Approach to cardiovascular disease in women with breast cancer: a position statement of the National Association of Cardiologists of Mexico (ANCAM)
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**ABSTRACT**

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death in breast cancer survivor women. The magnitude of cardiotoxic effects depends not only on antineoplastic treatment, but also on individual susceptibility, which is determined by pre-existing cardiovascular disease and concurrent risk factors, as well as on prevention interventions, early identification and treatment of cardiotoxicity. The National Association of Cardiologists of Mexico, immersed in this reality, shares in this document an approach that explains the interaction of the two entities from the perspective of high-risk women and summarizes current detection and cardio-protection strategies.

**RESUMEN**

La enfermedad cardiovascular es la primera causa de muerte en la mujer que sobrevive al cáncer de mama. La magnitud de los efectos cardiotoxicos depende no sólo del tratamiento antineoplásico, sino de la susceptibilidad individual, que está determinada por la enfermedad cardiovascular preexistente y los factores de riesgo concurrentes, así como de las intervenciones en la prevención, identificación y tratamiento oportuno de la cardiotoxicidad. La Asociación Nacional de Cardiólogos de México, inmersa en esta realidad, comparte en el presente documento un abordaje que explica la interacción de las dos entidades desde la perspectiva de la mujer de alto riesgo y resume las estrategias de detección y cardioprotección actuales.

**INTRODUCTION**

The main causes of death worldwide are cardiovascular disease (CVD) and cancer. In Mexico ischemic heart disease (IHD) and cerebrovascular events are responsible for 20.8% of total mortality in women.1 Parallel, breast cancer (BC) is the main oncological disease of Mexican women and responsible of 15.2% of the overall cancer mortality. As in other regions of the world, both entities show a growing trend of 14.5% and 21% respectively in the last quinquenio.1,2 More than half of survivors of BC are > 65 years,3 people at increased risk of cardiovascular (CV) outcomes, considering high prevalence of risk factors (RF) and aging.4 As a result of anticancer therapies, the cancer population has five times more CV risk than its peers, including increased susceptibility to the development of heart failure (HF), myocardial infarction (IM), valvulopathies and pericardial disease.5,6 CVD is the leading cause of death in BC survivors regardless of cancer subtype.7-9 The BC and CVD are linked during evolution in different ways: a) 27% of cases of BC are attributable to overweight/obesity, physical inactivity and alcohol,10 among other RF shared with CVD such as a family history and smoking.11 b) selection of oncological therapy will depend on the cardiovascular status of women and the early and late potential cardiotoxic
effects.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\) c) an early pathophysiology in both entities\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\) would explain the increased risk for incident CVD in women with BC and a high incidence of cardiovascular mortality in survivors.\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\)

The problem with BC in Mexico lies not only in its impact on health, but also in the lack of interdisciplinary guidelines as well as the limited number of specialists and reference centers in cardio-oncology for the comprehensive management of these patients. The National Association of Cardiologists of Mexico (ANCAM) in this document attempts from a cardiological perspective to issue practical recommendations for the identification of women at risk, monitoring measures and early detection and prevention strategies to limit the damage. We hope that this review will be complementary to the current Mexican consensus\(^1\)\(^4\) as a strategy for the multidisciplinary management of women with BC.

1. CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND BREAST CANCER. WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER THEM AS A CONTINUUM?

1. Shared multiple risk factors

Radiation and chemotherapy for breast cancer are currently recognized as nontraditional atherosclerosis cardiovascular disease risk factor (CVRF) in women.\(^1\)\(^5\) In the same way factors such as age, eating patterns, obesity, sedentary lifestyle and smoking have demonstrated causality in both entities.\(^1\)\(^4\)\(^6\)

Age. The incidence of any cancer is proportional to age. BC sees its highest mortality rates in ages 64 and onward. This is true for myocardial infarction (MI) or CVD as well.\(^1\) According to the Framingham study ages older than 55 years is considered as a CVRF for women.\(^6\)

Dietary pattern. Epidemiological studies have demonstrated the association of an atherogenic diet (excessive intake of processed products, high sodium, refined carbohydrates and saturated fats with a low-fiber, polyunsaturated fatty acids and mono-, antioxidants and vegetable protein) with the development of hypertension (HTN), diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia and eventually CVD.\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^2\)\(^1\)\(^9\) Red meat intake increases the risk of total death, cardiovascular death and overall cancer mortality.\(^2\)\(^2\) Saturated fats in meat are related to the positive subtype to estrogen receptors and to the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2-positive);\(^2\)\(^2\) this was evidenced by the EPIC study in 337,327 patients.\(^2\)\(^3\) A high intake of carbohydrates, is associated with a negative BC subtype to estrogen receptor (RR 1.28 CI 95% 1.08-1.52).\(^2\)\(^1\)

Sedentary lifestyle. A person with a daily energy expenditure equivalent to 1.5 of the basal metabolic rate (Mets) is considered sedentary.\(^6\)\(^1\)\(^7\) It is characterized by long periods of sitting, lying, or facing a screen or driving.\(^6\)\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^4\) In Mexico 16.7% of women do not comply with the physical activity recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO) and 49.9% spend more than two hours/day in front of a screen.\(^2\)\(^5\) Inactivity is responsible for 12.2% of the MI burden\(^2\)\(^6\) in the world, and is associated with overweight and obesity, favouring other RF such as HTN, DM and dyslipidemia.\(^2\)\(^6\)\(^2\)\(^7\) Sedentary behavior is associated with both BC and CVD.\(^2\)\(^4\)\(^2\)\(^6\)\(^2\)\(^8\) In an observational study with 71,018 women, those sitting 10 hours/day had an increase (HR 1.18) in CVD compared to five hours.\(^2\)\(^7\) On the other hand, moderate physical activity reduces the risk of coronary heart disease (CHD), venous thromboembolism and CVD (p = 0.001 for each), as demonstrated in the nine-year follow-up of one million women in the United Kingdom.\(^2\)\(^9\) In Latin women, physical activity decreases the risk of BC, regardless of subtype.\(^3\)\(^0\)

Obesity. Overweight and obesity (O&O) are the main risk factors for CVD. Obesity is an independent risk factor (RF), not only for HTA and DM, but for MI, heart failure (HF) and cerebrovascular disease.\(^3\)\(^1\)\(^3\) In our country the prevalence of O&O in women is 75.6%,\(^2\)\(^5\) with abdominal obesity in 87.7%, with high prevalence of metabolic syndrome (52%); an entity that doubles the risk of CVD\(^3\)\(^3\) and quadruples that of MI in Latino women (HR 4.10; RR IC 95% 2.59 to 6.48).\(^2\)\(^6\)\(^3\)\(^1\) O&O are associated with increased BC, especially in postmenopause (12 and 25% respectively) and condition worse prognosis at any age.\(^2\)\(^0\)\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\) In the premenopause increase the risk of triple negative BC; in the post menopause the positive subtype
to hormone receptors (39% increase) and the inflammatory in both.30

Smoking. The prevalence of smoking in Mexican women is 9.9%.35 Smoking is the main modifiable RF in women for the development of heart disease. Suspension reduces the risk of death in women with BC.36 Among the main causes of mortality attributable to this habit are MI and cerebrovascular disease. Mortality is proportional to its intensity, being 1.3 (95% CI 1.2-1.4) with 10 cigars/day and 1.8 (95% CI 1.7-1.9) with more than 10.37 It is attributed 50% of heart attacks in middle-aged women with an exponential increase when combined with the use of oral contraceptives or hormonal therapy.38,39 Smoking is associated with the modest but significant increase in BC in women with family history, mainly if it begins at ages close to the menarche. This association is still 10, 20 or 30 years after quitting the habit (RR of 28%, 21% and 10% respectively).40 If the woman smokes at diagnosis increases the risk of death from any cause 69%; if she continues to smoke, the risk increases by 130%.36

