Annals of Hepatology

Vol. 10 Suppl.2, 2011: S36-S39

Review of the final report of the 1998 Working Party on definition, nomenclature and diagnosis of hepatic encephalopathy

Juan Francisco Rivera Ramos*, Celina Rodríguez Leal**

* Servicio de Gastroenterología, Hospital Español de México. Policlínica Ángel Urraza Saracho. México, D.F. México.

** Servicio de Gastroenterología, Hospital Universitario Dr. José Eleuterio González, Facultad de Medicina,

Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. Monterrey, Nuevo León, México.

ABSTRACT

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is a heterogeneous disease that develops as a result of serious liver disease, such as in fulminant hepatitis or cirrhosis, or a portosystemic shunt. It manifests as a spectrum of abnormalities involving cognition, attention, functional ability, personality and intellect. The neuropsychiatric impairment associated with HE can range from mild alteration of cognition and consciousness to coma, depending on the stage of the illness. In 1998, the World Gastroenterology Organization formed a working party to develop guidelines and recommendations for the diagnosis, grading and treatment of HE for research and practice. In this report, we discuss the various tests available for diagnosis and grading and the recommendations of the working party, which provide a framework for further studies on clinical trial methodology.

Key words. Hepatic encephalopathy. Guidelines. Neuropsychometric testing. Neurophysiological testing. Treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is a neuropsychiatric disease that develops as a result of serious liver disease, such as in fulminant hepatitis or cirrhosis, or a portosystemic shunt. It is caused by the effects on the central nervous system of toxins that accumulate in the blood because of the liver's inability to perform its normal detoxifying function. HE manifests as a spectrum of abnormalities involving cognition, attention, functional ability, personality and intellect. The neuropsychiatric impairment associated with HE can range from mild alteration of cognition and consciousness to coma, depending on the stage of the illness.² HE is also characterized by neuromuscular symptoms such as tremor, asterixis, hyperreflexia and, in advanced cases, decerebrate posture. Arterial and venous ammonia levels are often elevated compared with the normal ranges. There is a subclinical form of HE with unclear neuropsychiatric manifestations that can only be detected using quantitative neuropsychological function tests.

The heterogeneity and variability of the manifestations of HE between individual patients and within individual patients over time make the condition difficult to diagnose and to categorize with respect to severity. Recognizing these challenges, the World Gastroenterology Organization World Congress of Gastroenterology formed a working party of hepatologists, neurologists and general basic scientists in 1998 to develop consensus recommendations regarding key issues relevant to the diagnosis and grading of HE in clinical research and practice.

NOMENCLATURE

The working party proposed a nomenclature that defines HE with respect to the nature of the hepatic abnormality and the duration and characteristics of neurological manifestations. The nomenclature broadly categorizes HE according to the nature of the hepatic abnormality into three types, as follows:

- *Type A.* HE associated with acute liver failure.
- **Type B.** HE associated with portosystemic bypass and no intrinsic hepatocellular disease.
- **Type C.** HE associated with cirrhosis and portal hypertension or portosystemic shunts.

Correspondence and reprint request: Dr. Juan Francisco Rivera Ramos Hospital Español de México

Policlínica Ángel Urraza Saracho 1er. Piso, Miguel Hidalgo 11520.

Mexico City, Mexico. Tel.: 52+ 55 5255-9622

E-mail: dastolphi@yahoo.com.mx

Manuscript received: May 05, 2010. Manuscript accepted: May 06, 2010. Type A HE is characterized by astrocyte swelling leading to cytotoxic edema and its complications (intracranial hypertension, brain herniation), whereas types B and C are characterized by so-called Alzheimer type II changes in the astrocytes. Such changes include nuclear cell swelling, margination of the chromatin pattern and glycogen accumulation. Alzheimer type II cells also manifest changes in the expression of gene coding for key astrocytic proteins. Type C HE is associated with significant neuronal cell death. Morphological changes, principally of brain astrocytes, are revealed during neuropathological examination.

Type A HE is observed in the presence of acute liver injury. It often progresses rapidly to coma, seizures, decerebrate rigidity and frequently to death. Cerebral edema is attributed in part to swelling of astrocytes. This type of HE is associated with a high mortality rate, and death is usually attributed to cerebral herniation and hypoxia caused by increased intracranial pressure. Causes include acetaminophen overdose and viral hepatitis.

