

Alergia, Asma e Inmunología Pediátricas

Volumen 12
Volume

Número 1
Number

Enero-Abril 2003
January-April

Artículo:

Efficacy and safety of standarized pollen immunotherapy in the treatment of allergic rhinitis: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Derechos reservados, Copyright © 2003:
Colegio Mexicano de Alergia, Asma e Inmunología Pediátrica, AC

**Otras secciones de
este sitio:**

-  **Índice de este número**
-  **Más revistas**
-  **Búsqueda**

***Others sections in
this web site:***

-  ***Contents of this number***
-  ***More journals***
-  ***Search***



Medigraphic.com



Efficacy and safety of standardized pollen immunotherapy in the treatment of allergic rhinitis: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

JG Huerta-Lopez, MD*, M Penagos-Paniagua, MD, MSc, PhDs**

ABSTRACT

The efficacy of pollen immunotherapy in patients with allergic rhinitis (AR) has been confirmed in many controlled trials. Recent studies suggest that SIT influence the response of T lymphocytes with a shift away from a TH2 response (IL-4, IL-5) towards a Th1 response (IFN- γ).

Objective: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of SIT in the treatment of allergic rhinitis by a meta-analysis of randomized and controlled clinical trials, previously published.

Methods: A search was made in the electronic bases. Only controlled and randomized clinical trials were included. The primary outcomes were symptoms severity, adverse effects frequency and rescue medication requirements.

Results: Twenty two articles were identified and reviewed. Ten studies (45%) fulfilled the selection criteria. Studies were published from 1997 to 2002. All studies used standardized extracts and were administered by a subcutaneous injection. Six hundred fourteen patients with AR were analyzed. Three hundred sixteen patients received SIT and 288 either placebo or symptomatic treatment. All authors found their studies were effective and safe. AR symptoms were higher in control group compared with SIT group (215 ± 131 vs 100 ± 24 , $p < 0.001$). Increase in the associate medication was also demonstrated in control group compared with SIT group (OR = 1.97, 95%CI 1.12 to 3.47, $p = 0.02$). Adverse effects frequency was higher in SIT group (OR = 2.32, 95%CI 1.05 to 5.1, $p = 0.05$).

Conclusions: The results of this analysis indicate that the specific immunotherapy is effective and safe in patients with allergic rhinitis. The studies in pediatric population must be extended to establish conducts in this population.

Key words: Allergic rhinitis, specific immunotherapy, pollen, randomized, clinical trials, evidence based medicine, meta-analysis.

RESUMEN

La inmunoterapia específica (ITE) en pacientes con rinitis alérgica (RA) ha sido ampliamente utilizada y su eficacia se ha demostrado en diversos ensayos clínicos controlados. Estudios recientes encontraron que la ITE causa un cambio en la respuesta inmune de un perfil tipo TH2 a uno Th1, al favorecer la producción de IFN- γ e inhibir la de IL-4 and 5.

Objetivo: Evaluar la eficacia y seguridad de la ITE en el tratamiento de la rinitis alérgica a través de un meta-análisis de los ensayos clínicos controlados y aleatorizados, publicados previamente.

Métodos: Se realizó una búsqueda en las bases electrónicas de la Internet. Los desenlaces primarios fueron: la gravedad de los síntomas, la frecuencia de efectos adversos y la necesidad de medicamentos de rescate.

* Head of Allergy Service.

** Allergy & Immunology Fellow.

National Pediatrics Institute, Mexico City, Mexico.

Resultados: Se identificaron y revisaron 22 artículos. Diez (45%) cumplieron los criterios de selección y se publicaron entre 1997 y el 2002. Todos los estudios emplearon extractos estandarizados y se administraron por vía subcutánea. Se analizaron a 614 pacientes con RA. Trescientos dieciséis pacientes recibieron ITE y 288 placebo o tratamiento sintomático. Todos los autores reportaron que la ITE fue eficaz y segura. Los síntomas de RA fueron más altos en el grupo control que en el de ITE (215 ± 131 vs 100 ± 24 , $p < 0.001$). Se encontró un incremento en los medicamentos de rescate en el grupo control (OR = 1.97, 95%CI 1.12 to 3.47, $p = 0.02$). La frecuencia de efectos adversos fue mayor en el grupo de ITE (OR = 2.32, 95%CI 1.05 to 5.1, $p = 0.05$).