Alcoholism. Alcohol consumption in women cannot be suggested as a CV41 prevention measure, as epidemiological and meta-analysis (MA) have shown beneficial effects as harmful in relation to consumption level and age.42 A moderate consumption has shown a protective effect by reducing mortality from all causes and decreased risk of CVD compared to abstemies.43 For ischemic disease, in some MA a cardioprotective effect is demonstrated. The systematic review of 44 observational studies found a J-curve effect for ischemic heart disease morbidity and mortality in which the lower risk threshold was 11 g alcohol/day losing effect with 14 g.44 However, oncological societies classify it as carcinogenic, by increasing the risk of BC by 7-10% for every 10 g of alcohol/day in the adult woman. Prolonged use before the first pregnancy confers a significant risk for BC and breast proliferative disease, especially if initiated in the adolescent and younger adult.45

Hormone replacement therapy (HRT). Despite the obvious benefit of estrogen in women in the cardiovascular system,46 prescription of post-menopausal hormone replacement therapies for CVD prevention is not yet recommended.41 An increase in the risk of BC prevails as demonstrated by the WHI study (26% increase in the group that received progestogens). In a sub analysis, potential benefit was found by prescribing minimal doses for a period of 5-7 years, in peri-menopausal women of ages 50-60, with hysterectomy and moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms, and in control of CVRF.47-49 In a recent MA with 55,757 women, its association with BC (except vaginal estrogens) is reaffirmed, mainly with the positive subtype to estrogen receptors. Use of combination therapy for 1-4 years in 50-year-old women, increased the risk by 60% (95% CI 1.52-1.69); with estrogens alone 17% (95% CI 1.10-1.26); the risk doubled in those who continued to use them for > 5-14 years.50

2. Shared pathophysiological mechanisms

Current evidence suggests that the CVD and cancer share mechanisms in their pathogenesis (Figure 1).

Chronic inflammation. Atherosclerosis is considered an inflammatory disease. The persistent immunological response derived from inflammation promotes the development of atherosclerotic plaque. The plaque contains foam cells that activate pro-inflammatory cytokines: interleukin-1, interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-α and interferon-γ; reactive oxygen species (ROS), nuclear factor-Kb,51 hypoxia inducible factor-1α.52 Secondary activation of fibroblasts and endothelial cells causes synthesis of adhesion proteins (VCAM-1, ICAM-1, p-selectins), growth factors (PDGF and FGF), activated plasminogen inhibitor (PAI-1) and fatty acid synthetase rate (FAS) which perpetuates cell recruitment, foam cells and angiogenesis.53 This inflammatory microenvironment is similar to what happens in cancer. Epidemiological studies consider that up to 25% of cancers are triggered by a chronic inflammatory response.54 Chronic inflammation promotes cell proliferation, ROS production, vascular endothelium-derived growth factor (VEGF), PAI-1 and FAS, causing angiogenesis, damage and decrease in DNA repair with apoptosis limited55-57 which stimulates cancer.58 In a succession of events, genetic changes favour the activation of oncogenes (RAS, Myc, RET)
and the inhibition of suppressor genes. Several oncoproteins activate the production of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (IL-6, IL-8, IL1β, CCL2, CL20). Other intracellular pathways such as activated Janus kinase (JAK), activated protein kinase B (Akt) and activated mitogen protein kinase (MAPK), present in atherosclerosis and tumor angiogenesis are activated in adipose tissue. Leptin promotes the migration of endothelial cells, secretion of VEGF and cytokines facilitate metastases.

Oxidative stress. It is the result of the imbalance between the production of ROS and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) associated with a failure in the antioxidant system responsible for its neutralization. In cancer the modulator pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) is altered and PDH kinase (PDK) producing cell damage by oxidation and DNA instability, reducing the repair of its mutations.

Apoptosis. It is a self-regulating mechanism of cell growth and survival. In atherosclerosis, oxidized LDL can cause macrophage apoptosis. In cancer this mechanism seems to be altered as the cells promote the growth factor.

Calcium homeostasis. In hypercalcemic cancers (breast, prostate) the calcium-sensitive receptor (Casr) promotes the action of the parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP) generating osteolysis and release of bone growth factors promote the progression of cancer. In atherosclerosis, loss of Casr is associated with vascular calcification.

Substances inhibitory or regulatory atherosclerosis and cancer. The activated peroxisome-proliferator gamma receptor (PPAR-γ) enhances endothelial function and inhibits the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation (VSMC). In cancer it acts as a tumor growth suppressor reducing angiogenesis and proliferation. Adenosine 5 monophosphate-protein kinase activated (AMPK), has anti-inflammatory effects (reduces ROS, foaming cells and VSMC and inhibits cell adhesion). In cancer it has been shown to prevent the growth of tumors such as breast, lung and prostate by inhibiting oncogenesis by regulating specific signaling pathways. These protective mechanisms are targeted in the investigation of current therapies.

II. WHAT CONDITIONS FAVOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF HEART DISEASE IN PATIENTS WITH BC?

1. Pre-existing cardiovascular disease

According to the National Cancer Institute in the United States, the average survival rate for breast cancer is 90% at 5 years and 83% at 10 years. In Mexico, five-year disease-free survival is only similar for early stages at diagnosis (96.8 and 93.4% for stages I/II, 74.6% for locally advanced and 36.4% for metastatic). In patients > 66 years, it is 48.7% to nine years, according to what was reported in a retrospective cohort of 63,566 women. Due to progress...
in oncological therapies and early identification, mortality due to non-oncological cause has been increased. In the study of Patnaik and collaborators, CVD was the leading cause of global death (15.9%). The relative risk (RR) for cardiovascular mortality was 1.24 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.13-1.26), higher than for resolved cancer (RR 1.13, 95% CI 1.05-1.22). In a population study in Sweden in 3.68 million women with cancer only 46% died from this cause; the rest were due to CVD and myocardial infarction (hazard ratio [HR] 1.08 IC 95%, 1.03-1.13), heart failure (HR 1.29) and dementia. Half of women in Mexico have 3 CVRF at 60 years conditions that potentiate the risk of developing CVD or BC. 63.2% of women aged 20-69 have abdominal obesity, 80% are obese at ages 45-69. Overall, 10-14% have DM and impaired fasting glucose that increase to 40% at age 60. Hypercholesterolemia and hypertension have an average of 13%. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome is 52.7% (according to criteria of the International Diabetes Federation). The association of CVRF and breast cancer is shown in a case-control study of 96 women > 45 years with without CVD. The cases were more likely to develop metabolic syndrome (OR = 4.21, 95% CI, 2.28-7.76), diabetes (OR = 4.42; 95% CI, 1.86-10.49), carotid atheromatous plaques (OR = 2.61, 95% CI, 1.19-5.72), hypertriglyceridemia (OR = 2.32, 95% CI, 1.33-4.0) and abdominal obesity (OR = 11.22, 95% CI, 4.0-31.65). In a retrospective study of 1,460 patients aged 66 years on average followed for five years, the linear association between the number of CVRF and the incidence of IHD, heart failure or lower survival was demonstrated (HR 1.41 for each RF; 95% CI, 1.17-1.69; p = 0.001).

In various current revisions and clinical practice guidelines, both the traditional CVRF patient and history of receiving oncological treatment are considered at risk for HF (Stage A).