In type B HE, toxins bypass the liver because of the artificial creation, congenital persistence or spontaneous development of portosystemic shunts, which connect the portal venous system supplying blood to the liver with the systemic venous circulation. Type C HE (more common than type B HE) is classed as episodic, persistent or minimal.

Main reason for introducing these descriptors was the widespread confusion in the literature over what constitutes acute or chronic HE. Most of the so-called chronic HE cases described in early reports were actually individual or recurrent episodes of HE in patients with chronic liver disease.

In patients with episodic HE, a disturbance of consciousness develops over hours to days, but does not persist. This is by far the most common form of HE. Episodic HE is usually marked by episodes of neuropsychiatric impairment precipitated by specific stimuli such as azotemia, the use of sedatives or tranquilizers, gastrointestinal bleeding, excess dietary protein, metabolic alkalosis, infections or constipation.⁸

The category of episodic HE is divided into subcategories of precipitated, spontaneous and recurrent forms. Precipitated episodic HE is associated with specific causes (e.g., constipation, hyponatremia, sedative drugs, azotemia, hypokalemia, surgery) or factors that exacerbate liver damage (e.g., infection, an alcoholic binge) or increased blood concentrations of the products of protein metabolism (e.g., excessive dietary protein, bleeding in the gastrointestinal

Table 1. West Haven Criteria for semiquantitative grading of mental status.

Grade	Criteria
Grade 1	Trivial lack of awareness. Euphoria or anxiety. Shortened attention span. Impaired performance in addition.
Grade 2	Lethargy or apathy. Minimal disorientation in time or place. Subtle personality changes. Inappropriate behavior. Impaired performance in subtraction.
Grade 3	Somnolence to semistupor but responsive to verbal stimuli. Confusion. Gross disorientation.
Grade 4	Coma (unresponsive to verbal or noxious stimuli).

Adapted from Ferenci, et al.3

tract), whereas spontaneous episodic HE has no recognized precipitating factors. Recurrent HE is considered to be present when two bouts of precipitated or spontaneous episodic HE occur within a one-year period.³ In strictly defined persistent HE, neuropsychiatric deficits do not remit. Although fluctuations in the level of consciousness are observed, patients with persistent HE typically do not return to a normal mental status.

The working party recommends the West Haven Criteria (Table 1) and the Glasgow Coma Scale for assessing mental status in episodic or persistent HE.⁹ For minimal HE, the working party recommends the use of at least two of the following instruments: number connection test-A, number connection test-B, the block design test and the digit symbol test.

HE is divided into two primary components: overt HE (OHE) and minimal HE (MHE). It has been estimated that OHE is present in 30-45% of patients, with an annual risk of development in 20% of patients with cirrhosis.³

APPROACH FOR A PATIENT WITH CIRRHOSIS AND ALTERED MENTAL STATUS

During physical examination, a detailed evaluation of the vitals and airway should be performed at the outset and these should be managed accordingly. The physical examination should also concentrate on classifying the patient according to the West Haven Criteria. ¹⁰ A focal motor deficit tends to be a global process. Patients with OHE may have

hyperreflexia, a positive Babinski's sign and, in stages 2 and 3, asterixis, ¹¹ which may be demonstrated in the tongue and the upper and lower extremities. Asterixis is not specific for HE. If the above signs are evident, then the diagnosis of HE is fairly certain clinically and there is no need for further expensive imaging or psychometric and neurophysiological testing.

APPROACH FOR A PATIENT WITH CIRRHOSIS AND NORMAL MENTAL STATUS

Patients in this condition have been the focus of several recent investigations because the diagnostic methods used for cognitive evaluation in this population are varied and there is no consensus regarding the optimal strategy.¹²

Neuropsychometric testing

The Portosystemic Encephalopathy Syndrome Test used by Weissenborn, *et al.* has been validated for MHE diagnosis in Germany, Italy and Spain.¹³ The Portosystemic Encephalopathy Syndrome Test is comprised of five tests:

- Number connection test-A (NCT-A).
- Number connection test-B (NCT-B).
- The line tracing test for errors and time.
- The serial dotting test.
- The digit symbol test (DST).

