Psychometric properties of the Scale of Attitudes towards Pregnancy and Weight Gain in Mexican population

Elizabeth Guzman-Ortiz,¹ Velia Margarita Cárdenas-Villarreal,² José Moral de la Rubia,³ Cecilia Meza Peña³

- ¹ Departamento en Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de las Américas Puebla, Puebla, México.
- ² Facultad de Enfermería, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, México.
- ³ Facultad de Psicología, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, México.

Correspondence:

Elizabeth Guzman-Ortiz Departamento en Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de las Américas Puebla. Ex-Hacienda Santa Catarina Mártir S/N, 72810, San Andrés, Cholula, Puebla, México. Phone: (+52-222) 229 - 2000 Ext. 3245 Email: elizabethguzmanortiz08@ gmail.com

Received: 21 December 2018 Accepted: 25 September 2019

Citation:

Guzman-Ortiz, E., Cárdenas-Villarreal, V. M., Moral de la Rubia, J., & Meza Peña, C. (2019). Psychometric properties of the Scale of Attitudes Towards Pregnancy and Weight Gain in Mexican population. *Salud Mental*, 42(5), 217-225.

DOI: 10.17711/SM.0185-3325.2019.028



ABSTRACT

Introduction. Physical changes during pregnancy trigger a positive or negative emotional response to the body image of the future mother. Since this response can affect the health of the mother and the fetus, it is necessary to have valid instruments to evaluate body image in pregnant women. **Objective**. To validate in Spanish the Scale of Attitudes towards Pregnancy and Weight Gain in Mexican population. **Method.** The study was conducted in two phases: it was culturally adapted to determine the linguistic validity and then its psychometric properties were verified through confirmatory exploratory factor analysis. **Results.** Participants included 520 pregnant women over 18 attending prenatal consultation, with a mean age of 23.56 ± 5.89 and with a mean of 33.20 ± 6.69 gestation weeks. The exploratory analysis defined five components that explain 56.04% of the total variance of the 18 items with acceptable internal consistency ($\alpha = 78$). Factor analysis confirmed five factors (negative attitude towards the increase of gestational weight, positive body image, control of gestational weight, concern over gestational weight and restrictive behavior in response to gestational weight) with adequate fit indices ($\chi^2/gl = 2.57$, IFI = .90, CFI = .90, RMSEA = .05 (90% CI [.048, .062], p = .12), SRMR = .05) **Discussion and conclusion.** The instrument has good psychometric properties and is recommended as a valid tool for assessing attitudes toward body image in Mexican women during the period of pregnancy.

Keywords: Body image, pregnant women, weight gain, questionnaires.

RESUMEN

Introducción. Los cambios físicos del embarazo propician una respuesta emocional positiva o negativa hacia la imagen corporal de la futura madre. Como esta respuesta puede afectar tanto la salud de ella como la del producto, es necesario contar con instrumentos válidos para evaluar la imagen corporal en mujeres embarazadas. Objetivo. Validar en español la Escala de Actitudes hacia el Embarazo y el Aumento de Peso en población mexicana. Método. El estudio se llevó a cabo en dos fases: una adaptación transcultural para determinar la validez lingüística y después una verificación de las propiedades psicométricas por medio de un análisis factorial exploratorio y confirmatorio. Resultados. Participaron 520 mujeres embarazadas mayores de 18 años que asistieron a consulta prenatal, con una media de edad de 23.56 ± 5.89 años y con un promedio de 33.20 ± 6.69 semanas de gestación. El análisis exploratorio definió cinco componentes que explican el 56.04% de la varianza total de los 18 reactivos y una consistencia interna aceptable (α = .78). El análisis factorial confirmó cinco factores (actitud negativa hacia el aumento del peso gestacional, imagen corporal positiva, control de peso gestacional, preocupación por el peso gestacional y conducta restrictiva hacia el peso gestacional) con índices de ajuste adecuados (χ^2 /gl = 2.57, IFI = .90, CFI = .90, RMSEA = .05 (IC 90% [.048, .062], p =.12), SRMR = .05). Discusión y conclusión. El instrumento tiene buenas propiedades psicométricas y se recomienda como herramienta válida para evaluar las actitudes hacia la imagen corporal en mujeres mexicanas durante el periodo del embarazo.

Palabras clave: Imagen corporal, embarazo, aumento de peso, cuestionario.

INTRODUCTION

The stage of pregnancy in women is characterized by physical and psychological changes that lead to the development of positive or negative emotional responses towards the body image of the future mother. Body image is defined as the internal representation a person has of her body, regardless of what it really looks like, and consists of three components: 1. cognitive-affective, defined as the thoughts, beliefs, and feelings that a person may have regarding her body or a part of it, 2. perception, regarded as the accuracy with which the size and shape of the body is perceived in its entirety or a part of it, and 3. behavioral, meaning the actions people perform in order to check, alter, or conceal their bodies (Cash & Smolak, 2011).