### 2. The cardiotoxic effect of antineoplastic agents

There is a wide variety of clinical syndromes as an expression of antineoplastic CV toxicity (Table 2). In general cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction and HF are known as cardiotoxicity (CT). CT was previously classified as type I when it causes structural cardiac disturbance (injury) and type II when it causes transient

**Table 1: Baseline risk factors for cardiotoxicity.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiac disease current</th>
<th>Demographic factors and other CVRF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Heart failure (LVEF reduced or preserved)</td>
<td>• Age (18 years; for trastuzumab &gt; 50 years; for anthracyclines &gt; 65 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction (LVEF &lt; 50% or elevated natriuretic peptide)</td>
<td>• Family history of premature CVD (&gt; 50 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence of CHD (previous MI, angina, or coronary revascularization)</td>
<td>• Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Moderate to severe valvular disease with hypertrophy or impairment LV</td>
<td>• Diabetes Mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hypertensive heart disease</td>
<td>• Hypercholesterolemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cardiomyopathies (hypertrophic, dilated, restrictive)</td>
<td>• Risk factors for lifestyle (smoking, high alcohol intake, obesity, sedentary lifestyle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sarcoidosis of the heart with myocardial involvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Severe cardiac arrhythmia (AF, ventricular tachyarrhythmias)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Previous cardiotoxic antineoplastic treatment (use of anthracyclines or thoracic or mediastinal radiation therapy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, LV = left ventricle, CHD = coronary heart disease, MI = myocardial infarction, AF = atrial fibrillation, CVD = cardiovascular disease, CVRF = cardiovascular risk factors.

Modified from: Zamorano JL et al.76
cardiac dysfunction,\textsuperscript{79} according to the harmful effect of different chemotherapeutics. Latest accumulating data on the specific incidence and reversibility,\textsuperscript{81} of cardiotoxicity have forced to abandon this classification.\textsuperscript{79} Although there is a variation in its definition across guidelines and position statements, currently definition adopted in 2016 ESC Cardio-oncology position statement, is defined as any reduction of LVEF to below 50% or a $> 10\%$ reduction from baseline falling below the lower limit of normal (a reduction of LVEF below 53$\%$ was classified as abnormal).\textsuperscript{76,77} The surveillance of myocardial function prior and during cancer treatment in patients without overt CVD can be the most important preventive strategy to identify asymptomatic cardiotoxicity and potentially to reduce morbidity and mortality from CVD.\textsuperscript{82}

The development of CT not only depends on the type(s) and dose of the agents, but also on the individual and RF susceptibility that have been identified\textsuperscript{76-79} (Table 1). The agents with recognized toxicity that are used in BC therapy are described below.

Anthracyclines. They are the cornerstone in the treatment of BC and other neoplasms. Anthracycline damage to cardiomyocyte is the prototype of type 1 chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity. (CCM)\textsuperscript{77,83} Anthracycline CT is a known ill-prognostic entity for ischemic or dilated cardiomyopathy. However, early identification and therapy can reverse the injury. Acute form (week 1) may cause myocarditis with electrocardiographic changes and arrhythmias (20-30$\%$ and 3$\%$ respectively) and occasionally ventricular dysfunction. The chronic form occurs from the year of initiation of chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{83} Occurs in 23$\%$ of cases late (mean of seven years) with ventricular dysfunction.\textsuperscript{84} Its harmful effect is due to chelation and accumulation of intracellular iron, which produces reactive oxygen species (ROS) with the complex Topoisomerase 2α (Top2α) doxorubicin-DNA. Cancer cells have elevated Top2α expression.\textsuperscript{12,80} Generation of ROS, xanthine oxidase, NAD(P)H oxidase (NOX) and mitochondrial complexes I and III cause damage to DNA and mitochondrial membrane, causing cell death. Inhibition of Topoisomerase 1-β has recently been proposed as a mechanism of direct cardiotoxicity, as is the case with doxorubicin.\textsuperscript{76,80}

Monoclonal antibodies. Inhibitors of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) with antibodies like trastuzumab or pertuzumab, improve tumor cure and recurrence rates in patients with HER-2 positive BC. Trastuzumab has been shown to reduce mortality by 33$\%$.\textsuperscript{85} But its use after anthracyclines increases the incidence of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Most frequent toxicity</th>
<th>Dose associated with CD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-Fluorouracil</td>
<td>Ischaemia and myocardial infarction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin, epirubicin</td>
<td>Cardiomyopathy, myopericarditis, arrhythmias</td>
<td>$&gt; 250$ mg/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$&gt; 600$ mg/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>Myopericarditis, arrhythmias</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Heart failure, myopericarditis, arrhythmias</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel, docetaxel</td>
<td>Heart failure, ischaemia, arrhythmias</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>Ischaemia, arrhythmias</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trastuzumab</td>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>Therapy $&gt; 1$ year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitinib</td>
<td>Hypertension, heart failure</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Restrictive cardiomyopathy, atherosclerosis</td>
<td>$&gt; 30$ Gy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gy = Gray; CD = cardiac dysfunction.
Modified from: Plana JC et al.\textsuperscript{80}
CT. Although cardiac dysfunction is usually transient in the first year, its administration for more than one year (>1-2 years) increases the risk of mild long-term HF (7.3 and 4.45% vs with 0.9% in controls). These drugs interfere with the signaling pathways of cardiomyocytes (HER2/Erbb2) reducing adaptation to stress and its survival. Its maximum cardiotoxic effect occurs when combined with other agents (anthracyclines) or in subjects with pre-existing CVD and/or 50% LVEF. Taxanes (antimicrotubule agents). Paclitaxel and docetaxel are used in early and late phases of BC, sometimes associated with anthracyclines. They act at the level of microtubules blocking mitosis and inducing apoptosis and cell death. In acute form, they cause electrical disturbances such as left bundle branch block, sustained ventricular tachycardia (in combination with cisplatin) and severe bradycardia most frequently.

Antimetabolites. 5 fluorouracil and capcitabine have rates of cardiotoxicity ranging from 1 to 68%. Most toxicity occurs in the first five days. It may occur with atrial fibrillation, no sustained ventricular tachycardia, or coronary vasospasm and MI (during infusion). Late toxicity is rare.

Alkylating agents. Cyclophosphamide and Cisplatin cause cardiomyocyte damage at DNA-level and its death. In the acute form they cause arrhythmias such as tachycardia and atrial fibrillation. Cases of fibrinous myopericarditis, a rare entity with high mortality, have been reported.

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) and vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors (VEGF). This group of drugs indicated for advanced and metastatic BC may produce reversible or irreversible CT, especially if combined with other therapies. Sunitinib a non-specific TKI [inhibition of VEGF, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and tyrosine kinase-3] produces cardiac dysfunction in 3-15% and HF in 1-10% of patients. In a meta-analysis of 21 randomized controlled clinical trials (RTC) with 1,090 patients, the risk of HF, was 2.69 times for all HF stages. Inhibition of VEGF signaling also causes HTN by reduction of nitric oxide an increase of endothelin-1. In an RTC of 11,801 patients treated with sunitinib as monotherapy or combination therapy in BC, renal and lung cancer, RR for hypertension at any stage was 3.13 (95% CI: 1.97-5.00; p = 0.001) and 2.44 (95% CI 1.44-4.14; p = 0.001) for severe HTN.

Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CDK 4/6). Ribociclib is used in the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic BC with hormone-positive receptors and HER2-negative in combination with an aromatase inhibitor. It has cardiovascular effects, due to the prolongation of the QT interval because of its action on the conduction system. It is indicated only if the corrected QT interval is 450 ms or less and monitored 24 hours, 14 and 28 days after administration, and the concomitant use of other drugs that prolong QT should be avoided.

### 3. Cardiac damage secondary to radiotherapy

Radiotherapy (RT) is indicated in 50% of BC cases; it has been shown to reduce the risk of mortality from BC, however, it increases cardiovascular mortality. Acute heart exposure induces coronary endothelial damage and dysfunction, cholesterol plaque formation, and thrombosis within days of radiation. Fibrosis can evolve over time, and the manifestations can vary from accelerated atherosclerosis, thickening of the intima and atherothrombosis, with the consequent development of IHD. This usually occurs within the next 10-15 years of irradiation. Acute pericarditis and chronic pericardial effusion may occurs six to 12 month after RT. In the chronic form it can cause valvular disease with stenosis or regurgitation of mitral and aortic valves, disturbed heart rate and heart block due to fibrosis of the conduction system and diastolic dysfunction due to myocardial damage. The cardiovascular mortality RR is 1.27 times compared to women who do not receive radiation therapy. The risk of CT increases with time and exposure to radiation, having a linear and proportional relationship between the dose received at heart level and the risk of CVD, in particular IHD. In the high-risk category, 30 Gy doses are considered when the heart is included in the radiation field. The average dose received at heart is 4-5.4 Gy (range, 0.1 to 28.6 Gy) for left BC and
Figure 2: Global cardiotoxicity risk score (CRS).