The cutoff value between normal and pathological was found to be 4 points, which resulted in a sensitivity of 96% and a specificity of 100%. ¹⁴ Although the working group on HE recommends this test, it has not been validated for the USA. If it is not available, an option is to use a combination of two of the following four tests: the NCT-A, NCT-B, DST and block design test. ³

Neurophysiological testing

Neurophysiological tests are conducted under the supervision of a neurologist and require specialized equipment, personnel and time. These tests can therefore be difficult to administer in a regular clinical setting, although they are ideal for research settings. A test that is applicable to the clinical setting and does not require psychological expertise is the critical flicker frequency (CFF) test, which assesses the functional efficacy of the cortex, which has a direct corre-

lation with psychometric abnormalities. ¹⁵ Stepwise increments in light frequency from 25 Hz enable determination of the fusion–frequency threshold. A threshold of 38-39 Hz differentiates between manifest HE and subclinical or minimal HE. The benefits of this test are that it can be performed within a short period of time by clinic personnel without the need for a psychologist and that its cost is minimal.

The CDR test, developed by Cognitive Drug Research in the UK, consists of five psychometric subsets that test attention power, attention continuity, speed of memory and quality of episodic and working memory. ¹⁶ The inhibitory control test (ICT) is a computerized variant of the continuous performance test that assesses sustained attention and response inhibition. It consists of 1,728 stimuli, 40 lures and 212 targets that are presented within 13 min after a training run. A high lure and a low target rate indicate poor psychometric performance. The ICT has been validated for the US population with 88% sensitivity for the diagnosis of MHE when a patient has had more than five lures. ¹⁷

TREATMENT OPTIONS IN THE MANAGEMENT OF HEPATIC ENCEPHALOPATHY

Treatment goals and options are dependent on the stage and severity of HE. The main goals are treatment of precipitating factors, improvement in mental status and evaluation of the possibility of a liver transplant, mainly in the context of an acute episode of HE. Outpatient management after an episode of HE consists of preventing recurrence of further episodes of HE, improving daily functioning and considering liver transplantation.

Ultimate management tool for OHE is a liver transplant. HE is a predictor of a poor prognosis for survival in patients with hepatopathy.

Precipitating factors

An extensive search for potential precipitating factors should be instituted along with treatment of OHE. Precipitants increase ammonia production or reduce the threshold for mental status decline, or produce a combination of these effects. The leading causes of OHE are gastrointestinal bleeding, sepsis and dehydration resulting from diuretics, diarrhea or vomiting. Another cause is nonadherence to medications. Ammonia levels are less informative than observation of a change in mental status in OHE and, therefore, may not predict or correlate

with actual clinical outcomes. ¹⁸ Therapeutic options for HE are directed toward reducing ammonia production, increasing the fixation or excretion of ammonia, improving neurological function and modifying portosystemic collaterals. ¹⁹

Lactulose is a nonabsorbable disaccharide that is fermented in the colon. Acidification of colonic contents and mass evacuation of bacteria have been proposed. The administration of lactulose when patients have been admitted with HE is associated with improved mental status, but it is difficult to pinpoint the reason for this improvement because precipitating factors are simultaneously being corrected. Lactulose should be administered in enema form to patients with stage 3 or higher OHE and should be given orally if the patient is able to tolerate it through this route.

Rifaximin is a nonabsorbable antibiotic that has been used to treat HE in several European countries.²⁰ It has a favorable impact and the Cochrane review recommends its use.²¹

Prevention of recurrent episodes is key to normalization of daily functioning. A recent randomized trial demonstrated that lactulose therapy significantly reduced recurrent HE episodes after the first episode when compared with a placebo. ²² A recent trial showed that rifaximin (550 mg BID) plus lactulose was significantly more effective than lactulose alone for prevention of HE episodes in patients who had experienced two or more HE episodes in the previous six months. According to the current guidelines, both drugs can be used for HE.

CONCLUSIONS

The recommendations of the working group for HE diagnosis and treatment provide a framework for further investigations aimed at refining diagnostic tests and developing clinically based treatments.