Conclusiones: La inmunoterapia específica es efectiva y segura en el tratamiento de la rinitis alérgica en pacientes sensibilizados. Se requiere realizar estudios en niños con el propósito de establecer conductas en esta población.

Palabras clave: Rinitis alérgica, inmunoterapia específica, pólenes, ensayos clínicos, aleatorizados, medicina basada en evidencias, meta-análisis.

BACKGROUND

Specific immunotherapy (SIT) of allergic diseases involves the application of gradually increasing doses of extracts of allergens to which the subject is allergic. Allergen-specific immunotherapy has been widely used for 90 years as a specific treatment for allergic disease. The efficacy of pollen immunotherapy in patients with allergic rhinitis (AR) has been confirmed in many controlled trials. Recent studies suggest that SIT influence the response of T lymphocytes with a shift away from a TH2 response (IL-4, IL-5) towards a TH1 response (IFN- γ). A shift in the cytokine profile can result in inhibition of IL-4 dependent IgE production, reinforced decreased autocrine effect and inhibition of IL-5-dependent eosinophilic inflammation.

Treatment ameliorates the severity of the allergic disease and leads to cessation of allergic symptoms. Recently, many double-blind, placebo-controlled studies have proved the clinical efficacy of specific immunotherapy with standardized extracts of inhaled allergens, such as grass pollen, ragweed, mite, latex and cat dander. However, we have not a large and homogeneous study about efficacy of pollen immunotherapy in sensitized patients.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of specific immunotherapy in the treat-

ment of allergic rhinitis by a meta-analysis of randomized and controlled clinical trials, previously published.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A search was made in the electronic bases MEDLINE, Mdconsult, OVID and science@direct. We looked for studies from 1966 to 2003 with the following key words: *allergic rhinitis, pollen, standardized immunotherapy, randomized, clinical trials, objective outcome and allergen*. Only controlled and randomized clinical trials were included (Strength of recommendation A). Studies whose main purpose was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of immunotherapy were included. All the articles in full text format were reviewed by the authors (JHL & MPP), who extracted and analyzed the data.

Authors registered the following variables: Study design, control drug, informed consent request, clinical diagnosis, allergen type, adjuvant used, administration route, age, sample size, symptoms severity, adverse effects, rescue medication requirements, outcome units and author's study conclusion.

Articles were rated by category of evidence and used to establish the strength of recommendation (*Table I*).

Table I. Classification of evidence and recommendations.

Category of evidence		Strength of recommendation	
Ia	Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials	A	Directly based on category I evidence
Ib	At least 1 randomized controlled trial	B	Based on category II or extrapolated from I
IIa	At least 1 controlled study without randomization	C	Based on category III or extrapolated from IV
IIb	At least 1 other type of quasi-experimental study	D	Based on category IV or extrapolated from I, II or III category
III	Non experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case-control studies	E	Directly based on Laboratory based evidence
IV	Expert committee reports or opinions or clinical experience of respected authorities		

Table II. Clinical trial characteristics.

Study	Study design	Control drug	Informed consent	Reference	Evidence level	Evidence Strength	Study conclusion
Zenner ⁵⁵	RCT, DB	Placebo	Obtained	J Allergy Clin Immunol 1997	Ib	A	Effective
Durham ¹⁵	RCT, DB	Placebo	Obtained	N Engl J Med 1999	Ib	A	Effective
Klimek ²⁸	RCT, SB	Symptomatic	Obtained	J Allergy Clin Immunol 1999	Ib	A	Effective
Klimek ²⁹	RCT, Open	Symptomatic	Obtained	Clin Exp Allergy 1999	Ib	A	Effective
Walker ⁵²	RCT, DB	Placebo	Obtained	J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001	Ib	A	Effective
Leynadier ³²	RCT, DB	Placebo	Obtained	Clin Exp Allergy 2001	Ib	A	Effective
Rak ⁴⁵	RCT, DB	Budesonide	Obtained	J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001	Ib	A	Equivalent
Arvidsson ⁴	RCT, DB	Placebo	Obtained	J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002	Ib	A	Effective
Möller ³⁶	RCT, SB	Symptomatic	Obtained	J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002	Ib	A	Effective
Bødtger ⁵	RCT, DB	Placebo	Obtained	Allergy 2002	Ib	A	Effective

RCT: Randomized clinical trial, DB: Double blind, SB: Single blind.