**Therapy related-factors**

**High risk of cardiotoxicity (4 points):**
- Simultaneous AC and trastuzumab
- High-dose AC (doxorubicin ≥ 400 mg/m² or epirubicin ≥ 600 mg/m²)
- Modest-dose AC plus left chest radiation therapy
- High-dose radiation therapy to central chest including heart in radiation field ≥ 30 Gy
- VEGF tyrosine kinase inhibitors (sunitinib, sorafenib, axitinib) following previous AC chemotherapy
- Cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide

**Medium risk of cardiotoxicity (2 points):**
- Modest-dose AC (doxorubicin 200-400 mg/m² and epirubicin 300-600 mg/m²)
- AC followed by trastuzumab
- Docetaxel, paclitaxel, pertuzumab, dasatinib, ribociclib

**Low risk of cardiotoxicity (1 points):**
- Lower dose AC (e.g. doxorubicin < 200 mg/m², epirubicin < 300 mg/m²), liposomal formulations
- Trastuzumab without AC
- Lapatinib, neratinib, imatinib bevacizumab, rituximab

**Patient related-factors**

**High risk (5 points each):**
- Severe valvular heart disease, Myocardial infarction or previous coronary revascularization (PCI or CABG), Stable angina
- Age ≥ 80 years, Baseline LVEF < 50%
- Prior anthracycline exposure
- Prior radiotherapy to left chest or mediastinum
  * QTc ≥ 480 ms
  * Hypertension (SBP > 140 mmHg or DBP > 90 mmHg, or on treatment)

**Medium risk (2 points each):**
- Hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity (BMI > 30)
- Chronic kidney disease (proteinuria*)
- Current smoker or significant smoking history
- Age 60-79 years
- Elevated baseline troponin or BNP or NT-ProBNP
- Borderline LVEF 50-54%
- Prior radiotherapy to left chest or mediastinum*
- Includes anthracycline before HER2-targeted therapy
- Arrhythmia*

**Low risk (1 point or 1 medium RF):**
- Age > 18 and < 59 years

* VEG inhibitors (sunitinib, sorafenib)
** HER2-targeted therapies (trastuzumab, pertuzumab)

Modified from: Čelutkienė J et al.; Herrmann J et al.
3.3 Gy (range, 0.4 to 21.6 Gy) for right side.97 The usual dose of 4Gy at heart level increases heart mortality by 1.16 times. Coronary events are independent of coexistent CVRF.12,95 For each Gy in the average radiation dose, the RR of major coronary events is increased 7.4% without any safe threshold dose (95% CI 2.9-14.5; p = 0.001). CT occurs on average five years after radiation and remains latent for the next 20-30 years.96 In order to reduce cardiac dose, deep inspiration, frequent apneas and prone position are practiced.98,99 An average heart dose of 4 Gy including regional lymph nodes is an international protocol for an allowable dose limit.100 The mean cardiac doses of 1.0-2.0 Gy (left side) and 1.0 Gy (right side) are generally harmless and are achieved when regional lymph nodes are excluded. Advanced modalities such as proton therapy significantly reduce dose, especially in advanced stages of BC, however, their impact on cardiovascular events has not been defined.101

III. HOW SHOULD WE IDENTIFY THE HIGH-RISK PATIENT FOR CARDIOTOXICITY AND WHAT STRATEGIES SUPPORT US IN DIAGNOSIS AND SURVEILLANCE?

Patients and survivors of BC have a higher risk of developing HF, MI, pericardial disease or valvular heart disease;5 risk that increases with age, anthracyclines, and concurrent CVRF.12,13,16,77 Therefore, identification of the patient at risk begins with stratification of cardiovascular risk and relies on specific biomarkers and/or imaging techniques, which together will define the course of therapy for patients with BC.102,103

In a multidisciplinary cardio-oncological approach to the evaluation of women with BC, an algorithm has been proposed to determine the general risk of suffering cardiotoxicity (Figure 2). This proposal includes individual risk factors and the medication related risk.79,83 Although there is no evidence to define the absolute risk for each of the risk groups, based on discussion and expert opinion, those can be considered as follows: low risk < 2%, medium risk 2-9%, high risk 10-19%, very high risk ≥ 20%. Therefore, patients with medium, high, or very high risk should be referred to cardio or cardio-oncological assessment to optimize their management.82

1. Determining the cardiovascular risk

Global Cardiovascular Risk (GCR) is the probability of developing a coronary or cardiovascular event within a given period of time and its calculation is considered the best way to address atherosclerotic disease.16 Women have special conditions to increase their GCR: (a) 52.7% of women has metabolic syndrome.4,74,75 Obesity, hypertriglyceridemia and diabetes have a greater impact on the development of CVD than in men.104 Depression and stress are significantly associated with myocardial infarction.26 b) There are women-only RF (hypertensive pregnancy disorders, fetal loss or low-weight products, polycystic ovaries, menopause and hormone therapy) and predominant factors such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (AR), scleroderma and BC itself;16,17,41 c) At least 1 of 4 women who survive BC will develop HF or premature IHD.6,9 d) The risk of cardiac events and/or CT is higher in patients with CVD and multiple RF.13,76,105 e) Framingham’s risk score underestimates CVD in women with BC.106 Although there is no prospective scale that integrates both risks (GCR + cardiotoxicity), it is recommended to calculate initial GCR in every woman who will receive antineoplastic therapy even in the absence of known CVD, since both patients with established CVD and those at high risk for cardiovascular outcomes and exposure to combination cancer therapy are at increased risk for CT.12-14,16

The most suitable scales for the calculation of GCR in women are:

- Framingham risk score modified (General Framingham CVD risk).107 Framingham risk score estimates more accurately the GCR in Mexicans compared to the SCORE.103 It allows stratification of 10-year hard events (death from coronary disease, fatal and non-fatal MI, and fatal and non-fatal CVD) and 30-year GCR. This score allows predicting HF and coronary insufficiency, common pathologies in women with BC. In 2011, a global
risk classification was developed based on clinical data, emerging factors and women’s own RF, which facilitates the evaluation of the female risk (Table 3);\textsuperscript{41} in this classification a score of \( \geq 10\% \) on the Framingham risk score is considered high risk.

- Reynolds risk score.\textsuperscript{109} Derived from the study of 25,000 women (Women’s Cardiovascular Health Study), it predicts cardiovascular outcomes to 10 years (MI, stroke, coronary revascularization, and cardiovascular death). Its objective is to reclassify the female gender with the inclusion of biomarkers (ultrasensitive reactive protein C, hemoglobin 1Ac) to traditional epidemiological RF.\textsuperscript{22}

- QRISK3-2018 risk calculator.\textsuperscript{110} The risk score QRISK 3 estimates risk of MI or stroke at 10 years and is applicable for ages 25-84 years. This method includes emerging CVRF with a high impact on women (body mass index, RA, SLE, atrial fibrillation, chronic kidney disease, migraine, severe mental illness).

