions/implications (or protocol sentences) have been identified to be observable in various samples of notes. In his model, Leenaars isolated 100 protocol sentences from each of the 10 theorists and reduced them to 35 sentences; 23 protocol sentences were found to be highly predictive (described) for the content of suicide notes (i.e., one standard deviation above the mean of observations) and 17 protocol sentences significantly discriminated genuine suicide notes from simulated notes (i.e., control data) (with five sentences being both). One unique finding of these studies is that there are considerable age differences in the suicide notes, but not sex. After a series of studies utilizing this model, using Cluster Analysis, the protocols were reduced to eight clusters, groupd in intrapsychic and three interpersonal aspects: 1) unbearable pain, 2) cognitive constriction, 3) indirect expressions, 4) inability to adjust (psychopathology), 5) ego (vulnerability), 6) interpersonal relationships, 7) rejection-aggression, and 8) identification-egression. Leenaars' model is most consistent with the levels beyond the individual, and thus, includes the perception of ecological model suggests that there are different levels; i.e., individual, relationship, community, and societal, that influence behaviour. The interpersonal stage in Leenaars' model is most consistent with the levels beyond the individual, and thus, includes the perception of cultural aspects.

Studies of suicide in different cultures and nations show differences, despite also similarities, for example, a study by Gonzalez-Forteza, in Mexico. Suicide has different meanings for different people. There are only a few studies, for example, on suicide notes from different countries. Leenaars examined 56 suicide notes from Canada and the United States, whose writers were matched for age and sex (this was the first cross-cultural study of suicide notes). None of the intrapsychic or interpersonal aspects differed. Subsequently, studies from Germany, the United Kingdom, Hungary, Russia and Australia supported this observation. Primarily, differences observed were within the interpersonal realm, i.e., the stage but not consistently. For example, the Russian notes reported more interpersonal elements of aggression, murderous impulses, revenge and wanting escape.

Suicide notes, and by implication, the psychological dimensions of suicide, may be similar in Canada, Germany, Hungary, the USA and so on. These studies, however, have been conducted among non-Latin nations. We believe that cultural and religious differences may well have an important effect on suicide acts. For example, Latin-American communities have significantly lower rates of suicide than populations in Europe, Australia, and other North American countries.

There have been no published comparisons between the suicide notes in Mexico and the United States. These countries have very different suicide rates and markedly different cultures. It was hypothesized, consistent with previous cross-cultural studies that there would be more interpersonal differences than intrapsychic ones in the US and Mexico notes. It is assumed that culture affects these aspects more, not only because of research on suicide notes, but also the larger ecological model of understanding suicide, violence and health. This ecological model suggests that there are different levels; i.e., individual, relationship, community, and societal, that influence behaviour. The interpersonal stage in Leenaars' model is most consistent with the levels beyond the individual, and thus, includes the perception of cultural aspects.

**Cross-cultural studies**

International studies are not only rare in the study of suicide notes, but suicide in general, not only in Mexico. Much of our understanding of suicide may, however, be culture-specific. We simply do not know whether an analysis of suicide notes in the United States is applicable to say, Mexico and vice versa. Shneidman noted that when making “cross-cultural comparisons do not make the error of assuming that a suicide is a suicide”.

**Material and Methods**

This study was conducted in the state of Guanajuato, Mexico. The official death records in this state are kept by the Bureau of Justice of Guanajuato State. All records of cause of death and facts surrounding that death are kept. For this study, files from 1995 to 2001 of 747 deaths, registered as suicide cases, 733 files were examined (14 files were missing due to administrative difficulties). Among them, 106 people who died by
suicide (14.46%) left one or more suicide notes, resulting in 216 notes.13

To be more specific, on the sample, the Mexico notes were matched to an adult (n= 51) American sample; this USA sample,25 has been the basis for all previous cross-cultural comparisons to date. The notes were obtained from suicide files of Los Angeles, Cal. The USA sample consisted of equal numbers (n=20) in young adults (18-25), middle adults (25-55), and mature adults (>55) adult groups. The notes from Mexico were reduced from 106 to 51, largely to allow for the matching (age ± 3 years). The reason that the complete sample could not be used is that the notes from Mexico were largely from the young and middle-age age groups, people above 55 were infrequent. The USA notes were equally matched for sex; there were only two females in the Mexican sample older than 55 years. To include the whole sample would have resulted in a skewed age sample. Leenaars23 consistently has controlled for age in the culture studies; teen notes are not included. The mean age of the Mexican notes was 36.8; the age range was 18-74. The mean age of the American notes was 37.1; the age range was 18-77.

Note analysis was done in two steps. The first step, included the training of the clinical judges, both Clinical Psychologist Ph.D. and psychotherapeutic practice. They studied and discussed the meanings of 35 protocol sentences in Leenaars’ method, based on the literature of Leenaars’ work.1,2,25 Then, they made analysis of different notes until reaching an inter-judge reliability above 80% (.86 coefficient of concordance).25 Then, a second step was undertaken; all of the notes in this study (both Mexican and American notes) were analysed independently by two judges. These judges were both blind to socio-demographic features of the note writers, such as age and sex. The notes were analyzed for the presence of the 35 protocol sentences.