We select as primary outcomes symptoms severity, adverse effects frequency and rescue medication requirements. Statistical analysis included Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) and Fisher's test exact calculation. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare quantitative variables. All outcome measures were captured into a database. Because outcomes varied considerably from one study to another, it was not possible to reduce the entire data to a single format. A p value less than 0.05 was considered significant. We use both SPSS v10 (SPSS, Chicago Ill, USA) and Meta-Analysis Program, Version 5 (Freie Universität Berlin, Germany).

RESULTS

Study selection

Twenty two articles were identified and reviewed. Ten studies (45%) fulfilled the selection criteria. All of them

were RCT, controlled either placebo or symptomatic treatment. Seven were double blind, two single blind and one study was open. All authors obtained an informed consent from patient. Studies were published from 1997 to 2002. All of them had a category of evidence Ib and a strength of recommendation A (Table II).

Allergens

All studies used standardized extracts and were administered by a subcutaneous injection. Most of them (9/10), used aluminum as adjuvant (Table III).

Patients

Six hundred fourteen patients from the 10 studies were considered for the analysis. Three hundred sixteen patients received SIT and 288 either placebo or symptomatic treatment. All patients had a clinical diagnosis of allergic rhinitis. Median age was 30 years old (IQR 28 – 32) (Table III).

Table III. Study Characteristics.

Study	Clinical diagnosis	Allergen	Type	Adjuvant	Route	Median age	n	SIT group	Control group
Zenner ⁵⁵	AR	6 grasses	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	27	86	45	41
Durham ¹⁵	AR	Grass	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	38	47	32	15
Klimek ²⁸	AR, A	6 grasses	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	30	48	24	24
Klimek ²⁹	AR	<i>Betula alba</i>	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	28	37	19	18
Walker ⁵²	AR	<i>Phleum pratense</i>	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	32	44	22	22
Leynadier ³²	ARC	5 grasses	Standardized	Calcium-phosphate	SC	29	39	16	13
Rak ⁴⁵	ARC, A	<i>Betula verrucosa</i>	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	29	41	21	20
Arvidsson ⁴	ARC, A	<i>Betula verrucosa</i>	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	33	46	22	24
Möller ³⁶	AR	<i>Phleum pratense</i>	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	10	191	97	94
Bødtger ⁵	ARC	<i>Betula verrucosa</i>	Standardized	Aluminum	SC	31	35	18	17

ARC: Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, AR: Allergic rhinitis, A: Asthma, SC: Subcutaneous, SIT: Specific immunotherapy.

Primary outcomes

Several outcomes were considered in the studies. That included clinical scores, rescue medication use, cytokine and antibodies levels, T-cell proliferation and cytokine production assays, eosinophil cationic protein, eosinophil chemotactic activity, challenge test responses, skin biopsies, methacholine bronchial provocation tests, peak flow measurement, asthma development and statistical results. However, although authors published most of outcomes, units were not consistent between studies. Some of them were quantitative, others were qualitative and some data was not available. All studies included symptoms scores, rescue medication use and adverse events frequency. We used this measurements to perform the meta-analysis.

Efficacy and safety

All authors found their studies effective and safe. Overall, allergic rhinitis symptoms were higher in control group compared with SIT group (215 ± 131 vs 100 ± 24 , $p < 0.001$) (Mean score \pm SD). Increase in the associate medication was also demonstrated in control group compared with SIT group (OR = 1.97, 95%CI 1.12 to 3.47, $p = 0.02$). Adverse effects frequency was higher in SIT group (OR = 2.32, 95%CI 1.05 to 5.1, $p = 0.05$), most of them were mild, although was not reported in all the studies (Table IV).

DISCUSSION

A link between AR and asthma is evident, and more than 70% of asthma patients report nasal symptoms.

Approximately 20% of all hay fever patients develop asthma later in life. It has been found that 11% to 73% of hay fever patients show bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) outside the pollen season and that up to approximately 50% of such patients show BHR during the season. Rhinitis frequently precedes the onset of asthma and patients with allergic rhinitis who also have BHR are more likely to develop asthma.