2. Use of biomarkers to identifying myocardial damage

Chemotherapy-associated cardiomyopathy (CCM) can be detected early by myocardial biopsy or by the release of troponins into the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk level</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| High risk (\( \geq 1 \) criteria) | CHD clinically manifest  
Cerebrovascular disease clinically  
Peripheral arterial disease manifests clinically  
Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm  
Chronic kidney disease or end stage  
Mellitus diabetes  
CVD risk \( \geq 10\% \) (Framingham) to 10 years |
| At risk (Criterion \( \geq 1 \)) | Active smoking  
SBP \( \geq 120 \) mmHg, DBP \( \geq 80 \) mmHg or hypertension treatment  
Total cholesterol \( \geq 200 \) mg/dL, HDL-C \( < 50 \) mg/dL or treatment for dyslipidemia  
Obesity, particularly central adiposity  
Inadequate diet  
Physical inactivity  
Family history of premature CVD in first-degree relatives (men \( < 55 \); women \( < 65 \) years)  
Metabolic syndrome  
Evidence of advanced subclinical atherosclerosis (p. Ex., coronary calcification, carotid IMT plate or high)  
Systemic autoimmune collagen vascular disease (p. eg., lupus or rheumatoid arthritis)  
History of preeclampsia, gestational diabetes or hypertension induced by pregnancy |
| Ideal cardiovascular health (all the criteria) | Total cholesterol \( < 200 \) mg/dL (untreated)  
BP \( < 120/\leq 80 \) mmHg (untreated)  
Fasting blood glucose \( < 100 \) mg/dL (untreated)  
BMI \( < 25 \) kg/m\(^2\)  
Physical activity in goal for adults \( \geq 20 \) years old (\( \geq 150 \) min/week moderate intensity or vigorous intensity \( \geq 75 \) min)  
Healthy diet (like DASH) |

CVD = cardiovascular disease, CHD = coronary artery disease, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, HDL-C = high density cholesterol, IMT = carotid media thickness, DASH = dietary approaches to stop hypertension.

Taken from: Mosca L et al.\textsuperscript{41}
blood. Later stages of CCM are expressed as ventricular dysfunction and by cardiac natriuretic peptides.\textsuperscript{111} The importance of biomarkers is in predicting the problem or subclinical detection.\textsuperscript{16,79,82} Cardiac biomarkers, such as troponins, BNP and NT-proBNP are used in combination with other imaging techniques during BC treatment surveillance, because their isolated use has not been shown to change clinical results\textsuperscript{12,79,111} (Table 4).

Troponin I. Current evidence points to troponin I (TnI) as the preferred safety indicator for predicting myocardial injury.\textsuperscript{111,112} It rises in 50% of patients at the end of the chemotherapy infusion.\textsuperscript{112} TnI has an excellent negative predictive value for immediate CCM and during treatment.\textsuperscript{111} The elevation of its values in the first three days of high-dose chemotherapy, predicts reduction in LVEF. In patients with TnI negative (0.08 ng/mL) immediate and at the time of chemotherapy and one month after, LVEF is not altered and they have lower rates of cardiac events (HF and asymptomatic LV dysfunction).\textsuperscript{111} Other studies showed a 90% NPV to rule out doxorubicin systolic dysfunction or reversible trastuzumab-associated LV dysfunction and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with high-dose or combination chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{113} Elevation of ultrasensitive TnI is also a predictor of adverse outcomes.\textsuperscript{111}

Natriuretic peptides. Its use involves some difficulties (biological variation, variability with age, weight, renal function, and body mass index).\textsuperscript{114} However, its greater effectiveness in detecting asymptomatic LV dysfunction compared to troponins\textsuperscript{111} and its ability to predict 1-year mortality are in favor of its use.\textsuperscript{112} Clinical studies have used BNP and NT-proBNP as biomarkers of early damage in CCM.\textsuperscript{115} Elevation of BNP during anthracycline treatment is transient; if persistent symptomatic HF will develop, supporting its usefulness in long-term surveillance.\textsuperscript{114,116} In an early anthracycline study, elevation of BNP correlated with increased E/A ratio, suggesting its value in predicting diastolic dysfunction. Other studies demonstrate correlation with altered echocardiographic parameters when doxorubicin doses of 500 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} are reached.\textsuperscript{117} The inverse relationship between elevation of BNP in plasma and decrease in LVEF has been described, even in asymptomatic patients.\textsuperscript{118}

New biomarkers in risk identification. A study of 78 patients with 15-month follow-up, in chemotherapy with doxorubicin and trastuzumab, found that early changes in ultrasensitive TnI and myeloperoxidase have a better prediction of risk for the first cardiotoxic event; elevations of placental growth factor and growth differentiation factor were also associated with risk.\textsuperscript{119} Determination of the N-terminal fragment of BNP (NT-proBNP) in the diagnosis and prognosis of patients with BC has heterogeneous results despite that one study points to this as the only predictor of subclinical CT after treatment with anthracyclines.\textsuperscript{115}

3. Using imaging techniques to diagnose cardiac damage

Baseline LVEF should be reviewed as a general recommendation in all patients receiving cardiotoxic chemotherapy (Figure 3) and during treatment.\textsuperscript{103,120} In some cases, due to the potential risk of CVD reported in long-term studies, LVEF should be examine until seven years later,\textsuperscript{5,8} and even monitor the patient throughout her whole life, because of the increases of HF with ageing.\textsuperscript{79,82,105} Due to variety of the techniques available for the measurement of LVEF, it is recommended that the same modality is used throughout the follow-up and preferably performed by the same operator to optimize the accuracy of diagnosis.\textsuperscript{77,79,120} Although the calculation of LVEF is the most used method for detecting CT,\textsuperscript{77,79,120} the best modality for early detection of myocardial damage is not yet identified.\textsuperscript{104,120,121} In practical terms, the selection should be based on accessibility, operator experience and specific indication of the test. Consider techniques that have less radiation dose, which provide additional information and have lower cost (Table 5).\textsuperscript{76,79,120,122} In general, all imaging methods have the following objectives: 1) To identify stage B of HF for the planning of therapeutic strategies. 2) To calculate with precision the volumes in the evaluation of the ventricular remodeling. 3) To identify changes in load conditions that may affect LVEF and ventricular mechanics.
**Table 4: General imaging surveillance protocol during and after breast cancer therapies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline*</th>
<th>During therapies (chemo/radiotherapy, surgery)(\dagger)</th>
<th>Follow up*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
<td>Very high risk and high risk</td>
<td>Medium risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2DE/3DE (ideal) + GLS + cTn</td>
<td><strong>Anthracycline chemotherapy surveillance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3DE/2DE/+GLS</td>
<td>3DE/2DE/+GLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Every 2 cycles</td>
<td>• Following 50% of planned total treatment or every 2 cycles (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consider after every cycle above 240 mg/m(^2) doxorubicin or equivalent(\dagger)</td>
<td>• Following cycle completing cumulative lifetime cycle of 240 mg/m(^2) doxorubicin or equivalent(\dagger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECG</strong></td>
<td><strong>Biomarkers every 2 cycles</strong></td>
<td><strong>Biomarkers after 4 cycles</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Neoadjuvant HER2-targeted therapies (trasluzumab, pertuzumab) surveillance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3DE/2DE/+GLS</td>
<td>3DE/2DE/+GLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• After final AC cycles</td>
<td>• After final AC cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Every 2 cycles then reduce to every 3 if stable at 3 months(\dagger)</td>
<td>• Every 3 cycles then reduce to every 4 if stable at 4 months(\dagger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Continue the Table 4: General imaging surveillance protocol during and after breast cancer therapies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline*</th>
<th>During therapies (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, surgery)*,‡</th>
<th>Follow up*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
<td><strong>Very high risk and high risk</strong></td>
<td><strong>Medium risk</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biomarkers after AC and every 2 cycles or every 3 months‡</td>
<td>Biomarkers after AC and every 3 cycles every 4 months‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3DE/2DE/+GLS • Every 3 cycles + biomarkers • Optional 2nd or 3rd cycle</td>
<td>3DE/2DE/+GLS • After 3rd cycle and every 4 cycles + biomarkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Or CMR (if pericardial effusion/constriction of vascular toxicity are suspected)</td>
<td>or CMR (if pericardial effusion/constriction or vascular toxicity are suspected)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CMR or VRIE may be offered for if an echocardiogram is not available or technically feasible. Neoadjuvant or adjuvant trastuzumab, pertuzumab; VEGF inhibitors (sunitinib, sorafenib)

‡ In evidence of new LV dysfunction (symptomatic or asymptomatic) during treatment all low and medium CV risk cancer patients have to be reclassified as high CV risk.