There is increasing evidence that pregnant women worry about issues related to their body image and weight gain throughout the entire pregnancy process (Fahami, Amini-Abchuyeh, & Aghaei, 2018; Meireles, Neves, de Carvalho, & Ferreira, 2015a). It is estimated that approximately 50% of pregnant women report feeling dissatisfied with their bodies and their weight (Shloim, Hetherington, Rudolf, & Feltbower, 2015; Sui, Turnbull, & Dodd, 2013). Qualitative studies report that body image is a complex process and not fully understood, especially during the period of pregnancy, in which it has been noticed that pregnant women reflect a series of feelings and perceptions about body shape and size, including complete satisfaction, conflict, or a mixture of feelings of joy, lack of appreciation, and dislike of their bodies (Hodgkinson, Smith, & Wittkowski, 2014; Watson, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, Broadbent, & Skouteris, 2015).

Likewise, it has been observed that dissatisfaction with the body image during pregnancy is related to adverse effects on the mother's health such as depression and anxiety (Lee, Williams, & Burke, 2019; Roomruangwong, Kanchanatawan, Sirivichayakul, & Maes, 2017), including unhealthy eating behaviors such as binge eating, or intermittent fasting (Vartanian & Porter, 2016), and inadequate control of gestational weight gain (Hartley, McPhie, Skouteris, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, & Hill, 2015). All these associated problems can affect the health of the fetus in the short- and long-term (Hartley, Hill, Bailey, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, & Skouteris, 2018; Meireles, Neves, de Carvalho, & Ferreira, 2015a, 2015b). Moreover, this dissatisfaction with one's body image can cause concern about recovering an adequate figure after childbirth, while failure to achieve this could trigger or aggravate the symptoms of anxiety and depression in the postpartum period (Silveira, Ertel, Dole, & Chasan-Taber, 2015). It is therefore important to have a tool to detect this body image disorder in this population.

Most studies that have evaluated body image in pregnant women have used body image scales designed for non-pregnant women (Bagheri et al., 2013; Hartley, McPhie, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, Hill, & Skouteris, 2016; Shloim et al., 2015). However, since major changes occur in different parts of the body (such as the stomach, breasts and skin) during pregnancy, body image in pregnant women may differ from that of non-pregnant populations (Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, Skouteris, Watson, & Hill, 2013; Watson et al., 2015).

An instrument developed to evaluate negative and positive attitudes towards body weight gain in pregnant women has been identified: the "Pregnancy and Weight Gain Attitude Scale" (PWAS), which considers the evaluation of cognitive-affective and behavioral components of body image, and has acceptable reliability (Palmer, Jennings, & Massey, 1985), and construct validity (Kendall, Olson, & Frongillo Jr., 2001). In 2013, DiPietro, Millet, Costigan, Gurewitsch, and Caulfield (2003) evaluated the psychometric properties of PWAS in North American pregnant women, and Rousseau, Bouillon, Lefebvre, Séjourné, and Denis (2016) did so with pregnant women from France. The first study reported a reliability of .84, and the second a reliability of .70. It is worth mentioning that there is no version of the PWAS in Spanish nor has it been used in Latin American populations. It is therefore considered necessary to have a reliable, valid tool able to identify and measure attitudes towards body image in these populations.

In short, more research based on reliable measures is required to explore the body image component during pregnancy so that we can improve our knowledge of possible adaptation mechanisms. Although evidence exists that body image distortion problems are common in the Mexican population (Bobadilla-Suárez & López-Avila, 2014; Meza Peña & Pompa Guajardo, 2018), most of our knowledge about body image during pregnancy is drawn from studies conducted in other countries mainly developing ones. Accordingly, in order to improve the comparability of studies, it would be beneficial to evaluate body image with the PWAS in the Mexican population. The objectives of this study were therefore as follows: to adapt the Scale of Attitudes towards Pregnancy and Weight Gain to Spanish (referred from now on as PWAS-S); analyze its factor structure; verify the internal consistency of the factors; determine their second order factors and corroborate the proposed factor structure model in the Mexican population.

METHOD

Study design

A cross-sectional design was used.

Participants

Five hundred and twenty pregnant women over 18, with a single pregnancy, who were attending routine prenatal check-ups in the gynecology department of a public hospital in northeastern Mexico participated. Convenience sampling was used and the sample size was considered adequate according to the scale items and Likert format for exploratory factor analysis (Costello & Osborne, 2003).

Pregnant women with threatened miscarriages and those who had had cardiometabolic diseases (gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, hyperthyroidism, bulimia, or anorexia) were excluded.

Measurements

Personal data card

A registration card was used which included the sociodemographic (age, schooling) and obstetric data (weeks of gestation) of the participants.

Pregnancy and Weight Gain Attitude Scale (PWAS) by Palmer et al (1985). This scale was designed to assess the attitude of women in relation to weight gain during pregnancy in North American women. This scale consists of 18 items, 15 of which are related to attitudes and three to behavior. Answers to the items are formulated on a Likert scale with five response options (from 1 = strongly disagree, to 5 = strongly agree).