T-cell-derived cytokines play a key part in allergic inflammation. Pollen-specific T cells from patients with atopy produce greater quantities of cytokines such as interleukin-4, interleukin-13 and interleukin-5 (Th2) than do cells from control subjects without atopy, which favor the production of interferon- γ (Th1 cells). Allergen-specific Th2 lymphocytes play a predominant role in allergic diseases, since cytokines produced by this T cell subset (IL-4, IL-13) are potent IgE-switching factors, whereas interleukin-5 has specific pro-eosinophilic properties. IgE-dependent activation of mast cells results in an immediate response to allergen and may contribute to the development of the late response.

Previous studies found decreases in serum IgE concentrations, increases in IgG, and inhibition of recruitment or activation of effector cells such as mast cells and eosinophils in the target organ in response to immunotherapy. Since each of these processes is thought to be largely T-cell-dependent, one possibility is that immunotherapy exerts a prolonged effect by altering the T-cell response to subsequent allergen exposure. Further studies of cutaneous-biopsy specimens obtained at 24 hours suggested that this Th1 response may have been driven by interleukin-12. Taken together, these studies suggest that pollen immunotherapy may act either by inducing immune deviation of Th2 and Th0 T-cell re-

Table IV. Main study outcomes.

Study	Symptoms (SIT vs Placebo)	p	Outcome units	Rescue medications (SIT vs Placebo)	p	Outcome units	Adverse events (SIT)	Adverse events (CG)
Zenner ⁵⁵	82.2 ± 10.1 vs 116 ± 13	0.02	MS	11% vs 20%	0.06	% Use	9%	2%
Durham ¹⁵	921 (0-2,299) vs 2,863 (774-12,033)	< 0.05	Mean AUC	672 (0-1,827) vs 4729 (1,197-8,505)	< 0.05	Mean AUC	2%	NAD
Klimek ²⁸	134 (65-366) vs 386 (185-563)	0.02	MS	37% vs 70%	0.02	% Use	2.9%	NAD
Klimek ²⁹	NAD	< 0.001	Mean AUC	NAD	< 0.001	Mean AUC	12%	8%
Walker ⁵²	2,576 (1,630-3,515) vs 1,962 (1,124-3,002)	0.01	Median AUC	357 (49-2,236) vs 1851 (476 vs 3,947)	0.007	Median AUC	13%	0%
Leynadier ³²	49.5 vs 56.0	> 0.05	Mean AUC	11.1 vs 40.8	0.005	% Use	43%	15%
Rak ⁴⁵	20 ± 5 vs 10 ± 2.5	0.03	Symp score	10 ± 6 vs 5 ± 5	0.06	Med Score	NAD	NAD
Arvidsson ⁴	2.6 (0.0-6.5) vs 4.3 (2.4-9.1)	0.005	AVS	8 vs 16	0.004	MS	27%	19%
Möller ³⁶	Improvement with SIT, exact data NA	< 0.01	AVS	20% vs 20%	> 0.05	% Use	NAD	NAD
Bødter ⁵	Improvement with SIT, exact data NA	< 0.05	MS	Improvement with SIT, exact data NA	< 0.01	Med score	NAD	NAD

AUC: Area under curve, NAD: No available data, CG: Control group, AVS: Analogue visual scale, MS: Mean score, Symp score: Symptom score, Med Score: Medication score.

sponses in favor of Th1 profile or by diminishing Th2 and Th0 T-cell responses.

Specific immunotherapy (SIT) proved to be an efficient treatment for patients suffering from type I allergy to airborne allergens. Its usefulness is highlighted in a recent World Health Organization report, which advocates its use in selected patients with specific IgE antibodies to clinically relevant allergens. The vaccine routinely used for SIT consists of standardized total allergen extracts adsorbed to aluminum hydroxide. However, aluminum hydroxide has been shown to induce Th2-type rather than Th1-type immune responses. In case of atopic allergy, the use of vaccine adjuvants fostering Th1-like immune responses could certainly augment the efficacy of the treatment.

In this systematic review, all authors reported efficacy and safety in their primary outcomes. Only Rak's study found a negative effect of SIT on clinical scores. However, control group received a nasal steroid (budesonide). In this study, SIT prevented seasonal increase in bronchial hyperresponsiveness, eosinophil number, eosinophil cationic protein, and eosinophil chemotactic activity only in asthmatic patients.

Few studies are a limitation of the present analysis. However, inclusion criteria were rigid and strength of recommendation of all articles was high. There is not enough available studies carried out in children; therefore, this findings must be applied only to adult population.