§ 300 mg/m² doxorubicin is equivalent to 420 mg/m² epirubicin, 400 mg/m² daunorubicin and 60 mg/m² idarubicin.

¶ 240 mg/m² doxorubicin is equivalent to 360 mg/m² epirubicin, 320 mg/m² daunorubicin and 50 mg/m² idarubicin

DE = dimensional echocardiography, GLS = global longitudinal strain, cTn = cardiac troponin, ECG = electrocardiogram, CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance, biomarkers = cTn/BNP, proBNP, BNP = brain natriuretic peptide, AC = anthracycline, HER = human epidermal growth factor receptor.

Adapted from: Čelutkienė J et al.; Plana JC et al.; Armenian SH et al.;
ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF CARDIOTOXICITY

- Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). LVEF is the most widely accepted parameter for evaluating ventricular function and for modifying systemic chemotherapy if necessary. (Figure 3).77,79,120

CCM is defined as the absolute reduction of LVEF > 10% from baseline (in an asymptomatic patient), with LVEF below the normal limit for two-dimensional echocardiogram (2DE) considered to be 53% (American Echocardiography Society and European Cardiovascular Imaging Association).79,82,123 The 2DE although it is the most used method, has low sensitivity for subtle alterations since its variability is close to the diagnostic range of myocardial damage by chemotherapy (8~11%).76,79 Contrast use decreases inter- and intraobserver variability by defining endocardium.76 The method of choice for calculating LVEF is real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (3DE).76,79,103,120 It directly quantifies ventricular volumes and has low inter-operator variability (5.8%). Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) is recommended in patients with suboptimal window or in case of inconclusive echocardiographic results.76,103,120

- Ventricular deformation (strain) in the detection of subclinical damage. One disadvantage of LVEF is that when CT damage is detected, it may be irreversible.82,88 Changes in myocardial deformation are detected in patients during and immediately after treatment. Those occur before the fall in LVEF,127 even with low doses of anthracyclines (200 mg/m²)124,125 and are independent of age, type of cancer and follow-up time (a 9-19% reduction of the peak of longitudinal systolic deformation, an 6-17% reduction of global radial deformation or global circumferential systolic deformation in 11-16.7%).124,126

2D speckle tracking echocardiography, is most commonly used technique to global

---

**Figure 3:** Follow-up algorithm in patients who will receive potentially cardiotoxic therapy.

LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, LV = left ventricle, GLS = global longitudinal strain.

Modified from: Plana JC et al.103
longitudinal strain (GLS) assessment due to superior reproducibility (5.5 ± 9.5% variability) compared with 2DE LVEF (12 ± 15% variability). Based on GLS has being created the term subclinical CT, which is defined as a > 15% reduction in GLS compared to baseline. An 8% reduction defines patients with low risk of subclinical damage, but between 8-15% does not rule out patients at risk. In addition, changes in circumferential deformation have been associated with a higher probability of toxicity in women who received treatment for BC (RR of 31% for every 1% reduction compared to baseline).

- Echocardiogram in the follow-up. Although anthracycline CT may be detected several years after the end of therapy, there are limited recommendations for appropriate follow-up in these patients. Nevertheless, screening with an LVEF assessment should be considered at 6-12 months, and possibly two years post-treatment, and consideration for reassessment periodically thereafter. In a two years prospective-cohort of 63 breast cancer patients treated with anthracyclines, a significant longitudinal peak systolic strain (LPSS) reduction occurred in 32.4% of 1,071 segments examined following chemotherapy. Since the endocardium is the most vulnerable structure to the toxic effects of chemotherapy, assessment of myocardial deformation with GLS surveillance may become the most sensitive strategy for early detection of CT. It has been reported that the reduction of GLS > 15% from baseline at three months, predicts LVEF drop with 79% sensitivity and 82% specificity.

CARDIAC MAGNETIC RESONANCE (CMR) IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF CARDIOTOXICITY

In cardio-hemato-oncology patients, magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) due to its cost, limited availability, and dependence on patient adaptation (claustrophobia, need for repeated apneas) is not usually the first-line tool to identify heart damage. However, it complements other image modes. Due to their high accuracy and reproducibility, late reinforcement and T1 mapping techniques identify myocardial fibrosis and T1 weighted sequences including T2 mapping, detect inflammation and intracellular and interstitial oedema, even in small territories. So far it is not defined if the parameters obtained with CMR after treatment identify patients at risk of CT.

CARDIAC MAGNETIC RESONANCE (CMR) IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF CARDIOTOXICITY

In cardio-hemato-oncology patients, magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) due to its cost, limited availability, and dependence on patient adaptation (claustrophobia, need for repeated apneas) is not usually the first-line tool to identify heart damage. However, it complements other image modes. Due to their high accuracy and reproducibility, late reinforcement and T1 mapping techniques identify myocardial fibrosis and T1 weighted sequences including T2 mapping, detect inflammation and intracellular and interstitial oedema, even in small territories. So far it is not defined if the parameters obtained with CMR after treatment identify patients at risk of CT.

(a) Baseline pretreatment assessment. In the confirmation of a LVEF > 50% in the patient who will start with potentially cardiotoxic chemotherapy (Figure 3), especially when a borderline LVEF has been calculated by transthoracic echocardiography (TTE). When you have a poor acoustic window for other imaging modes, there are limited recommendations for appropriate follow-up in these patients. Nevertheless, screening with an LVEF assessment should be considered at 6-12 months, and possibly two years post-treatment, and consideration for reassessment periodically thereafter. In a two years prospective-cohort of 63 breast cancer patients treated with anthracyclines, a significant longitudinal peak systolic strain (LPSS) reduction occurred in 32.4% of 1,071 segments examined following chemotherapy. Since the endocardium is the most vulnerable structure to the toxic effects of chemotherapy, assessment of myocardial deformation with GLS surveillance may become the most sensitive strategy for early detection of CT. It has been reported that the reduction of GLS > 15% from baseline at three months, predicts LVEF drop with 79% sensitivity and 82% specificity.

CARDIAC MAGNETIC RESONANCE (CMR) IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF CARDIOTOXICITY

In cardio-hemato-oncology patients, magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) due to its cost, limited availability, and dependence on patient adaptation (claustrophobia, need for repeated apneas) is not usually the first-line tool to identify heart damage. However, it complements other image modes. Due to their high accuracy and reproducibility, late reinforcement and T1 mapping techniques identify myocardial fibrosis and T1 weighted sequences including T2 mapping, detect inflammation and intracellular and interstitial oedema, even in small territories. So far it is not defined if the parameters obtained with CMR after treatment identify patients at risk of CT. CMR is considered as a choice between echocardiography and nuclear imaging in the following clinical scenarios:

(a) Baseline pretreatment assessment. In the confirmation of a LVEF > 50% in the patient who will start with potentially cardiotoxic chemotherapy (Figure 3), especially when a borderline LVEF has been calculated by transthoracic echocardiography (TTE). When you have a poor acoustic window for other imaging modes, there are limited recommendations for appropriate follow-up in these patients. Nevertheless, screening with an LVEF assessment should be considered at 6-12 months, and possibly two years post-treatment, and consideration for reassessment periodically thereafter. In a two years prospective-cohort of 63 breast cancer patients treated with anthracyclines, a significant longitudinal peak systolic strain (LPSS) reduction occurred in 32.4% of 1,071 segments examined following chemotherapy. Since the endocardium is the most vulnerable structure to the toxic effects of chemotherapy, assessment of myocardial deformation with GLS surveillance may become the most sensitive strategy for early detection of CT. It has been reported that the reduction of GLS > 15% from baseline at three months, predicts LVEF drop with 79% sensitivity and 82% specificity.
and/or diffuse fibrosis in left cavities; and to determine by myocardial stress perfusion study the presence of microvascular coronary artery disease.\textsuperscript{88,78,134,135}

2. For the use of radiation therapy. To evaluate LVEF and rule out subclinical left ventricular dysfunction and constrictive physiology; as well as to carry out analysis of tissue characterization in search of focal and/or diffuse fibrosis in both ventricle and left atrium and of pericardial inflammation/thickening and to determine by myocardial perfusion study the diagnosis of epicardial and microvascular CHD.\textsuperscript{79,133,135} For all its advantages and despite its disadvantages, the use of CMR in cardio-oncology is in many cases the first option after echocardiography (Table 4).