The Coefficient of Concordance46 of 90.0% for the Mexican notes and 91.51% for the American notes indicated substantial inter-judge reliability. Subsequently a reconciled rating was obtained. In order to determine whether suicide notes from the two nations differed significantly in the presence of eight sub-clusters and 35 protocol sentences, chi-squares were performed, using SPSS for Windows.*

Results

Similar to previous studies from different countries, there was substantial evidence for the presence of the protocol sentences and clusters in both samples of suicide notes. Thus, one can conclude that the model is applicable to suicide notes of Mexico. Yet, there were a few differences with the American notes. Table I shows a comparison of contents of suicide notes from both countries according to Leenaars’ suicide model. It is interesting to note the most and least frequent protocol sentences for both samples are the same. The least frequent is No. 9: “There is a poverty of thought, exhibited by focusing only on permutations and combinations of grief and grief-provoking topics”; this theme was found in only two (3.92%) of Mexican suicide notes, while one note (1.96%) of the American notes contained this theme. The most frequently found sentences for both nations were No. 12 “Unconscious dynamics can be concluded. There are likely more reasons to the suicide than the person is consciously aware” and No. 35: “S wants to egress (i.e., to escape, to depart, to flee, to be gone), to relieve the unbearable psychological pain.” Item 12 was found in 30 (58.82%) of the Mexican notes and in 32 (62.74%) of the American notes; item 35 was found in 28 (54.90%) of the Mexican notes, and in 34 (66.66%) of the American notes.

When two main meta-frames (intrapsychic and interpersonal) are considered, two samples did not show any significant differences (Figure 1). This was also true for all sub-clusters; there were no significant differences between American and Mexican suicide notes with regard to themes of unbearable psychological pain, cognitive constriction, indirect expressions, inability to adjust, ego, interpersonal relations, rejection-aggression, identification-egression in general.

When one examines the specific protocol sentences in the clusters, notes from Mexico less often expressed statements of suicide as a solution to urgent problem inwards (No. 4) (\(\chi^2 (df= 1, N= 102) = 5.420, p< .05\)), and the unwillingness to accept the problem, choosing to escape (No. 34) (\(\chi^2 (df= 1, N= 102) = 6.746, p< .01\)). No other significant differences emerged.

Discussion

The findings provide further support for the multidimensional model proposed by Leenaars.1,2 Once again, there is considerable evidence of both intrapsychic and interpersonal psychological correlates of suicide. Similar to previous cross-cultural studies, there seem to be more commonalities among suicides notes from

---

* SPSS. SPSS for Windows (Version 14.0) [Computer software]. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2006
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster/Protocol sentence</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th></th>
<th>United States</th>
<th></th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intrapsychic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Unbearable psychological pain</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide as a relief</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide as a flight from trauma</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional states in suicidal trauma</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of interest to endure</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to meet life’s challenges</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of heightened disturbance</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Cognitive constriction</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A history of trauma</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overpowering emotions</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus only on grief topics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Indirect expressions</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambivalence</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression has turned inwards</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconscious dynamics</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Inability to adjust</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feels weak to overcome difficulties</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incompatible state of mind</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious disorder in adjustment</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Ego</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakness in constructive tendencies</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A complex or weakened ego</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harsh conscience</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interpersonal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Interpersonal (IP) relations</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems determined by IP situations</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakened by unresolved IP problems</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frustrated needs in IP realm</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frustration to a traumatic degree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive development not forthcoming</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regressive, intimate, relationships</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Rejection-Aggression</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.37</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report of a traumatic event</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissistic injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoccupation with person</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambivalent feelings towards a person</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression as self-directed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murderous impulses</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation of negative effect</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenge towards someone else</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Identification-Egression</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification with person/ideal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwillingness to accept life</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide as escape</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05
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Mexico and the United States than differences, despite the great cultural differences. By virtue of our human quality, people have a number of important psychological characteristics in common. No matter the country, mental constriction is mental constriction, psychopathology is psychopathology. So, the suicidal mind is the suicidal mind, whether in Mexico or the United States; research to date suggests that is equally true in Australia, Canada, Germany, Hungary, Russia, and the United Kingdom. Thus, given that Mexico is a country with collectivistic properties, and very different from the individualistic countries studied to date, the results are remarkable.

Although Mexico is a country with low rates of suicide, its steady increase over the last years is most concerning. Traditional social bonding (integration) factors such as certainty of employment, and quality of religious diversity, have weakened. Urbanization processes have decreased rural life, have led to huge migration waves in many states and have given way to the disappearance of traditional forms of family. Globalization has permeated previously existent psycho-social problems in developed countries, and also in Mexico.

Solidarity nets based on kinship and religious attachment have been dramatically modified during the last decade, leaving behind major social changes; an example of this, we believe, is the increase in suicide rates. This increase in Mexico clashes against the scarcity of prevention and care programmes for suicidal behaviour. This contrasts with USA programmes that have been implemented for decades now.

As we can see from the results, the content of suicide notes in Mexico do not differ from those found in the USA; thus, we can infer that the psychological suffering of individuals who experience certain personal and familial or interpersonal vulnerability in both countries show similar characteristics. It is of note that suicidal people in Mexico, compared to Americans, do not write about suicide as an escape. A similar significant observation had previously been made about escape in American notes, in comparison to Canadian and Australian notes. Maybe, compared to people in other countries, Mexicans rarely see suicide as an escape, warranting greater study. There is, further, the complex problem of accepting the null hypothesis in science. Furthermore, since this conclusion is based on one study, the conclusions warrant much greater study, especially given the large migration of people from Mexico to the USA and people taking with them their ways of life, including suicide.
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