Right side diverticulitis, differential diagnosis of complicated appendicitis. Clinical case

INTRODUCTION

Right-sided diverticulitis is a rare entity in the West, accounting for 1 to 3.6% of all cases of diverticular disease.1 Approximately 80% of right-sided diverticula are located on the anterior face of the cecum, near the ileocecal valve, and are usually asymptomatic.2 Because of the low incidence and location, the diagnosis of this entity is complex. Despite the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound and tomography, two out of three patients with right-sided diverticulitis are operated on (sometimes unnecessarily) under the presumptive diagnosis of appendicitis.3

The objective of this article is to describe the case of a patient with a clinical picture suggestive of complicated appendicitis. The transoperative finding was complicated right-sided diverticulitis.

CLINICAL CASE

A 23-year-old female patient with no significant personal or family history. She presented to the emergency department with abdominal pain of 20 hours’ evolution, initially in the epigastrium with subsequent irradiation to the right iliac fossa, with initial intensity (8/10, visual analog scale), progressive until becoming disabling (10/10), with irradiation to both lower quadrants and pelvis, exacerbated by changes in position and the Valsalva maneuver. She also presented chills, diaphoresis, nausea without vomiting, asthenia, adynamia, and anorexia.

On physical examination, her vitals were BP of 115/70 mmHg, respiratory rate 21 per minute, heart rate of 110 per minute, and temperature of 38 °C. The abdomen was found to be flat, rigid, with decreased peristalsis,
pain in the periumbilical area and lower right quadrant, with a palpable tumor, positive Rovsing’s and Dunphy’s signs, and pain upon percussion. Labs showed leukocytosis of 17,000 per mm3 with a 75% neutrophilia. She had 10 points on the Alvarado score.

A clinical diagnosis of complicated appendicitis was made and the patient programmed for an appendectomy. A Mcburney’s incision was made, a slightly edematous cecal appendix was observed, with a small fecalith inside. An appendectomy was performed, with ligation of the mesoappendix and the appendix base, section, and extraction. On the junction of the cecum with the ascending colon at the antimesenteric border, a plastron was found. Upon pressure the affected area discharged pus. Resection of the affected segment (cecum and a segment of 5 cm of distal ileum) was decided, with the closure of the distal end with Hartmann’s technique (two planes of polyglactin 910) and a terminal ileostomy. The segment corresponded to a perforated cecum diverticulum classified as Hinchey II-III (Figure 1).

The pathology report confirmed a 1 × 0.5 cm diverticulum with lymphocytic infiltration and polymorphonuclears, macrophages, and fibrin (diverticulitis) (Figures 2 and 3). The appendix report showed no evidence of pathology (lymphoid follicles, germinal nodes with macrophages, and submucosa with loosely vascularized connective tissue).

The patient was in good post-surgical condition and was discharged on the third day, medicated only with analgesia (paracetamol 500 mg for three to five days).

DISCUSSION

Right-sided diverticulitis is a rare entity. However, it should be kept in mind as a differential diagnosis of complicated appendicitis. Other diagnoses in the course of an appendectomy can be diverticula of the appendix, ileocecal invaginations, epiploic appendicitis, and torsion of the omentum, among others.

Both ultrasound (in expert hands), computerized tomography, and even magnetic resonance imaging (in pregnant women) can provide data to guide the diagnosis and avoid unnecessary surgery. However, most of the time the definitive diagnosis of cecal diverticulitis is made during the transoperative period, even with previous imaging studies.

If the diagnosis of uncomplicated diverticulitis is made (Hinchey la and lb stages), conservative management with antibiotics is effective and in the case of moderate inflammation (Hinchey II) a closed drain may be placed, while in a severe inflammatory case (Hinchey III and IV) hemicolectomy is...
recommended, as the presence of carcinoma in the cecum cannot be ruled out.14

A therapeutic dilemma ensues facing a moderate inflammatory process (as was the case), with perforation, collection of pus, and risk of fistula. In these cases, one could opt for ileocecal resection,15 a cecostomy,16 an omentum patch, or simple observation and antibiotic management with closed drainage. In this case, ileocecal resection was chosen, being a feasible procedure with lower morbidity than a right hemicolectomy,17 with immediate ileocolic anastomosis.18

Given the possibility of various surgical scenarios in the Emergency Room, good therapeutic judgment must be exercised to provide the best treatment.

CONCLUSION

Right diverticulitis should be considered in the differential diagnosis of appendicitis and its treatment should be applied based on the findings and hospital possibilities, which range from conservative management to diverticulectomy, to right hemicolectomy.
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