### Nuclear Cardiology in the Diagnosis of Cardiotoxicity

- Radio isotopic ventriculography in equilibrium (VRIE). It evaluates the function of the left ventricle by quantifying LVEF. It has a low interobserver variability (5%), high precision and standardized against a reference database.

#### Table 5: Imaging techniques advantages according with cardiotoxicity type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of toxicity</th>
<th>Anti-cancer agents</th>
<th>Proposed technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCM, myocardiitis</td>
<td>Anthracyclines, alkylating agents, antimitabolites, monoclonal antibodies, tyrosine kinase inhibitors and anti-VEGF, proteasome inhibitors, antimicrotubule agents, immunotherapy</td>
<td>2D-3D Echo + GLS (ideal) CMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular disease</td>
<td>Radiation-induced cardiotoxicity</td>
<td>2D-3D echo, CT, CMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial disease</td>
<td>Metrotexate, arsenic trioxide, antimitabolites, antimicrotubule agents, radiotherapy</td>
<td>Echo 2D, TC, CMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>Antimitabolites, monoclonal antibodies, anti-microtubule agents, tyrosine kinase inhibitors and anti-VEGF</td>
<td>Stress Echo, TC/SPECT or PET, stress CMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td>Tyrosine kinase inhibitors small molecule (anti-VEGF)</td>
<td>2D Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular toxicity</td>
<td>Anthracyclines, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, monoclonal antibodies, anti-microtubule agents, antimitabolites</td>
<td>Vascular ultrasound, CMR, TC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAGE</td>
<td>ADVANTAGE</td>
<td>DISADVANTAGES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D Echocardiography</td>
<td>Availability and low cost, experience</td>
<td>Low reproducibility, image quality, established LV dysfunction diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D Echocardiography</td>
<td>Reproducibility</td>
<td>Low reproducibility, image quality, established LV dysfunction diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLS</td>
<td>Reproducibility, LV subclinical dysfunction detection, highly predictive negative</td>
<td>Availability, image quality, there are no absolute values of normality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMR</td>
<td>Reproducibility, image quality, structure characterization, detection of myocardial ischemia</td>
<td>Availability and costs, technical limitations (obesity, pacemakers, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRIE</td>
<td>Reproducibility, expertise</td>
<td>Radiation (5mSv), availability, information limited to DV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT ± SPECT</td>
<td>Reproducibility, myocardial ischemia detection, characterization of structures</td>
<td>Radiation (12-14mSv), availability and cost, technical limitations (arrhythmias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT/PET</td>
<td>Reproducibility, detection of myocardial ischemia</td>
<td>Radiation (2-4mSv), availability and cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCM = chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity, LV = left ventricle, GLS = global longitudinal strain, CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, VRIE = radionuclide ventriculography, CT = computed tomography, SPECT = emission computed tomography single photon, PET = positron emission tomography.
Modified from: Zamorano JL et al.,\textsuperscript{76} Čelutkienė J et al.\textsuperscript{79}
diagnostic efficacy and reproducibility. Its use at the beginning and during treatment, significantly detects CT compared to patients who are not evaluated (2.86 vs 20.8%). Serial monitoring of LVEF has been shown to decrease the incidence of cardiotoxicity (7:1) and development of HF. Its implementation involves exposure to 5mSv of radiation per study and has limited information on the rest of cardiac functions and structures.

- Myocardial perfusion with gated-SPECT (single photon emission computed tomography synchronized with electrocardiogram). Highly reproducible technique, independent operator (Table 5). It determines ventricular function by LVEF and calculation of tele diastolic and tele systolic volumes. It is indicated in the detection of myocardial ischemia in patients with a history of chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Myocardial ischemia with impaired mobility and systolic thickening has been reported by gated-SPECT in asymptomatic women following radiation therapy (in half of the patients), with an increase of 27% to six months and 42% to 24 months. Its disadvantage is the patient’s exposure to radiation (12-14 mSv per study) availability and cost.

- Positron emission tomography (PET/CT). Its main utility is the evaluation of microvascular dysfunction in patients with a history of chemotherapy and radiotherapy. It allows real-time evaluation of ventricular function (LVEF and ventricular volumes at rest and effort), quantification of the myocardial flows (at rest-effort) and coronary flow reserve. Useful in the detection of myocardial ischemia (Table 5), with a diagnostic efficacy of 100% for the detection of CHD. The disadvantage of the technique is exposure to ionizing radiation (2-4 mSv), cost and low accessibility.

IV. WHICH ALTERNATIVES ARE USEFUL IN PREVENTING CARDIOTOXICITY AND WHEN ARE THEY INDICATED?

CT prevention begins the identification of high-risk groups (Figures 2, 3 y 4, Table 4), and includes diagnosis, RF control and timely prescription of cardioprotective drugs at the right time, as well as the careful selection of the chemotherapy scheme.

1. Oncological strategies to prevent cardiotoxicity

The magnitude of effects by CT is very variable so the patient with a history of cancer treatment is considered with Stage A HF. Unfortunately the most cardiotoxic agents such as anthracyclines (doxorubicin and epirubicin) are widely used in BC and other neoplasms. The documented incidence of HF by anthracyclines is 5% for cumulative doses of 400 mg/m², for cumulative doses of 500 is 16% and 26% for doses of 550 mg/m². Even if an attempt is made to decrease the cumulative dose limits, the CT effect of oncological treatment may remain undercover by compensatory cardiac mechanisms, becoming apparent later, when the patient gains age and comorbidities (Table 1).

Oncological strategies that may be helpful in minimizing anthracycline CT are the following: a) To reduce the cardiotoxicity of the drug by selecting the least cardiotoxic, adjusted dose and/or divided infusion patterns. b) Prior administration of cardioprotective agents (dexrazoxane).

- Adjusted dose. Maintain cumulative dose of doxorubicin between 240-360 mg/m² and epirubicin 450-600 mg/m². For other anthracyclines, the equivalent doses should be used. For all patients, the dose calculation should be performed with the updated weight in each cycle of chemotherapy. The calculation of dose per-kg of weight does not carry an increased risk of toxic effects in obese women.

- Patterns of continuous infusion. Current evidence points to greater myocardial damage from bolus administration compared to divided doses. Continuous infusion during 48 to 72 hours decreases the plasma peaks of the drug with a cardioprotective effect in adults, without modifying the efficacy of the drug.