The total score is calculated by inverting nine negative items (1,3,4,9,12-17). Scores range from 18 to 90 points, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude to weight gain. The PWAS has shown psychometric properties and indices with acceptable internal reliability for the Spearman Brown coefficient with a value of .67 (Palmer et al., 1985), as well as in the North American population, with Cronbach's alpha from .75 to .84 (DiPietro et al., 2003) whereas in the French population, an alpha of .70 has been reported (Rousseau et al., 2016).

The English version (DiPietro et al., 2003) proposes a four-factor structure with 16 items: positive image of pregnancy (items 6,7 and 11), negative image of pregnancy (items 1,9,12,13,14 and 15), indifference towards weight gain (items 5,8,10 and 18), and restrictive behaviors in response to weight gain (items 3.16 and 17). Items 2 and 4 were excluded.

Table 1

Adaptation and validation of the Pregnancy and Weight Gain Attitude Scale

Original item in English	Spanish translation
1. I worry that I may get fat during this pregnancy.	1. Me preocupa engordar durante este embarazo.
2. I would like to gain between 21 and 30 pounds during this preg- nancy.	2. Me gustaría aumentar entre 10 y 13 kg durante este embarazo.
3. I trying to keep my weight down so I don't look so pregnant.	3. Estoy tratando de no aumentar peso para no parecer embarazada.
4. I would like to gain between 11 and 20 pounds during this preg- nancy.	4. Me gustaría aumentar entre 5 y 9 kg durante este embarazo.
5. As long as I'm eating a well-balanced diet, I don't care how much I gain during this pregnancy.	 Mientras llevo una dieta balanceada, no me preocupa el peso que pueda aumentar durante este embarazo.
6. I think a pregnant woman is beautiful.	6. Creo que una mujer embarazada es hermosa.
7. I am proud of looking pregnant.	7. Estoy orgullosa de cómo me veo embarazada.
8. I like being able to gain weight for a change.	8. Me agrada el hecho de que aumentaré de peso por el embarazo.
9. I am embarrassed at how big I have gotten during this pregnancy.	 He aumentado mucho de peso en este embarazo y eso me avergüenza.
10. I would gain 40 pounds if it meant my baby would be healthier.	10. Me gustaría aumentar 18 kg, si eso significa que mi bebé será saludable.
11. I like wearing maternity clothes.	11. Me gusta usar ropa de maternidad.
12. The weight I gain during my pregnancy makes me feel unat- tractive.	12. El peso que he aumentado durante el embarazo me hace sentir no atractiva.
13. I'm embarrassed whenever the nurse weighs me.	13. Me da vergüenza cuando me pesan.
14. It bothers me that I can't wear what's in style while I'm pregnant.	14. Me molesta no poder usar la ropa de moda porque estoy em- barazada.
15. I feel that women have to be especially careful about getting fat during pregnancy.	15. Pienso que las mujeres tienen que ser muy cuidadosas para no engordar durante el embarazo.
16. If I gain too much weight one month, I will try to keep from gaining the next month.	16. Si aumento mucho peso en un mes, trato de no aumentar de peso en el mes siguiente.
17. Just before I go to the doctor, I try not to eat.	17. Justo antes de ir al médico, trato de no comer.
18. I would gain 35 pounds if it meant my baby would be healthier.	 Me gustaría aumentar 16 kg, si eso significa que mi bebé será saludable.

Item coi	relation .	matrix																
Items	Item 1	Item 2	Item 3	Item 4	Item 5	Item 6	Item 7	Item 8	Item 9	Item 10	Item 11	Item 12	Item 13	Item 14	Item 15	Item 16	Item 17	tem 18
Item 1	£																	
Item 2	.122*	-																
Item 3	.264**	.105*	-															
Item 4	.078*	.143*	.174**	-														
Item 5	000.	.061	.051	.194**	£													
Item 6	.001	.101*	170**	.124*	.140*	-												
Item 7	.067	.087*	115*	.167**	.087*	.694**	+											
Item 8	085*	.234**	069*	.169**	.144**	.308**	.389**	-										
Item 9	.258**	.047	.379**	.071*	.040	166**	135*	058	<u>_</u>									
Item 10	035	.202**	.108*	.056	.069	.080*	.091*	.261**	.064	-								
Item 11	122*	*079*	061	.042	.041	.296**	.350**	.286**	122*	.201**	+							
Item 12	.184**	.106*	.357**	.180**	.019	117**	048	023	.349**	.045	.006	+						
Item 13	.252**	.087*	.352**	.135*	.092*	108*	075*	.008	.489**	.038	078*	.412**	~					
Item 14	.200**	.019	.296**	.058	.057	097*	073*	035	.332**	.018	194**	.344**	.525**	-				
Item 15	.231**	037	.195**	.082*	.042	.020	.071*	226**	.226**	105*	067	.178**	.237**	.260**	-			
Item 16	.308**	.110*	.350**	*960.	.030	028	.032	088*	.305**	.013	006	.219**	.299**	.302**	.423**	-		
Item 17	.157**	.153**	.413**	.112*	021	175**	084*	012	.381**	.071*	112*	.316**	.429**	.313**	.217**	.372**	+	
Item 18	.027	.239**	.095*	.054	.105*	.088*	.113*	.175**	.016	.614**	.204**	.041	.043	036	078*	.073*	.123*	-
Note: *p <	.05. ** <i>p</i> < .	001.																