Currently, several strategies have been proved in order to enhance the deviation of Th immune response. Bacterial DNA (BCG, *Mycobacterium vaccae*) and synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides containing CpG-motifs (CpG-ODN) have attracted attention because they acted as Th1-promoting adjuvants. However, results are preliminary yet.

This study indicates that SIT is safe and effective and raise the question of whether allergen-injection immunotherapy should be considered earlier in the course of allergic disease to prevent disease progression or the development of multiple allergies. This fact was proved in PAT-study that demonstrated a 3-year course of SIT in children with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis significantly reduces the risk of developing clinical asthma and improves BHR.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this meta-analysis indicate that specific immunotherapy is effective and safe in patients with allergic rhinitis. Pediatric studies must be extended to establish conducts in this population.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas AK, Murphy KM, Sher A. Functional diversity of helper T lymphocytes. *Nature* 1996; 383: 387-93.
2. Adkinson NF Jr, Eggleston PA, Eney D, et al. A controlled trial of immunotherapy for asthma in allergic children. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 336: 324-31.
3. Arkwright PD, David TJ. Intradermal administration of a killed *Mycobacterium vaccae* suspension (SRL 172) is associated with improvement in atopic dermatitis in children with moderate-to-severe disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 107: 531-4.
4. Arvidsson MA, Löwhagen O, Rak S. Effect of 2-year placebo-controlled immunotherapy on airway symptoms and medication in patients with birch pollen allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002; 109: 777-83.
5. Bødtger U, Poulsen LK, Jacobi HH, Malling HJ. The safety and efficacy of subcutaneous birch pollen immunotherapy - a one-year-randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Allergy* 2002; 57: 297-305.
6. Bohle B, Orel L, Kraft D, Ebner C. Oligodeoxynucleotides Containing CpG Motifs Induce Low Levels of TNF- α in Human B Lymphocytes: Possible Adjuvants for Th1 Responses. *J Immunol* 2001; 166: 3743-3756.
7. Bousquet J, Lockey R, Malling HJ. Allergen immunotherapy: therapeutic vaccines for allergic diseases: a WHO position paper. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1998; 102: 558.
8. Bradding P, Feather IH, Howarth PH, et al. Interleukin 4 is localized to and released by human mast cells. *J Exp Med* 1992; 176: 1381-6.
9. Camporota L, Corkhill A, Long H, Lordan J, Stanciu L, Tuckwell N, et al. The effects of *Mycobacterium vaccae* on allergen-induced airway responses in atopic asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2003; 21: 287-93.
10. Cappelleri JC, Ioannidis JP, Schmid CH, de Ferranti SD, Aubert M, Chalmers TC, et al. Large trials vs meta-analysis of smaller trials: How do their results compare? *JAMA* 1996; 276: 1332-8.
11. Del Prete G, Maggi E, Parronchi P, et al. IL-4 is an essential factor for the IgE synthesis induced in vitro by human T cell clones and their supernatants. *J Immunol* 1988; 140: 4193-8.
12. DerSimonian R, Livine RJ. Resolving discrepancies between a meta-analysis and a subsequent large controlled trial. *JAMA* 1999; 282: 664-70.
13. Des Roches A, Paradis L, Knani J, et al. Immunotherapy with a standardized *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* extract. V. Duration of the efficacy of immunotherapy after its cessation. *Allergy* 1996; 51: 430-3.
14. Des Roches A, Paradis L, Menardo JL, Bouges S, Daures JP, Bousquet J. Immunotherapy with a standardized *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* extract. VI. Specific immunotherapy prevents the onset of new sensitization's in children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1997; 99: 450-3.
15. Durham S, Walker S, Varga EM, Jacobson MR, O'Brien F, Noble W, et al. Long-Term Clinical Efficacy of Grass-Pollen Immunotherapy. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 341: 468-75.
16. Durham SR, Till SJ. Immunologic changes associated with allergen immunotherapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1998; 102: 157-64.
17. Durham SR, Ying S, Varney VA, et al. Grass pollen immunotherapy inhibits allergen-induced infiltration of CD4+ T lymphocytes and eosinophils in the nasal mucosa and increases the number of cells expressing messenger RNA for interferon-gamma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1996; 97: 1356-65.
18. Ebner C, Siemann U, Bohle B, et al. Immunological changes during specific immunotherapy of grass pollen allergy: reduced lymphoproliferative responses to allergen and shift from TH2 to TH1 in T-cell clones specific for Ph1 p 1, a major grass pollen allergen. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1997; 27: 1007-15.

19. Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. Evidence-Based Medicine. A new Approach to Teaching the Practice of Medicine. *JAMA* 1992; 268: 2420-2425.
20. Gleich GJ, Zimmermann EM, Henderson LL, Yunginger JW. Effect of immunotherapy on immunoglobulin E and immunoglobulin G antibodies to ragweed antigens: a six-year prospective study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1982; 70: 261-71.
21. Grammer LC, Shaughnessy MA, Suszko IM, Shaughnessy JJ, Patterson R. Persistence of efficacy after a brief course of polymerized ragweed allergen: a controlled study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1984; 73: 484-9.
22. Guyatt G, Sinclair J, Cook DJ, Glasziou P. Users' Guides to the Medical Literature: XVI. How to Use a Treatment Recommendation. *JAMA* 1999; 281: 1836-1843.
23. Hamid QA, Schotman E, Jacobson MR, Walker SM, Durham SR. Increases in IL-12 messenger RNA+ cells accompany inhibition of allergen-induced late skin responses after successful grass pollen immunotherapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1997; 99: 254-60.
24. Imada M, Simons FE, Jay FT, Hayglass KT. Allergen-stimulated interleukin-4 and interferon-gamma production in primary culture: responses of subjects with allergic rhinitis and normal controls. *Immunology* 1995; 85: 373-80.
25. Ioannidis JP, Cappelleri JC, Lau J. Meta-analyses and large randomized, controlled trials. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 338: 59-62.
26. Jacobsen L, Nuchel Petersen B, Wihl JA, Lowenstein H, Ipsen H. Immunotherapy with partially purified and standardized tree pollen extracts. IV. Results from long-term (6-year) follow-up. *Allergy* 1997; 52: 914-20.
27. Kay AB. Allergy and Allergic Diseases. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 344: 30-37.
28. Klimek L, Dormann D, Jarman ER, Cromwell O, Riechelmann Hs, Reske-Kunz A B. Short-term preseasonal birch pollen allergoid immunotherapy influences symptoms, specific nasal provocation and cytokine levels in nasal secretions, but not peripheral T-cell responses, in patients with allergic rhinitis. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1999; 29: 1326-1335.
29. Klimek L, Wolf H, Mewes T, Dormann D, Reske-Kunz A, Schnitker J. The effect of short-term immunotherapy with molecular standardized grass and rye allergens on eosinophil cationic protein and trypsinase in nasal secretions. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1999; 103: 47-53.
30. Krieg AK, Yi AK, Matson S, Waldschmidt TJ, Bishop GA, Teasdale R, et al. CpG motifs in bacterial DNA trigger direct B-cell activation. *Nature* 1995; 374: 546.
31. LeLorier J, Gregoire G, Benhaddad A, Lapierre J, Derderian F. Discrepancies between meta-analyses and subsequent large randomized, controlled trials. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 337: 536-42.
32. Leynadier F, Banoun L, Dollois B, Terrier P, Epstein M, Guinpain Mt, Firon D, et al. Immunotherapy with a calcium phosphate-adsorbed five-grass-pollen extract in seasonal rhinoconjunctivitis: A double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2001; 31: 988-996.
33. Li JT, Lockey RF, Bernstein IL, Portnoy JM, Nicklas RA. Allergen immunotherapy: A practice parameter. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2003; 90 (Suppl 1): 1-35.
34. Lichtenstein LM, Ishizaka K, Norman PS, Sobotka AK, Hill BM. IgE antibody measurements in ragweed hay fever: relationship to clinical severity and the results of immunotherapy. *J Clin Invest* 1973; 52: 472-82.
35. MacGlashan D Jr, White JM, Huang SK, Ono SJ, Schroeder JT, Lichtenstein LM. Secretion of IL-4 from human basophils: the relationship between IL-4 mRNA and protein in resting and stimulated basophils. *J Immunol* 1994; 152: 3006-16.
36. Möller C, Dreborg S, Ferdousi HA, Halken S, Høst A, Jacobsen L, et al. Pollen immunotherapy reduces the development of asthma in children with seasonal rhinoconjunctivitis (the PAT-Study). *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002; 109: 251-6.