- Selection of the least cardiotoxic drug. A recent comparative MA of the cardiotoxic
effects of doxorubicin, epirubicin, liposomal doxorubicin, doxorubicin + dexrazoxane and epirubicin + dexrazoxane in 3,484 patients with BC, found that liposomal doxorubicin and the combination of epirubicin + dexrazoxane are the least toxic treatments. Doxorubicin was found to be the most effective cardiotoxic therapy and liposomal doxorubicin.137 In a previous MA, a trend in ischemic heart disease (IHD) reduction with epirubicin was observed compared with doxorubicin (RR = 0.36, 95% CI 0.12 to 1.11). Finally, therapies other than anthracyclines should be considered when they are superior or equally effective (e.g., docetaxel/cyclophosphamide) for cases of BC.146-148

Use of doxorubicin liposomal. There is an alternative formulation of either encapsulated doxorubicin or liposomal (instead of doxorubicin or in patients who have already exceeded doses). This agent is distributed preferably in malignant tissues by increased vascular permeability, demonstrating to be highly effective and less cardiotoxic.149 In a recent MA, a lower clinical and subclinical HF rate was concluded in adult patients treated with this type of doxorubicin (RR = 0.20, 95% CI 0.05 vs 0.75 and RR = 0.38, 95% CI 0.24 to 0.59).150 In an RCT of 224 women with average age of 54 years and metastatic BC, the liposomal doxorubicin group had a lower frequency of cardiotoxicity (13 vs 29%; p = NS), with similar antitumor activity and survival compared to doxorubicin.151

Avoid concurrent use of anthracyclines and trastuzumab. The addition of trastuzumab to chemotherapy in women with HER2-positive improves survival and reduces mortality by up to 33%,85 but increases CT by 28% compared to 10% with anthracycline alone.86 Although severe cardiac dysfunction has been documented to be
transient in the first year, its use at 1 and 2 years increases the frequency of mild heart failure (NYHA class I-II) long-term (7.3 and 4.45% vs with 0.9% in controls). The incidence is significantly reduced (0.6 vs 3.6%) if administered 90 days after the last anthracycline dose, and discontinuation rates due to severe LV dysfunction are also reduced (4.3 vs 15.6%).

- Concomitant therapy with dexrazoxane. Dexrazoxane attenuates the cardiac toxicity of anthracycline through iron chelation and decreases the production of free radicals. Its cardioprotective effect has been demonstrated in both MA of adults with cancer treated with anthracyclines, with 65% significant reduction in HF and left ventricular dysfunction (RR = 0.35; 95% CI 0.27-0.45 p = 0.00001), with the same antitumor efficacy. In women with BC, dexrazoxane has shown a reduction in HF. In an RCT of 164 women randomized to receive dexrazoxane or placebo 30 minutes before their treatment with doxorubicin, cardiac events were significantly reduced (LV dysfunction in 39 vs 13%; p = 0.001 and HF 11 vs 1%, p = 0.05). In a retrospective analysis of 318 cases of early- or late-stage BC (metastases), a cardioprotective effect on the incidence of HF of 1.57% at 10 years was demonstrated, mortality free.

However, it is currently only recommended in patients with advanced metastatic BC who achieve cumulative doses of 300 mg/m² of doxorubicin or 540 mg/m² of epirubicin and benefit from additional doses of anthracyclines.

2. Addition of cardioprotective drugs

Drugs with an effect on neurohumoral blockade as beta-blockers (BB) angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE inhibitors), angiotensin-II receptor blockers (ARBs) and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6: Drugs cardiovascular prophylactic effect in anthracycline/trastuzumab cardiotoxicity.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinale 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI + BB Bosch 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARBs Cadeddu 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB Kalay 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaya 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seicean 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins Acar 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seicean 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACEI = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, ARBs = angiotensin-II receptor blockers, BB = beta blockers, RCT = randomized controlled clinical trials, m = month, y = year, QT = chemotherapy, AC = anthracycline, TZ = trastuzumab, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, MACE = cardiovascular outcomes, GLS = overall longitudinal strain, HF = heart failure, BNP = brain natriuretic peptide.

Modified from: Curigliano G et al.88
are those with the most studies and report reduction in rates of left ventricular dysfunction compared with placebo (3.96%; 95% CI, 2.90-5.20) (Table 6). Early initiation of cardioprotective therapy has been shown to be decisive in recovery from ventricular dysfunction. In an RCT of patients LVEF of 45% due to chemotherapy, 64% of patients who started the intervention with enalapril-carvedilol in the first 2 months after treatment, recovered ventricular function and had fewer cardiovascular events (Figure 4).

- Renin angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors (ACEI and ARBs). Enalapril compared to placebo demonstrated reduced LVEF impairment and decreased troponin elevation. Benefit is also attributed in the reduction of serum markers and left ventricular diastolic function. In a study with candesartan, a smaller reduction of LVEF was achieved [(2.66% (95% CI 1.5-3.8) vs 0.8% (95% CI 0.4-1.9)] compared with metoprolol and for both against placebo. In treatment with anthracyclines, lisinopril has been shown to be effective in reducing CT. In patients with HER2-positive BC treated with trastuzumab the reduction of LVEF impairment was not demonstrated.

- Beta blockers. Carvedilol has more evidence in this group of drugs. It is attributed a cardioprotective mechanism against doxorubicin. In different studies it has shown improvement in GLS more than in LVEF; in others, lower release of TnI and better diastolic function. Nevibolol and bisoprolol have some benefit; metoprolol has a neutral effect on results and propranolol has a cardiotoxic role.

- Statins. There is evidence of its favorable effect on the prognosis with reduction in the BC recurrence at 5 years (0.67 for users vs non-users 95% CI, 0.39 to 1.13); as well as in the preservation of ventricular function. Prescribing atorvastatin in 40 patients prior to initiation of chemotherapy maintained unchanged LVEF compared to controls (8% absolute reduction) at six months follow-up. 67 women previously treated with statins showed a lower risk for incident HF at 2.5 years. Its cardioprotective action is attributed to its antioxidant and pleiotropic effects, independent of the baseline lipid level.

- Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA). The cardioprotective effect of aldosterone antagonism compared to placebo is weak. Although the only alethorized study that exists shows a modest benefit on left ventricular function (LVEF), lower elevation of biomarkers and diastolic function, the findings are a reflection of a small group (83 patients), most with low cardiovascular risk and different chemotherapy regimens.

3. Diet and exercise in secondary prevention

Approximately 80% of CVD can be prevented with RF control, such as promoting healthy diet and weight, physical activity, tobacco withdrawal, blood pressure control, diabetes mellitus and a normal lipid profile. According to the main cardiovascular prevention guidelines, the recommended therapeutic goals for adults are described in the Table 7.

- Heart-healthy diet. It is recommended that BC survivors adopt a diet rich in vegetables, fruits, whole grains and legumes; low in saturated fat and limited in alcohol consumption. A diet that controls body weight, will have an impact on cancer recurrence and a best prognosis. A healthy diet has been associated with a 15-43% reduction in the risk of death from all causes, compared to the Western diet (high consumption of saturated fats). Consumption of polyunsaturated fatty acids, especially marine, is associated with a 14% reduction (RR 0.86; 95% CI, 0.78 on 0.94) at the risk of BC according to a recent MA. As a general rule and due to the carcinogenic effect of alcohol when consumed in excess, its consumption should be limited in women, to no more than 1 drink/day.

- Physical activity. It is recommended that the primary care physician prescribes regular physical activity at least 150 minute per week of moderate-intensity exercise or 75 minutes per week of vigorous intensity. Exercises with
Table 7: Cardiovascular risk factors goals in cancer patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Initial goal</th>
<th>Optimal goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arterial blood pressure</td>
<td>&lt; 140/90 mmHg</td>
<td>&lt; 130/80 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>&lt; 100 mg/dL at moderate risk</td>
<td>&lt; 70 mg/dL at high risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosylated haemoglobin</td>
<td>7-8% patient with comorbidities,</td>
<td>7% patients with more life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>advanced age</td>
<td>expectancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>Moderate intensity exercise 150</td>
<td>In obese patient moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal weight</td>
<td>minutes per week (30 minutes/</td>
<td>exercise 45 minutes/7 weekdays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>day for five days)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDL = low density lipoprotein, BMI = body mass index, DASH = dietary approaches to stop hypertension.

Conclusions

CVD is the leading cause of death in female BC survivors, due to the potential cardiac dysfunction because of oncological therapies, the concurrence of other CVRF, comorbidities and aging itself. Therefore, our fundamental objectives are to determine the patient’s baseline cardiovascular risk (considering the patient-related risk factors and the oncological treatment-related risk); to establish preventative strategies to reduce the risk and to monitor the patient during and after cancer treatment with imaging techniques (LVEF and GLS) and biochemical markers for the early implementation of cardioprotective strategies.
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