Procedures

This study was conducted in the period from April 2016 to July 2017, in two stages: cross-cultural adaptation to determine the linguistic validity of the scale, and verification of psychometric properties. In order to carry out the cross-cultural adaptation, five steps were followed: initial translation, translation synthesis, retranslation, review by a committee of experts and preliminary test (Beaton, Bombardier, Guillemin, & Ferraz, 2000). Before conducting the preliminary test on 45 pregnant women, experts evaluated each item in the translated versions using four criteria (semantic, idiomatic, experiential, and conceptual equivalence).

Lastly, the internal validity and construct validity of the scale in the Spanish version was explored; the scale was self-administered by 520 pregnant women. Data collection was undertaken by previously trained health personnel, the average response time by participants being 12 minutes.

Statistical analysis

The dimensional structure was first determined by exploratory factor analysis of the main components using the Varimax rotation method. Using the scores of the factors calculated by the simple addition of items, a second order exploratory factor analysis was performed. The same methods and number of fixed factors were used according to Kaiser's criteria. Internal consistency was estimated using Cronbach's alpha coefficient. High \geq .70, adequate \geq .60 and low values < .60 (Cronbach & Shavelson, 2004) were considered.

Secondly, the models of factors correlated and ranked by confirmatory factor analysis were contrasted. The Generalized Least Squares method was used to estimate the discrepancy function, parameters, and fit indices. Seven fit rates were considered: discrepancy function (DF); chi-square test (χ^2) ; coefficient between chi-square and its degrees of freedom (χ^2/gl); population non-centrality parameter (PNCP), Steiger and Lind's root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA); Jöreskog and Sörbom's goodness of fit index (GFI); and its adjusted version (AGFI). The following values are considered to have a good fit: p of $\chi^2 > .05$, fd and $\chi^2/$ *gl* < 2, PNCP < 1, RMSEA < .05, GFI > .95 and AGFI > .90; while those given below are regarded as having an adequate fit: *p* of $\chi^2 > .05$, DF and $\chi^2/gl < 2$, PNCP < 2, RMSEA < .08, GFI > .85 and AGFI > .80 (Landero Hernández & González Ramírez, 2006). Statistical calculations were performed in the SPSS and AMOS 7 program.

Ethical considerations

The procedures used in this study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2001) and the regulations of the General Health Law on

Table 2

Health Research Matters (Secretaría de Salud, 1987). Participants signed an informed consent form and the confidentiality and security of the information and privacy of the participants were protected at all times.

RESULTS

The mean age of the participants was 23.56 ± 5.89 years, with an average of 33.20 ± 6.69 weeks of gestation, and an average monthly income of 4448.57 ± 4958.370 .

The adaptation and translation of the PWAS-S was carried out by a group of experts. Changes included the following adaptations: weight was converted from pounds to kilograms, and weight gain was translated as weight increase (Table 1).

As observed in Table 2, both positive and negative correlations were found, which were usually statistically significant between the items. Regarding the results of the exploratory factor and internal consistency analysis, five components were defined and these explained 56.04% of

the total variance of the 18 items. After rotating the component matrix by the Varimax method, five factors were confirmed: 1. factor one "Negative attitude towards the increase of gestational weight," comprising six items; 2. factor two "Positive body image," consisting of four items; 3. factor three "Gestational weight control," with three items; 4. factor four "Concern over the increase in gestational weight," consisting of three items; and 5. factor five "Restrictive behavior in response to gestational weight," consisting of two items. Most items show loads over .50.

The assignment of the names of the factors followed the dimensions proposed by Cash and Smolak (2011), and the coincidence of the items grouped together in the English and French version (Table 3). Four factors were explored in the English version, whereas in the French version, five factors were examined, as in the Spanish version.

The total internal consistency of the scale was .78 and factors one and two had the greatest internal consistency (Table 4).

The five-factor model produced by the exploratory factor analysis was contrasted in the confirmatory factor analy-