37. Moqbel R, Ying S, Barkans J, et al. Identification of mRNA for interleukin-4 in human eosinophils with granule localization and release of the translated product. *J Immunol* 1995; 155: 4939-47.
38. Mosbech H, Osterballe O. Does the effect of immunotherapy last after termination of treatment? Follow-up study in patients with grass pollen rhinitis. *Allergy* 1988; 43: 523-9.
39. Naclerio RM, Proud D, Moylan B, et al. A double-blind study of the discontinuation of ragweed immunotherapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1997; 100: 293-300.
40. Norman PS, Creticos PS, Marsh DG. Frequency of booster injections of allergoids. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1990; 85: 88-94.
41. O'Garra A. Cytokines induce the development of functionally heterogeneous T helper cell subsets. *Immunity* 1998; 8: 275-83.
42. Otsuka H, Mezawa A, Ohnishi M, Okubo K, Seki H, Okuda M. Changes in nasal metachromatic cells during allergen immunotherapy. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1991; 21: 115-9.
43. Ozdemir C, Akkoc T, Bahceciler NN, Kucukercan D, Barlan IB, Basaran MM. Impact of Mycobacterium vaccae immunization on lung histopathology in a murine model of chronic asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2003; 33: 266-70.
44. Price JF, Warner JO, Hey EN, Turner MW, Soothill JF. A controlled trial of hyposensitization with adsorbed tyrosine *Der-matophagoides pteronyssinus* antigen in childhood asthma: *in vivo* aspects. *Clin Allergy* 1984; 14: 209-19.
45. Rak S, Heinrich C, Jacobsen L, Scheynius A, Venge P. A double-blinded, comparative study of the effects of short pre-season specific immunotherapy and topical steroids in patients with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 108: 921-8.
46. Romagnani S. Biology of human Th1 and Th2 cells. *J Clin Immunol* 1995; 15: 121-9.
47. Romagnani S. Lymphokine production by human T cells in disease states. *Annu Rev Immunol* 1994; 12: 227-57.
48. Rosenberg W, Donald A. Evidence based medicine: an approach to clinical problem-solving. *BMJ* 1995; 310: 1122-6.
49. Ross RN, Nelson HS, Finegold I. Effectiveness of specific immunotherapy in the treatment of allergic rhinitis: An analysis of randomized, prospective, single-or double-blind, placebo-controlled studies. *Clin Ther* 2000; 22: 342-50.
50. Secrist H, Chelen CJ, Wen Y, Marshall JD, Umetsu DT. Allergen immunotherapy decreases interleukin 4 production in CD4+ T cells from allergic individuals. *J Exp Med* 1993; 178: 2123-30.
51. Till S, Durham S, Dickason R, et al. IL-13 production by allergen-stimulated T cells is increased in allergic disease and associated with IL-5 but not IFN-gamma expression. *Immunology* 1997; 91: 53-7.
52. Walker SM, Pajno GB, Torres M, Wilson DR, Durham SR. Grass pollen immunotherapy for seasonal rhinitis and asthma: A randomized, controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 107: 87-93.
53. Wiedermann UB, Jahn-Schmid R, Bauer L, Renz H, Kraft D, Ebner C. Effects of adjuvants on the immune response to allergens in a murine model of allergen inhalation: Cholera toxin induces a Th1-like response to Bet v 1, the major birch pollen allergen. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1998; 111: 144.
54. Wilson D, Nouri-Aria KT, Walker S, Pajno GB, O'Brien F, Jacobson MR, et al. Grass pollen immunotherapy: Symptomatic improvement correlates with reductions in eosinophils and IL-5

- mRNA expression in the nasal mucosa during the pollen season. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 107: 971-6.
55. Zenner HP, Baumgarten C, Rasp G, Kunkel G, Hauswald B, Ring J, et al. Short-term immunotherapy: A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter study of molecular standardized grass and rye allergens in patients with grass pollen-induced allergic rhinitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1997; 100: 23-9.

Correspondence.
Jose G. Huerta Lopez, MD.
Instituto Nacional de Pediatría
Allergy Service
Insurgentes Sur 3700-C
Mexico City, Mexico
Phone/Fax: (+52) 55 55 28 06 88
E-mail: jhuer@prodigy.net.mx