REFERENCES

- Abou-Assi S, Vlahcevic ZR. Hepatic encephalopathy. Metabolic consequence of cirrhosis often is reversible. *Post-grad Med* 2001; 109(2): 52-4, 57-60, 63-5 passim.
- Blei AT. Diagnosis and treatment of hepatic encephalopathy. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2000; 14(6): 959-74.
- 3. Ferenci P, Lockwood A, Mullen K, Tarter R, Weissenborn K, Blei AT. Hepatic encephalopathy-definition, nomenclature, diagnosis, and quantification: final report of the working party at the 11th World Congresses of Gastroenterology, Vienna, 1998. *Hepatology* 2002; 35(3): 716-21.
- 4. Belanger M, Desjardins P, Chatauret N, Butterworth RF. Loss of expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein in

- acute hyperammonemia. *Neurochem Int* 2002; 41(2-3): 155-60
- Belanger M, Desjardins P, Chatauret N, Butterworth RF. Selectively increased expression of the astrocytic/endothelial glucose transporter protein GLUT1 in acute liver failure. Glia 2006; 53(5): 557-62.
- Butterworth RF. Neuronal cell death in hepatic encephalopathy. Metab Brain Dis 2007; 22(3-4): 309-20.
- Mullen KD. Review of the final report of the 1998 Working Party on definition, nomenclature and diagnosis of hepatic encephalopathy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007; 25(Suppl. 1): 11-6.
- 8. Gerber T, Schomerus H. Hepatic encephalopathy in liver cirrhosis: pathogenesis, diagnosis and management. *Drugs* 2000; 60(6): 1353-70.
- Prakash R, Mullen KD. Mechanisms, diagnosis and management of hepatic encephalopathy. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010; 7(9): 515-25.
- Sotil EU, Gottstein J, Ayala E, Randolph C, Blei AT. Impact of preoperative overt hepatic encephalopathy on neurocognitive function after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2009; 15(2): 184-92.
- Mullen KD, Amodio P, Morgan MY. Therapeutic studies in hepatic encephalopathy. *Metab Brain Dis* 2007; 22(3-4): 407-23.
- Bajaj JS, Wade JB, Sanyal AJ. Spectrum of neurocognitive impairment in cirrhosis: Implications for the assessment of hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatology* 2009; 50(6): 2014-21.
- Weissenborn K, Ennen JC, Schomerus H, Ruckert N, Hecker H. Neuropsychological characterization of hepatic encephalopathy. *J Hepatol* 2001; 34(5): 768-73.
- 14. Romero-Gomez M. Critical flicker frequency: it is time to break down barriers surrounding minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *J Hepatol* 2007; 47(1): 10-1.
- 15. Kircheis G, Wettstein M, Timmermann L, Schnitzler A, Haussinger D. Critical flicker frequency for quantification of low-grade hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatology* 2002; 35(2): 357-66.
- 16. Mardini H, Saxby BK, Record CO. Computerized psychometric testing in minimal encephalopathy and modulation by nitrogen challenge and liver transplant. *Gastroenterology* 2008; 135(5): 1582-90.
- 17. Bajaj JS, Saeian K, Verber MD, et al. Inhibitory control test is a simple method to diagnose minimal hepatic encephalopathy and predict development of overt hepatic encephalopathy. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102(4): 754-60.
- 18. Bass NM. Review article: the current pharmacological therapies for hepatic encephalopathy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007; 25(Suppl. 1): 23-31.
- Fanelli F, Salvatori FM, Rabuffi P, et al. Management of refractory hepatic encephalopathy after insertion of TIPS: long-term results of shunt reduction with hourglass-shaped balloon-expandable stent-graft. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2009; 193(6): 1696-702.
- Alcorn J. Review: rifaximin is equally or more effective than other antibiotics and lactulose for hepatic encephalopathy. ACP J Club 2008; 149(5): 11.
- Als-Nielsen B, Gluud LL, Gluud C. Nonabsorbable disaccharides for hepatic encephalopathy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004; (2): CD003044.
- 22. Sharma BC, Sharma P, Agrawal A, Sarin SK. Secondary prophylaxis of hepatic encephalopathy: an open-label randomized controlled trial of lactulose versus placebo. *Gastroenterology* 2009; 137(3): 885-91: 91e1.