Table 3

Fact	ors in the Pregnancy and Weight Gain Attitude Scale	VI	VF
Fact	or 1 Negative attitude towards body image		
3.	I am trying not to gain weight so as not to look pregnant.	F4	F5
9.	I have put on a lot of weight in this pregnancy and that embarrasses me.	F2	F4
12.	The weight I put on during pregnancy makes me feel unattractive.	F2	F3
13.	I am embarrassed when they weigh me.	F2	Excluded
14.	It annoys me that I can't wear fashionable clothes because I'm pregnant.	F2	F3
17.	I try not to eat just before going to the doctor.	F4	F4
Fact	or 2 Positive body image		
6.	I think a pregnant woman is beautiful.	F1	Excluded
7.	I'm proud of how I look in my pregnancy.	F1	F4
8.	I like the fact that I will gain weight because of my pregnancy.	F3	F3
11.	I like to wear maternity clothes.	F1	F3
Fact	or 3 Weight Control		
2.	I would like to put on between 10 and 13 kg during this pregnancy.	Excluded	F5
10.	I would like to put on 18 kg if that means my baby will be healthy.	F3	F2
18.	I would like to put on 16 kg if that means my baby will be healthy.	F3	F2
Fact	or 4 Concern over weight gain		
1.	I worry about getting fat during this pregnancy.	F2	F1
15.	I think women must very careful not to get fact during pregnancy.	F2	F1
16.	If I put on lot of weight in one month, I try not to put on weight the following month.	F4	F1
Fact	or 5 Restrictive behavior in response to weight gain		
4.	I would like to put on between 5 and 9 kg during this pregnancy.	Excluded	F5
5.	As long as I eat a balanced diet, I am not worried about the weight I may put on during this pregnancy.	F3	F1

Notes: VI = English version; VF = French version. The factors in the English version are: F1 = Positive image of pregnancy; F2 = Negative body image of pregnancy; F3 = Indifference towards weight gain and F4 = Restrictive behaviors to avoid weight gain. The factors in the French version are: F1= Fear of weight gain; F2 = Lack of concern over weight gain; F3 = Positive attitudes towards weight gain; F4 = Shame about weight gain and F5 = Control of weight gain.

Table 4

Exploratory factor and internal consistency analysis of Pregnancy and Weight Gain Attitude Scale

				Factor		
lterr	18	1	2	3	4	5
3.	I am trying not to gain weight so as not to look pregnant.	.535	.177	.212	.292	.119
9.	I have put on a lot of weight in this pregnancy and that embarrasses me.	.661	127	.045	.187	.003
12.	The weight I put on during pregnancy makes me feel unattractive.	.689	.018	.017	.027	.053
13.	I am embarrassed when they weigh me.	.789	003	013	.108	.085
14.	It annoys me that I can't wear fashionable clothes because I'm pregnant.	.677	029	120	.144	.040
17.	I try not to eat just before going to the doctor.	.616	112	.193	.219	027
6.	I think a pregnant woman is beautiful.	198	.805	017	.111	.158
7.	I'm proud of how I look in my pregnancy.	103	.866	.011	.144	.109
8.	I like the fact I will put on weight because of my pregnancy.	.122	.563	.248	399	.229
11.	I like to wear maternity clothes.	055	.597	.258	119	156
2.	I would like to put on between 10 and 13 kg during this pregnancy.	.093	.096	.463	.055	.256
10.	I would like to put on 18 kg if that means my baby will be healthy.	.059	.101	.821	102	026
18.	I would like to put on 16 kg if that means my baby will be healthy.	018	.074	.856	.042	.004
1.	I worry about getting fat during this pregnancy.	.192	011	.045	.597	.093
15.	I think women must very careful not to get fact during pregnancy.	.180	.047	160	.731	.010
16.	If I put on lot of weight in one month, I try not to put on weight the following month.	.330	.030	.124	.689	017
4.	I would like to put on between 5 and 9 kg during this pregnancy.	.164	.132	.038	.044	.691
5.	As long as I eat a balanced diet, I am not worried about the weight I may put on during this pregnancy.	031	.011	.075	.015	.765
Nun	nber of items	6	4	3	3	2
Croi	nbach's alpha	.78	.79	.63	.58	.32
Exp	lained variance	16.40	12.10	10.50	9.90	7.10

Notes: n = 520; Matrix with 18-item patterns. Extraction Method: main components. Varimax rotation. Total variance 56%.

Table 5	
Goodness of fit indexes and model comparison	

	Inte	rpretation	Models	
Indices	Good	Acceptable	1	2
Model fit				
χ₂/gl	≤2	≤ 3	2.846	2.570
IFI	≥ .95	≥ .90	.890	.907
CFI	≥.95	≥ .90	.899	.906
RMSEA	≤ .05	≤ .075	.060	.055
[90% CI]			[.052, .067]	[.048, .062]
RMSEA p	> .10	> .05	.014	.129
SRMR	≤ .05	≤ .09	.057	.054
Model comparison				
$\Delta \chi_2$			37.664	
$p \det \Delta \chi_2$	≤ .05		< .001	
ΔIFI	≥ .01		.018	
ΔCFI	≥.01		.007	

Note: $\chi^2/gI = Chi$ square; IFI = Bollen's Incremental Fit Index due to the delta 2 coefficient; CFI = Bentler's Comparative Fit Index; RMSEA = Steiger and Lind's Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; CI = confidence interval; RMSEA p = unilateral probability on the right hand for the null hypothesis that RMSEA will be $\leq .05$; $\Delta \chi^2$ = difference between χ^2 statistics of the two models compared; p of $\Delta \chi^2$ = unilateral probability on the right hand for the null hypothesis; ΔIFI = difference between IFI of the two models compared; ΔCFI = difference between CFIs of the two models compared.

222

sis. Model two showed adequate fit rates: $\chi^2/gl = 2.57$, IFI = .90, CFI = .90, RMSEA = .05 (90% CI [.048, .062], p = .12), SRMR = .05 (Table 5) with 18 items and nine correlations.

Figure 1 shows the standardized regression coefficients between the factors, which ranged from -.22 to .88, as well as the correlations of the factors and items which ranged from .17 to .88.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The results indicated that this instrument has good psychometric properties and can be recommended as a simple, valid tool for assessing attitudes towards body image in Mexican women during the period of pregnancy in health centers or primary care clinics.

Factor analysis confirmed five factors (negative attitude towards the increase of gestational weight, positive body image, control of gestational weight, concern about gestational weight, and restrictive behavior in response to gestational weight) through 18 items. The aforementioned dimensions explain 56% with adjustable indices, which is higher than the values in the model proposed by DiPietro et al. (2003) and Rousseau et al. (2016).



Figure 1. Standardized model estimated by generalized least squares of the Pregnancy and Weight Gain Attitude Scale.

Notes

F1 NAGW = negative attitude towards gestational weight gain. F2 PBI = positive body image.

F3 GWC = gestational weight control.

F4 CGWG = concern over gestational weight gain. F5.RBGW = restrictive behavior to control gestational weight.

The squares represent the item number, large circles the dimensions of the scale and small circles the error values of each item.

The dimension called "negative attitude towards increased gestational weight" reported further explanation of the variance. This dimension includes six items, four of which coincide with the negative body image dimension of pregnancy proposed by DiPietro et al. (2003). Dimension two, called "positive body image," includes four items,

three of which coincide with the sphere of positive body image of pregnancy of the model proposed by DiPietro et al. (2003). These two dimensions coincide with the cognitive-affective component of the body image concept proposed by Cash and Smolak (2011). It is worth mentioning that these two aspects of body image attitudes can impair

postpartum weight control and that negative attitudes can continue during the postpartum period (Phillips, King, & Skouteris, 2014; Silveira et al., 2015).

Regarding dimension three, called "gestational weight control," which includes three items, two items coincide with the sphere of indifference towards weight gain in the model proposed by DiPietro et al. (2003) and the item "I would like to gain between 10 and 13 kg during this pregnancy" was excluded in the analysis of the model designed by DiPietro et al. (2003). However, this item was included in the sphere "lack of concern about weight gain" in the model proposed by Rousseau et al. (2016). Weight control during pregnancy may be adequate or inappropriate, and will depend on the perception, attitudes, or dissatisfaction with the body image of the pregnant woman (Meireles et al., 2015b; Shloim et al., 2015).

Continuing with the description of the dimensions of this study, dimension four, called "concern about weight gain" includes three items, coinciding with the sphere of fear of weight gain in the model proposed by Rousseau et al. (2016). This dimension coincides with the concern over appearance in pregnancy scale, given that one of the items asked whether women had restricted the amount of food they ate during pregnancy in order to feel thinner (Nagl, Jepsen, Linde, & Kersting, 2019).

In dimension five, called "restrictive behavior towards gestational weight," which includes two items, item four "I would like to put on between 5 and 9 kg during this pregnancy" was not contemplated in the analysis of the model proposed by DiPietro et al. (2003), although it was included in the weight gain control sphere in the model proposed by Rousseau et al. (2016). Item five, "as long as I eat a balanced diet, I am not worried about any weight I might gain during this pregnancy," was included in the dimension of indifference towards weight gain in the model proposed by DiPietro et al. (2003) and in the dimension of fear over weight gain proposed by Rousseau et al. (2016). These dimensions coincide with the behavioral component of the concept of body image, in other words, the actions people perform with the aim of checking, altering, or concealing their bodies (Cash & Smolak, 2011). These statements coincide with other scales, where women engage in certain eating behaviors to enhance their physical appearance (Watson, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, Broadbent, & Skouteris, 2017).

The model used in this study shows that item eight "I like the fact I will gain weight during pregnancy" has a negative relationship with item 15 "I think women should be very careful not to gain weight during pregnancy." This relationship illustrates the idea that pregnant women can have a positive attitude towards weight gain during pregnancy and at the same time take care not to increase more than the recommended weight. These positive feelings are manifested in first-time mothers (Bergbom, Modh, Lundgren, & Lindwall, 2017).

As regards internal consistency, the pregnancy and weight gain attitude scale is considered a reliable tool for measuring the construct, because internal consistency values and fit rates were adequate, and similar to those in the study proposed by DiPietro et al. (2003) and Rousseau et al. (2016). The dimensions of the scales report acceptable values of internal consistency, with the exception of dimension four and five. These dimensions report low values from .32 to .58, which can be attributed to the number of corresponding items in each dimension.

Limitations of this study include failure to perform criterion validity, which indicates the degree to which questionnaire scores correlate with other questionnaires measuring the same trait or variable. At present, a standard instrument has yet to be established to measure body image distortions (Meireles et al., 2015a).

From the statistical point of view, it is concluded that the Scale of Attitudes towards Pregnancy and Weight Gain (PWAS-S) in its Spanish version reports acceptable construct validity and reliability, indicating that it can be used in Mexican pregnant women over 18.

It is important for health professionals involved in prenatal care and trained in mental health to consider the assessment of body image during pregnancy, in order to improve prenatal care in relation to the body changes that normally occur during this period. This will enable pregnant women to accept changes in their appearance.

The above is proposed, because in recent years, there have been reports that most women react negatively to the changes in body appearance characteristic of pregnancy (Lee et al., 2019; Meireles et al., 2015a), although a minority of pregnant women perceive changes in the body's appearance during pregnancy as being positive (Erkaya, Karabulutlu, & Calik, 2018; Harrison, Obeid, Haslett, Mc-Lean, & Clarkin, 2019). This dissatisfaction with their bodies may lead women to adopt eating behaviors and emotional states that can create problems with the recommended weight gain during pregnancy (Hartley et al., 2018; Roomruangwong et al., 2017) and jeopardize the health of the mother and the fetus in the short- and long-term. The consequences of failing to achieve the recommended weight, coupled with the eating and emotional disorders that may occur during pregnancy, show the importance for primary health care professionals of having reliable tools to detect attitudes towards body image.

Funding

None.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Bagheri, M., Dorosty, A., Sadrzadeh-Yeganeh, H., Eshraghian, M., Amiri, E., & Khamoush-Cheshm, N. (2013). Pre-pregnancy body size dissatisfaction and excessive gestational weight gain. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 17(4), 699-707. doi: 10.1007/s10995-012-1051-6
- Beaton, D. E., Bombardier, C., Guillemin, F., & Ferraz, M. B. (2000). Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine*, 25(24), 3186-3191. doi: 10.1097/00007632-200012150-00014
- Bergbom, I., Modh, C., Lundgren, I., & Lindwall, L. (2017). First-time pregnant women's experiences of their body in early pregnancy. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*, 31(3), 579-586. doi: 10.1111/scs.12372
- Bobadilla-Suárez, S., & López-Avila, A. (2014). Distorsión de imagen corporal percibida e imaginada. Un posible factor para la obesidad y el sobrepeso en mexicanos. *Revista Médica del Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social*, 52(4), 408-414.
- Cash, T. F., & Smolak, L. (2011). Body image: A handbook of science, practice, and prevention. (2nd. Ed). NY: The Guilford Press.
- Costello, A. B., & Osborne, J. W. (2003). Exploring best practices in factor analysis: Four mistakes applied researchers make. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Shavelson, R. J (2004). My current thoughts on coefficient alpha and successor procedures. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 64(3), 391-418. doi: 10.1177/0013164404266386
- DiPietro, J. A., Millet, S., Costigan, K. A., Gurewitsch, E., & Caulfield, L. E. (2003). Psychosocial influences on weight gain attitudes and behaviors during pregnancy. *Jornual American Dietetic Association*, 103(10), 1314-1319. doi: 10.1016/S0002-8223(03)01070-8
- Erkaya, R., Karabulutlu, Ö., & Calik, K. Y. (2018). The effect of maternal obesity on self-esteem and body image. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 25(6), 1079-1084. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.02.003
- Fahami, F., Amini-Abchuyeh, M., & Aghaei, A. (2018). The relationship between psychological wellbeing and body image in pregnant women. *Iranian Journal* of Nursing and Midwifery Research, 23(3), 167-171. doi: 10.4103/ijnmr. JJNMR 178 16
- Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., Skouteris, H., Watson, B. E., & Hill, B. (2013). Body dissatisfaction during pregnancy: A systematic review of cross-sectional and prospective correlates. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 18(11), 1411-1421. doi: 10.1177/1359105312462437
- Harrison, M. E., Obeid, N., Haslett, K., McLean, N., & Clarkin, C. (2019). Embodied motherhood: Exploring body image in pregnant and parenting youth. *Journal* of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology, 32(1), 44-50. doi: 10.1016/j. jpag.2018.08.007
- Hartley, E., Hill, B., Bailey, C., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., & Skouteris, H. (2018). The associations of weight status and body attitudes with depressive and anxiety symptoms across the first year postpartum. *Women's Health Issues*, 28(6), 530-538. doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2018.07.002
- Hartley, E., McPhie, S., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., Hill, B., & Skouteris, H. (2016). Psychosocial factors and excessive gestational weight gain: The effect of parity in an Australian cohort. *Midwifery*, 32, 30-37. doi: 10.1016/j.midw.2015.09.009
- Hartley, E., McPhie, S., Skouteris, H., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., & Hill, B. (2015). Psychosocial risk factors for excessive gestational weight gain: A systematic review. *Women and Birth*, 28(4), e99-e109. doi: 10.1016/j.wombi.2015.04.004
- Hodgkinson, E. L., Smith, D. M., & Wittkowski, A. (2014). Women's experiences of their pregnancy and postpartum body image: a systematic review and metasynthesis. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 14(1), 330. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-14-330
- Kendall, A., Olson, C. M., & Frongillo Jr, E. A. (2001). Evaluation of psychosocial measures for understanding weight-related behaviors in pregnant women. *Annals* of Behavioral Medicine, 23(1), 50-58. doi: 10.1207/S15324796ABM2301_8
- Landero Hernández, R., & González Ramírez, M. T. (2006). Estadística con SPSS y metodología de la investigación. México: Trillas. ISBN: 968-24-7551-1 978-968-24-7551-1

- Lee, M. F., Williams, S. L., & Burke, K. J. (2019). Striving for the thin ideal post-pregnancy: a cross-sectional study of intuitive eating in postpartum women. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 1-12. doi: 10.1080/02646838.2019.1607968
- Meireles, J. F. F., Neves, C. M., de Carvalho, P. H. B., & Ferreira, M. E. C. (2015a). Body dissatisfaction among pregnant women: an integrative review of the literature. *Ciencia & Saude Coletiva*, 20(7), 2091-2103. doi: 10.1590/1413-81232015207.05502014
- Meireles, J. F. F., Neves, C. M., de Carvalho, P. H. B., & Ferreira, M. E. C. (2015b). Body image of pregnant women: association with sociodemographic, anthropometric, and obstetric variables. *Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia*, 37(7), 319-324. doi: 10.1590/S0100-720320150005388
- Meza Peña, C., & Pompa Guajardo, E. (2018). Distorsión e insatisfacción con la imagen corporal en una muestra de mexicanos. *Revista de Psicología y Ciencias del Comportamiento de la Unidad Académica de Ciencias Jurídicas y Sociales*, 9(2), 120-131. doi: 10.29365/rpcc.20181207-75
- Nagl, M., Jepsen, L., Linde, K., & Kersting, A. (2019). Measuring body image during pregnancy: psychometric properties and validity of a German translation of the Body Image in Pregnancy Scale (BIPS-G). *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 19(1), 244. doi: 10.1186/s12884-019-2386-4
- Palmer, J. L., Jennings, G. E., & Massey, L. (1985). Development of an assessment form: attitude toward weight gain during pregnancy. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 85(8), 946-949.
- Phillips, J., King, R., & Skouteris, H. (2014). The influence of psychological distress during pregnancy on early postpartum weight retention. *Journal of Reproductive* and Infant Psychology, 32(1), 25-40. doi: 10.1080/02646838.2013.845873
- Roomruangwong, C., Kanchanatawan, B., Sirivichayakul, S., & Maes, M. (2017). High incidence of body image dissatisfaction in pregnancy and the postnatal period: Associations with depression, anxiety, body mass index and weight gain during pregnancy. *Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare*, *13*, 103-109. doi: 10.1016/j.srhc.2017.08.002
- Rousseau, A., Bouillon, A., Lefebvre, L., Séjourné, N., & Denis, A. (2016). Corporal image and pregnancy: A look at psychometric properties of the French translation of the Pregnancy and Weight Gain Attitude Scale. *L'Encephale*, 42(4), 333-339. doi: 10.1016/j.encep.2015.08.002
- Secretaría de Salud (1987). Reglamento de la ley general de salud en materia de investigación para la Salud. México, D. F.: Editorial SSA.
- Shloim, N., Hetherington, M. M., Rudolf, M., & Feltbower, R. G. (2015). Relationship between body mass index and women's body image, self-esteem and eating behaviours in pregnancy: A cross-cultural study. *Journal Health Psychology*, 20(4), 413-426. doi: 10.1177/1359105313502568
- Silveira, M. L., Ertel, K. A., Dole, N., & Chasan-Taber, L. (2015). The role of body image in prenatal and postpartum depression: A critical review of the literature. *Archives Women's Mental Health*, 18(3), 409-421. doi: 10.1007/s00737-015-0525-0
- Sui, Z., Turnbull, D., & Dodd, J. (2013). Effect of body image on gestational weight gain in overweight and obese women. *Women and Birth*, 26(4), 267-272. doi: 10.1016/j.wombi.2013.07.001
- Vartanian, L. R., & Porter, A. M. (2016). Weight stigma and eating behavior: A review of the literature. *Appetite*, 102, 3-14. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2016.01.034
- Watson, B., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., Broadbent, J., & Skouteris, H. (2015). The meaning of body image experiences during the perinatal period: A systematic review of the qualitative literature. *Body Image*, 14(3), 102-113. doi: 10.1016/j. bodyim.2015.04.005
- Watson, B., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., Broadbent, J., & Skouteris, H. (2017). Development and validation of a tailored measure of body image for pregnant women. *Psychological Assessment*, 29(11), 1363-1375. doi: 10.1037/ pas0000441
- World Medical Association. (2001). World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *Bulletin of the World Health Organ*, 79(4), 373-374. Retrieved from https:// apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/268312